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## Abstract

If you can define and compute an appropriate inner product, then you can approximate a function of many variables by a sum of separable functions using the alternating least squares (ALS) algorithm. In the simplest case, the inner product just defines the ordinary least-squares error. More exotic inner products allow one to regularize, incorporate symmetries, or fit to data. Non-separable structures and operators can be included as long as one can compute inner products involving them. I will explain the central role of the inner product and describe some fun ones I have worked with.
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## Approximation by Sums of Separable Objects

Try to approximate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \approx \\
& \qquad g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} g^{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x_{i} \in X_{i}$. If the domains $X_{i}$ are finite, then these are "tensors".

For fixed $r$, find $\left\{g_{i}^{j}\right\}$ to minimize the least-squares error function

$$
E(g)=\|f-g\|^{2}=\langle(f-g),(f-g)\rangle=\langle f, f\rangle-2\langle f, g\rangle+\langle g, g\rangle .
$$

## The Alternating Least Squares Algorithm

Make an initial guess for $g$.
Loop until happy:
Loop through the directions $k=1, \ldots, d$ :
Solve a linear least-squares problem for new $\left\{g_{k}^{j}\right\}_{j}$ while fixing $\left\{g_{i}^{j}\right\}$ for $i \neq k$.

If $E(g)$ stabilizes but is too large, then try again, perhaps with larger $r$.

## Refresher on Ordinary Linear Least-Squares

If $g$ is a linear combination of fixed elements with unknown coefficients and we want to minimize

$$
E(g)=\left\|f-\sum_{j} c_{j} g_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\langle f-\sum_{j} c_{j} g_{j}, f-\sum_{j} c_{j} g_{j}\right\rangle,
$$

the solution is the orthogonal projection of $f$ onto $\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{j}\right\}$, with coefficients determined by the normal equations

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{j}\right\},(g-f)\right\rangle=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j}\left\langle g_{k}, g_{j}\right\rangle c_{j}=\left\langle g_{k}, f\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } k
$$

## Each ALS Step is a Linear Least-Squares Problem

 $g$ is a linear combination of fixed elements with unknown coefficients$$
g=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int g_{1}^{j}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) \delta\left(x_{1}-\hat{x}_{1}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}\right) d \hat{x}_{1}
$$


old $g_{1}^{j}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)$

## ALS Normal equations via inner products

$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int\left\langle\delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_{i}^{k}\left(x_{i}\right), \delta\left(x_{1}-\hat{x}_{1}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle g_{1}^{j}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) d \hat{x}_{1}$
$=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{i=2}^{d}\left\langle g_{i}^{k}, g_{i}^{j}\right\rangle\right) g_{1}^{j}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_{i}^{k}\left(x_{i}\right), f\right\rangle \quad$ for all $k, x_{1}^{\prime}$.
If $f=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}^{q}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and we set $\mathbf{A}(k, j)=\prod_{i=2}^{d}\left\langle g_{i}^{k}, g_{i}^{j}\right\rangle$, then the solution is

$$
g_{1}^{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathbf{A}^{-1}(j, k) \sum_{q=1}^{Q} f_{1}^{q} \prod_{i=2}^{d}\left\langle g_{i}^{k}, f_{i}^{q}\right\rangle .
$$

We never needed to discretize in $x_{1}$, choose a basis, etc.
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## Regularization as a redefined inner product

For $\lambda>0$ the regularized least-squares error is

$$
E_{\lambda}(g)=E_{\lambda}\left(g^{1}, \ldots, g^{r}\right)=\|f-g\|^{2}+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{r}\left\|g^{j}\right\|^{2}
$$

Using $E_{\lambda}$ keeps the approximation problem well-posed and controls loss-of-precision errors due to cancellations.
Defining an inner product on vectors of functions by

$$
\left\langle\left[a_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), a_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots\right],\left[b_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), b_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots\right]\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}\left\langle a_{k}, b_{k}\right\rangle,
$$

$E_{\lambda}$ is the ordinary least-squares error of approximating

$$
[f, 0, \ldots, 0] \approx\left[g, \sqrt{\lambda} g^{1}, \ldots, \sqrt{\lambda} g^{r}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{r} g^{j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{j+1}\right)
$$

We can use the framework we already have.

## Antisymmetric Inner Products

Electrons are (observed to be) fermions, which means wavefunctions for the multiparticle Schrödinger equation must be antisymmetric: e.g.

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=-f\left(x_{2}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

We can project a function onto its antisymmetric part by averaging over all permutations with the proper signs by applying the antisymmetrizer

$$
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{p \in S_{d}}(-1)^{p} \mathcal{P}_{p}
$$

where $S_{d}$ is the permutation group on $d$ elements.
Pose the approximation problem as

$$
\mathcal{A} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \approx \mathcal{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} g^{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\mathcal{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

with error measure

$$
E(g)=\langle\mathcal{A}(f-g), \mathcal{A}(f-g)\rangle=\langle\mathcal{A} f, \mathcal{A} f\rangle-2\langle\mathcal{A} f, \mathcal{A} g\rangle+\langle\mathcal{A} g, \mathcal{A} g\rangle
$$

## Computing Antisymmetric Inner Products

The antisymmetric inner product between $g^{k}$ and $g^{j}$ can be computed via

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{k}, \mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{k}, \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\right\rangle= \\
\int \cdots \int \frac{1}{d!}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{1}^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{1}^{k}\left(x_{d}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{d}^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{d}^{k}\left(x_{d}\right)
\end{array}\right| g_{1}^{j}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots g_{d}^{j}\left(x_{d}\right) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d} \\
\\
=\frac{1}{d!}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\left\langle g_{1}^{k}, g_{1}^{j}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle g_{1}^{k}, g_{d}^{j}\right\rangle \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left\langle g_{d}^{k}, g_{1}^{j}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle g_{d}^{k}, g_{d}^{j}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right|
\end{array}
$$

With this inner product, we can again use the framework we already have.

## Fitting Data (regression)

Suppose $f$ is only a data set

$$
f=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{n}, \cdots, x_{d}^{n} ; y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}
$$

so our approximation problem becomes

$$
y_{n} \approx \sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{j}\left(x_{i}^{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } n
$$

We can define a data-driven (pseudo) inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right) g\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)
$$

and try to minimize

$$
E(g)=\langle(f-g),(f-g)\rangle=\langle f, f\rangle-2\langle f, g\rangle+\langle g, g\rangle
$$

## ALS with a Data-Driven Inner Product

Our ALS framework had us split

$$
g_{1}^{j}\left(x_{1}\right)=\int g_{1}^{j}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) d \hat{x}_{1}
$$

and integrate

$$
\int f(\mathbf{x}) \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) d \hat{x}_{1}
$$

which no longer makes sense, since $f$ is only known at some points. Instead we have to write

$$
g_{1}^{j}\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} c_{m}^{j} \phi_{m}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

in some basis $\left\{\phi_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ with unknown coefficients $C_{m}^{j}$.
We can then run ALS and also get a $g$ that we can evaluate anywhere.
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## Properties of Materials

The data is $f=\left\{\left(\sigma_{n}, \rho_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$ where

- $\sigma_{n}$ is a material/molecular structure, which is an unordered set of atoms $a=(t, \mathbf{r})$, where $t$ is a species type (e.g. $t=\mathrm{Mo}$ ) and $\mathbf{r}$ is a location in 3-dimensional space and
- $\rho_{n}=\rho\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ is some useful physical property of $\sigma_{n}$.

Two structures are equivalent if one can be mapped to the other by a translation and/or rotation.


We assume $\rho$ is consistent, giving the same value to equivalent structures, and require our approximation $g$ to be consistent.

## Consistent Functions of Structures

For an ordered list of atoms, we can construct a function

$$
g\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]\right):=g\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d}\right]\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

To make $g$ consistent, we map a structure to a set $V_{\sigma}$ whose elements $(w, v)$ are a weight $w$ and an ordered list of atoms $v$ called a view, and work with

$$
\mathcal{C} g(\sigma)=\sum_{(w, v) \in V_{\sigma}} w g(v) .
$$

We then define a pseudo inner product by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{C} f\left(\sigma_{j}\right) \mathcal{C} g\left(\sigma_{j}\right)
$$

and use the framework we already have.

Conversion of a structure to its views (illustration)
Weight

$$
a_{1}
$$

$$
a_{2}
$$

$$
a_{3}
$$

$a_{4}$
$1 / 4$
$\xrightarrow[\sim]{4} \stackrel{+}{C}$

maps to

$$
1 / 8
$$


 the views:

$1 / 4 \xrightarrow{\stackrel{D}{\square}} \stackrel{C}{\square}$


## Including Potentials in Antisymmetric Inner Products

As a step in an iterative algorithm to construct an approximate wavefunction, we need to compute antisymmetric inner products including the nuclear potential operator

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{A} g^{k}, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} f^{q}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{k}\left(x_{i}\right), \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{d} V\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}^{q}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle
$$

and including the electron-electron potential operator
$\left\langle\mathcal{A} g^{k}, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{W} f^{q}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{k}\left(x_{i}\right), \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}^{q}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle$.
These operators interfere with the antisymmetric inner product, but only in a few variables at a time.

## Prototype Computation Involving $\mathcal{W}$

Suppressing the $k$ and $q$ indexes and selecting the $m=1, n=2$ term, we need to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), \frac{1}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|} \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle= \\
& \int \cdots \int \frac{1}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|} \frac{1}{d!}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{1}\left(x_{d}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{d}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)
\end{array}\right| f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots f_{d}\left(x_{d}\right) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d} \\
= & \frac{1}{d!} \iint \frac{f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
g_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & g_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) & \left\langle g_{1}, f_{3}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle g_{1}, f_{d}\right\rangle \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{d}\left(x_{1}\right) & g_{d}\left(x_{2}\right) & \left\langle g_{d}, f_{3}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle g_{d}, f_{d}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right| d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prototype Computation Involving $\mathcal{W}$ (continued)

Defining $\mathbf{L}(i, j)=\left\langle g_{i}, f_{j}\right\rangle$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c}\tilde{g}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{g}_{d}\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{L}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}g_{1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{d}\end{array}\right]$ we can multiply by
$|\mathbf{L}|\left|\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right|$, merge determinants, multiply matrixes, and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{d!} \iint \frac{f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{g}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\tilde{g}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\tilde{g}_{3}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{3}\left(x_{2}\right) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\tilde{g}_{d}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{d}\left(x_{2}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right| d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{d!} \iint \frac{f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{g}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
\tilde{g}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \tilde{g}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right| d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Antisymmetric Inner Product Involving $\mathcal{V}$ or $\mathcal{W}$

Suppressing $k$ and $q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathcal{A} g, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V}\rangle & =\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{d!} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \int V(x) f_{m}(x) \tilde{g}_{m}(x) d x \quad \text { and } \\
\langle\mathcal{A} g, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{W} f\rangle & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{d!} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{n \neq m} \iint \frac{f_{m}(x) f_{n}(y)}{\|x-y\|}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{g}_{m}(x) & \tilde{g}_{m}(y) \\
\tilde{g}_{n}(x) & \tilde{g}_{n}(y)
\end{array}\right| d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

With these inner product formulas (and a little more work), we can again use the fitting and ALS framework we already have.

## It gets worse: Including Geminals

The wavefunction has an 'inter-electron cusp' whenever two variables coincide. We will need to include such structure in our approximation, with something like

$$
g(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A} \sum_{p=0}^{P}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq n} w_{p}\left(\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{p, j}\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

With such structure in $g$ and $f$ and $\mathcal{W}$, we have to compute antisymmetric inner products like

$$
\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{u \neq v} \sum_{a \neq b}\left\langle\mathcal{A} w_{2}\left(\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), \frac{1}{\left\|x_{u}-x_{v}\right\|} w_{1}\left(\left\|x_{a}-x_{b}\right\|\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle
$$

The method we used for $\mathcal{W}$ lets us integrate out all variables except $x_{m}, x_{n}, x_{u}, x_{v}, x_{a}$, and $x_{b}$.

## It gets even worse: Many Geminal Cases

The indexes are restricted by $a \neq b, m \neq n$, and $u \neq v$, but we can still have overlaps such as $a=m$. Between 2 and 6 variables remain.
There are 8 distinct cases, which can be represented graphically as


Each case corresponds to a formula involving a determinant of function of size up to $6 \times 6$. To compute we expand all determinants and end up with several hundred terms to compute. (We have to automate.)

We can then use our existing framework for ALS.

## It gets worse: Including Recursive Approximations

To handle extended quantum-mechanical systems without exponential scaling, we will need another form, such as the recursive form

$$
g(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(\sum_{j_{k}=1}^{r_{k}} \prod_{i_{k}=1}^{d_{k}} g_{k, i_{k}}^{j, j_{k}}\left(x_{k, i_{k}}\right)\right) .
$$

We need to compute antisymmetric inner products like

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{A} \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(\sum_{j_{k}=1}^{r_{k}} \prod_{i_{k}=1}^{d_{k}} g_{k, i_{k}}^{j_{k}}\left(x_{k, i_{k}}\right)\right), \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(\sum_{q_{k}=1}^{r_{k}} \prod_{i_{k}=1}^{d_{k}} f_{k, i_{k}}^{q_{k}}\left(x_{k, i_{k}}\right)\right)\right\rangle
$$

without expanding out.
If successful, we can then use our existing framework for ALS.

## The Classical Center-of-Mass Principle



Summaries

Approximate the gravitational potential energy between the two groups by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{M_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{M_{2}} \frac{m_{1}^{i_{1}} m_{2}^{i_{2}}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i_{1}}-\mathbf{r}_{2}^{i_{2}}\right\|} & \approx \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{M_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{M_{2}} \frac{m_{1}^{i_{1}} m_{2}^{i_{2}}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i_{1}}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right\|} \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{M_{1}} \frac{m_{1}^{i_{1}}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i_{1}}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right\|}\left(\sum_{i_{2}=1}^{M_{2}} m_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{M_{1}} \frac{m_{1}^{i_{1}}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i_{1}}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right\|} S_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ is the center of mass of group two.
This reduces the cost from $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{1} M_{2}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{1}+M_{2}\right)$.

## A Quantum Center-of-Mass Principle, schematic



Even when groups 1 and 3 do not directly interact, the presence of an intermediate group couples them.

It will take a partial expansion of the determinant to decouple them.

Group 3 can then be summarized and the summary embedded in group 2.

## A Quantum Center-of-Mass Principle, formula sketch

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{j_{3}=1}^{r_{3}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{3}=1}^{r_{3}} a\left(j_{1}, \tilde{j}_{1} ; \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; j_{3}, \tilde{j}_{3}\right) \\
\approx \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{j_{3}=1}^{r_{3}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{3}=1}^{r_{3}}\left(\sum_{\alpha} b\left(j_{1}, \tilde{j}_{1} ; \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; \alpha\right) c\left(\alpha, \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; j_{3}, \tilde{j}_{3}\right)\right) \\
=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\alpha} b\left(j_{1}, \tilde{j}_{1} ; j_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; \alpha\right)\left(\sum_{j_{3}=1}^{r_{3}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{3}=1}^{r_{3}} c\left(\alpha, \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; j_{3}, \tilde{j}_{3}\right)\right) \\
=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{1}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{j}_{2}=1}^{r_{2}} \sum_{\alpha} b\left(j_{1}, \tilde{j}_{1} ; \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; \alpha\right) S\left(\alpha, j_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This reduces the cost from $\mathcal{O}\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2} r_{3}^{2}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}+r_{2}^{2} r_{3}^{2}\right)$. For a chain of $K$ groups, $\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2 K}\right)$ reduces to $\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4} K\right)$.

## A Quantum Center-of-Mass Principle, core determinant

Using the non-overlap of groups 1 and 3, the determinant is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(j_{1}, \tilde{j}_{1} ; \dot{j}_{2}, \tilde{j}_{2} ; j_{3}, \tilde{j}_{3}\right)= \\
& \left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{L}_{11} & \mathbb{L}_{12} & 0 \\
\mathbb{L}_{21} & \mathbb{L}_{22} & \mathbb{L}_{23} \\
0 & \mathbb{L}_{32} & \mathbb{L}_{33}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{L}_{11} & \mathbb{L}_{12} & 0 \\
\mathbb{L}_{21} & \mathbb{L}_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbb{L}_{23} \\
0 & \mathbb{L}_{32} & \mathbb{L}_{33}
\end{array}\right]\right| \\
& =\left|\mathbb{A}_{12}+\mathbb{B}_{23}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the subscripts indicate which summation indices the blocks depend upon.

To decouple groups 1 and 3 we need to expand out the determinant of a sum of two matrices.

## Determinant of the Sum of Two Matrices

For $N \times N$ matrices $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$,

$$
|\mathbb{A}+\mathbb{B}|=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset \alpha_{0}, \beta \subset \alpha_{0} \\|\alpha|=|\beta|=k}}(-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)+\sigma(\beta)}\left|\mathbb{A}\left[\alpha_{0} \backslash \alpha ; \alpha_{0} \backslash \beta\right]\right||\mathbb{B}[\alpha ; \beta]|
$$

where $\alpha_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are ordered subsets, $\sigma(\alpha)$ is the sum of the entries in $\alpha, \alpha_{0} \backslash \alpha$ is the complement of $\alpha$ in $\alpha_{0}$, and $\mathbb{B}[\alpha ; \beta]$ denotes the matrix formed using rows $\alpha$ and columns $\beta$ from $\mathbb{B}$.

The expansions are unpleasant. The zeros in our matrices help.

The off-diagonal blocks $\mathbb{L}_{12}, \mathbb{L}_{21}, \mathbb{L}_{23}$, and $\mathbb{L}_{32}$ are expected to be low rank, which shortens the sums needed.

## Conclusions and Comments

- You can do a lot if you can find the right inner product.
- Exotic inner products are harder (impossible?) for other function/tensor approximations.
- Since $\langle(f-g),(f-g)\rangle=\langle f, f\rangle-2\langle f, g\rangle+\langle g, g\rangle$, we can minimize over $g$ even if we cannot compute $\langle f, f\rangle$. This situation arises e.g. when $f$ includes an operator like $\mathcal{W}$.
- ALS convergence is an issue.
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