<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Robust Optimization : A tutorial

V. Leclère (ENPC)

May 21, 2019

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



A generic optimization problem can be written

 $\min_{x} L(x)$ s.t.  $g(x) \leq 0$ 

where

- $\bullet$  x is the decision variable
- $\bullet$  *L* is the objective function
- $\circ$  g is the constraint function



Adding uncertainty  $\xi$  in the mix

 $min_{x} L(x, \tilde{\xi})$ s.t.  $g(x, \tilde{\xi}) \leq 0$ 

Remarks:

- $\bullet$   $\xi$  is unknown. Two main way of modelling it:
	- $\tilde{\xi}\in R$  with a known uncertainty set  $R$ , and a pessimistic approach. This is the robust optimization approach (RO).
	- $\xi$  is a random variable with known probability law. This is the Stochastic Programming approach (SP).

• Cost is not well defined.

- RO : max $\epsilon_{GR} L(x, \xi)$ .
- $SP : \mathbb{E}[L(x, \xi)].$

**• Constraints are not well defined.** 

• RO :  $g(x, \xi)$  < 0,  $\forall \xi \in R$ .  $\bullet$  SP :  $g(x, \xi)$  < 0,  $\mathbb{P}$  – a.s..



## An optimization problem with uncertainty

Adding uncertainty  $\xi$  in the mix

 $min_{x} L(x, \tilde{\xi})$ s.t.  $g(x, \tilde{\xi}) \leq 0$ 

Remarks:

- $\tilde{\xi}$  is unknown. Two main way of modelling it:
	- $\tilde{\xi}\in R$  with a known uncertainty set  $R$ , and a pessimistic approach. This is the robust optimization approach (RO).
	- $\xi$  is a random variable with known probability law. This is the Stochastic Programming approach (SP).

• Cost is not well defined.

- RO : max $\epsilon \in R$   $L(x, \xi)$ .
- $SP : \mathbb{E}[L(x, \xi)].$

**• Constraints are not well defined.** 

• RO :  $g(x, \xi)$  < 0,  $\forall \xi \in R$ .  $\bullet$  SP :  $g(x, \xi)$  < 0,  $\mathbb{P}$  – a.s..



# An optimization problem with uncertainty

Adding uncertainty  $\xi$  in the mix

 $min_{x} L(x, \tilde{\xi})$ s.t.  $g(x, \tilde{\xi}) \leq 0$ 

Remarks:

- $\tilde{\xi}$  is unknown. Two main way of modelling it:
	- $\tilde{\xi}\in R$  with a known uncertainty set  $R$ , and a pessimistic approach. This is the robust optimization approach (RO).
	- $\xi$  is a random variable with known probability law. This is the Stochastic Programming approach (SP).
- **Cost is not well defined.** 
	- RO : max $\epsilon \in R$   $L(x, \xi)$ .
	- $SP : \mathbb{E}[L(x, \xi)]$

**• Constraints are not well defined.** 

- RO :  $g(x,\xi) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in R$ .
- $\bullet$  SP :  $g(x, \xi) < 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P} a.s$ .



- Stochastic optimization :
	- requires a law of the uncertainty  $\xi$
	- can be hard to solve (generally require discretizing the support and blowing up the dimension of the problem)
	- there exists specific methods (like Bender's decomposition)
- Robust optimization :
	- requires an uncertainty set  $R$
	- $\bullet$  can be overly conservative, even for reasonable  $R$
	- complexity strongly depend on the choice of  $R$
- Distributionally robust optimization :
	- is a mix between robust and stochastic optimization
	- consists in solving a stochastic optimization problem where the law is chosen in a robust way
	- is a fast growing fields with multiple recent results
	- but is still hard to implement than other approaches



- Stochastic optimization :
	- requires a law of the uncertainty  $\xi$
	- can be hard to solve (generally require discretizing the support and blowing up the dimension of the problem)
	- there exists specific methods (like Bender's decomposition)
- Robust optimization :
	- requires an uncertainty set  $R$
	- $\bullet$  can be overly conservative, even for reasonable R
	- complexity strongly depend on the choice of  $R$
- Distributionally robust optimization :
	- is a mix between robust and stochastic optimization
	- consists in solving a stochastic optimization problem where the law is chosen in a robust way
	- is a fast growing fields with multiple recent results
	- but is still hard to implement than other approaches



- Stochastic optimization :
	- requires a law of the uncertainty  $\xi$
	- can be hard to solve (generally require discretizing the support and blowing up the dimension of the problem)
	- there exists specific methods (like Bender's decomposition)
- Robust optimization :
	- requires an uncertainty set  $R$
	- can be overly conservative, even for reasonable  $R$
	- **•** complexity strongly depend on the choice of  $R$
- Distributionally robust optimization :
	- is a mix between robust and stochastic optimization
	- consists in solving a stochastic optimization problem where the law is chosen in a robust way
	- is a fast growing fields with multiple recent results
	- but is still hard to implement than other approaches

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



# Some numerical tests on real-life LPs

From Ben-Tal and Nemirovski

- take LP from Netlib library
- look at non-integer coefficients, assuming that they are not known with perfect certainty
- What happens if you change them by  $0.1\%$ ?
	- constraints can be violated by up to  $450\%$
	- P(violation  $> 0$ ) = 0.5
	- P(violation  $> 150\%) = 0.18$
	- $\mathbb{E}[\text{violation}] = 125\%$



What do you want from robust optimization ?

- finding a solution that is less sensible to modified data, without a great increase of price
- choosing an uncertainty set  $R$  that:
	- offer robustness guarantee
	- yield an easily solved optimization problem

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## 2 [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



The robust optimization problem we want to solve is

 $\min_{x} L(x)$ s.t.  $g(x, \xi) < 0$   $\forall \xi \in R$ 

Note that, for simplicity reason we dropped w.l.o.g. the uncertainty in the objective.

Two main approaches are possible:

Constraint generation: replace R by a finite set of  $\xi$ , that is we replace an "infinite number of contraints" by a finite number of them.

Reformulation: replace  $g(x,\xi) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R$ , by  $\sup g(x,\xi) \leq 0$ and then explicit the sup.



The robust optimization problem we want to solve is

 $\min_{x} L(x)$ s.t.  $g(x, \xi) < 0$   $\forall \xi \in R$ 

Note that, for simplicity reason we dropped w.l.o.g. the uncertainty in the objective.

Two main approaches are possible:

Constraint generation: replace R by a finite set of  $\xi$ , that is we replace an "infinite number of contraints" by a finite number of them.

Reformulation: replace  $g(x,\xi) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R$ , by  $\sup g(x,\xi) \leq 0$ ξ∈R and then explicit the sup.





Algorithm 1: Constraint Generation Algorithm Note that we are solving a problem similar to the certain problem with an increasing number of constraints. This is easy to implement and can be numerically efficient.



We can write the robuste optimization problem as

min x  $L(x)$  $\mathsf{s.t.} \quad \sup g(x,\xi) \leq 0$ ξ∈R

Now there are two way of simplifying this problem :

- **•** either we can explicitely compute  $\bar{g}(x) = \sup_{\xi \in R} g(x, \xi)$ ;
- o or by duality we can write  $\sup_{\xi \in R} g(x,\xi) = \min_{\zeta \in Q} h(x,\zeta)$
- min<sub> $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ </sub>  $h(x, \zeta) \leq 0$  is equivalent to  $\exists \zeta$  such that  $h(x, \zeta) \leq 0$ , i.e. just add  $\zeta$  as a variable in your optimization problem

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

#### 2 [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

#### 3 [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)

- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



#### Robust optimization for linear programm

We consider

$$
\min_{x \geq 0} c^{\top} x
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \quad Ax \leq b
$$
\n
$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x \leq b_i \qquad \forall i = 1..k
$$

On this example, for specific  $R$ , we are going to follow both reformulation approaches.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## 2 [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- 3 [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- **[Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)**
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



# An explicit worst case value

• We consider an ellipsoidal uncertainty set

$$
R = \left\{ \xi = \left\{ a_i + \Delta_i u_i \right\}_i \quad | \quad ||u_i||_2 \le \rho \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Here we can, for a given x, explicitely compute

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x = a_i^{\top} x + \sup_{\|u_i\|_2 \le \rho} (\Delta_i u_i)^{\top} x
$$

$$
= a_i^{\top} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2
$$

• Hence, constraint

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^\top x \leq b_i
$$

can be written

$$
a_i^{\mathsf{T}} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2 \leq b_i
$$



• We consider an ellipsoidal uncertainty set

$$
R = \left\{ \xi = \left\{ a_i + \Delta_i u_i \right\}_i \quad | \quad ||u_i||_2 \le \rho \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Here we can, for a given x, explicitely compute

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x = a_i^{\top} x + \sup_{\|u_i\|_2 \le \rho} (\Delta_i u_i)^{\top} x
$$

$$
= a_i^{\top} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2
$$

• Hence, constraint

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x \leq b_i
$$

can be written

$$
a_i^{\top} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2 \leq b_i
$$



• We consider an ellipsoidal uncertainty set

$$
R = \left\{ \xi = \left\{ a_i + \Delta_i u_i \right\}_i \quad | \quad ||u_i||_2 \le \rho \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Here we can, for a given x, explicitely compute

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x = a_i^{\top} x + \sup_{\|u_i\|_2 \le \rho} (\Delta_i u_i)^{\top} x
$$

$$
= a_i^{\top} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2
$$

• Hence, constraint

$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^\top x \leq b_i
$$

can be written

 $a_i^{\top} x + \rho \|\Delta_i x\|_2 \leq b_i$ 



An Second Order Cone Programming constraint is a constraint of the form

 $||a^{\top}x + b||_2 \leq c^{\top}x + d$ 

- An SOCP problem is a (continuous) optimization problem with linear cost and linear and SOCP constraints
- There exists powerful software to solve SOCP (e.g. CPLEX, Gurobi, MOSEK...) with dedicated interior points methods
- There exist a duality theory akin to the LP duality theory
- If a robust optimization problem can be cast as an SOCP the formulation is deemed efficient

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## 2 [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

#### 3 [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)

- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
- **[Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)**
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



$$
\begin{aligned}\n\zeta &\quad \zeta \top D = x \\
\zeta &\geq 0\n\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\sup_{\xi:D\xi\leq d}\xi^{\top}x\leq b & \iff & \min_{\zeta\geq 0;\zeta^{\top}D=x}\zeta^{\top}d\leq b\\
& \iff & \exists \zeta\geq 0, \quad \zeta^{\top}D=x, \quad \zeta^{\top}d\leq b\n\end{array}
$$



as the same value as

$$
\min_{\zeta} \quad \zeta^{\top} d
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \quad \zeta^{\top} D = x
$$
\n
$$
\zeta \ge 0
$$

Thus,

$$
\sup_{\xi:D\xi\leq d} \xi^{\top}x \leq b \iff \min_{\zeta\geq 0:\zeta^{\top}D=x} \zeta^{\top}d \leq b
$$
  

$$
\iff \exists \zeta \geq 0, \quad \zeta^{\top}D = x, \quad \zeta^{\top}d \leq b
$$



We consider a polyhedral uncertainty set

$$
R = \left\{ \xi \mid D\xi \leq d \right\}
$$

• Then the robust optimization problem

$$
\min_{x \ge 0} c^{\top} x
$$
  
s.t. 
$$
\sup_{\xi \in R} \xi^{\top} x \le h
$$

reads

$$
\min_{x \ge 0, \zeta \ge 0} c^{\top} x
$$
  
s.t.  $\zeta^{\top} d \le h$   
 $\zeta^{\top} d = x$ 

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

#### 2 [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

#### 3 [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)

- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
- [Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



The problem

$$
\min_{x} c^{\top} x
$$
  
\n
$$
\sup_{\tilde{A} \in R} \tilde{A} x \leq b
$$
  
\n
$$
\underline{x} \leq x \leq \bar{x}
$$

where each coefficient  $\tilde{a}_{ij} \in [\bar{a}_{ij} - \delta_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ij} + \delta_{ij}]$  can be written

$$
\min_{x} c^{\top} x
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \bar{a}_{ij} x_{j} + \sum_{j} \delta_{ij} |x_{j}| \le b_{i} \qquad \forall i
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i} \bar{a}_{ij} x_{i} + \sum_{j} \delta_{ij} |x_{j}| \le b_{i} \qquad \forall i
$$



The problem

$$
\min_{x} c^{\top} x
$$
  
\n
$$
\sup_{\tilde{A} \in R} \tilde{A}x \leq b
$$
  
\n
$$
\underline{x} \leq x \leq \bar{x}
$$

where each coefficient  $\tilde{a}_{ij} \in [\bar{a}_{ij} - \delta_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ij} + \delta_{ij}]$  can be written

$$
\min_{x,y} \quad c^{\top}x
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \bar{a}_{ij}x_{j} + \sum_{j} \delta_{ij}y_{j} \le b_{i} \qquad \forall i
$$
\n
$$
\underline{x} \le x \le \bar{x}
$$
\n
$$
y_{j} \ge x_{j}, \quad y_{j} \ge -x_{j}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \sum_{j} \delta_{ij}y_{j} \ge b_{j}
$$



Soyster's model is over conservative, we want to consider a model where only  $\Gamma_i$  coefficient per line have non-zero errors, leading to

$$
\min_{x,y} c^{\top}x
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \bar{a}_{ij}x_{j} + \max_{S_{i}:|S_{i}|= \Gamma_{i}} \sum_{j \in S_{i}} \delta_{ij}y_{j} \le b_{i} \qquad \forall i
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \le x \le \bar{x}
$$
\n
$$
y_{j} \ge x_{j}, \quad y_{j} \ge -x_{j}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} x_{j} \le x_{j} \qquad \forall j \ge 0
$$



This means that, for line  $i$  we take a margin of

$$
\beta_i(\mathsf{x},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_i) := \max_{\boldsymbol{S}_i:|\boldsymbol{S}_i|=\boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_i} \sum_{j \in \boldsymbol{S}_i} \delta_{ij} |\mathsf{x}_j|
$$

which can be obtained as

$$
\beta_i(x, \Gamma_i) = \max_{z} \sum_{j} \delta_{ij} |x_j| z_{ij}
$$

$$
\sum_{j} z_{ij} \leq \Gamma_i
$$

$$
0 \leq z_{ij} \leq 1
$$

This LP can be then dualized to be integrated in the original LP.



In the end we obtain

min  $x, \beta, \lambda, \mu$  $c^{\top}x$  $\sum$ j  $\bar{a}_{ij}x_j + \beta_i \leq b_i$   $\forall i$  $\lambda_i$ Γ<sub>i</sub> +  $\sum$ j  $\mu_{ij} \leq \beta_i$   $\forall i$  $\delta_{ii}x_i \leq \lambda_i + \mu_{ii}$   $\forall i, j$  $-\delta_{ii}x_i \leq \lambda_i + \mu_{ii}$   $\forall i, j$  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  $x < x < \bar{x}$ 

<span id="page-35-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- **[Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)**

#### 4 [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



We consider a combinatorial optimization problem:

 $\min_{x \in \{0,1\}^N} \quad \max_{\tilde{c} \in R} \tilde{c}^\top x$ s.t.  $x \in X$ 

where  $R$  is such that each  $\tilde{c}_i \in [\bar{c}_i, \bar{c}_i + \delta_i],$  with at most  $\Gamma$ coefficient deviating from  $\bar{c}_i$ .

Thus the problem reads

$$
(P) \quad \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^N} \quad \bar{c}^\top x + \max_{|S| \le \Gamma} \sum_{i \in S} \delta_i x_i
$$
\n
$$
\text{s.t.} \quad x \in X
$$

wlog we assume that the  $i$  are ordered by decreasing cost uncertainty span :  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots > \delta_n$ .

V. Leclère Content Content [Robust Optimization : A tutorial](#page-0-0) May 21, 2019 19 / 28



We consider a combinatorial optimization problem:

 $\min_{x \in \{0,1\}^N} \quad \max_{\tilde{c} \in R} \tilde{c}^\top x$ s.t.  $x \in X$ 

where  $R$  is such that each  $\tilde{c}_i \in [\bar{c}_i, \bar{c}_i + \delta_i],$  with at most  $\Gamma$ coefficient deviating from  $\bar{c}_i$ .

Thus the problem reads

$$
(P) \quad \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^N} \quad \bar{c}^\top x + \max_{|S| \le \Gamma} \sum_{i \in S} \delta_i x_i
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \quad x \in X
$$

wlog we assume that the  $i$  are ordered by decreasing cost uncertainty span :  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots > \delta_n$ .

V. Leclère Content Content [Robust Optimization : A tutorial](#page-0-0) May 21, 2019 19 / 28



We can write  $(P)$  as



For a given  $x \in X$  we dualize the inner maximization LP problem



 $y_i + \theta \geq \delta_i x_i$  $\mathsf{y}_{j},\theta\geq\mathsf{0}$ 

Note that an optimal solution satisfies

$$
y_j = (\delta_j x_j - \theta)^+ = (\delta_j - \theta)^+ x_j
$$

as  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\theta \geq 0$ .



min  $\bar{c}^{\top}x + \Gamma\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ j=1 s.t.  $x \in X$  $y_i + \theta \geq \delta_i x_i$  $\mathsf{y}_{j},\theta\geq\mathsf{0}$ 

Note that an optimal solution satisfies

$$
y_j = (\delta_j x_j - \theta)^+ = (\delta_j - \theta)^+ x_j
$$

as  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\theta \geq 0$ .

[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Solution approaches](#page-13-0) [Robust LP](#page-18-0) [Robust combinatorial](#page-35-0) [Conclusion](#page-44-0) 00000000  $0000$ 0000000000000  $0000000$ Solving the robust combinatorial problem **III** Thus we can write  $(P)$  as min  $\bar{c}^\top x + \Gamma \theta + \sum_{k=1}^n$  $x_j(\delta_j-\theta)^+$ j=1  $st$   $x \in X$ We can now decompose the problem for  $\theta \in [\delta_\ell, \delta_{\ell-1}]$  where  $\delta_{n+1} = 0$  and  $\delta_0 = +\infty$ . Therefore, we have  $val(P) = \min_{\ell \in [n]} Z^{\ell}$ 

where

$$
Z^{\ell} = \min_{x \in X, \theta \in [\delta_{\ell}, \delta_{\ell-1}]} \quad \bar{c}^{\top} x + \Gamma \theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} x_j (\delta_j - \theta)
$$



As the problem is linear in  $\theta$  we have that

$$
Z^{\ell} = \min_{x \in X, \theta \in [\delta_{\ell}, \delta_{\ell-1}]} \quad \bar{c}^{\top} x + \Gamma \theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} x_j (\delta_j - \theta)
$$

is attained for  $\theta = \delta_{\ell}$  or  $\theta = \delta_{\ell-1}$ . So in the end, we have

$$
\mathit{val}(P) = \min_{\ell \in [n]} G^{\ell}
$$

where

$$
G^{\ell} = \Gamma \delta_{\ell} + \min_{x \in X} \left\{ \bar{c}^{\top} x + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \underbrace{(\delta_i - \delta_{\ell})}_{\geq 0} x_j \right\}
$$



# Algorithm for the robust problem

**1** For  $\ell \in [n]$ , solve

$$
G^{\ell} = \Gamma \delta_{\ell} + \min_{x \in X} \quad \left\{ \bar{c}^{\top} x + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\delta_i - \delta_{\ell}) x_j \right\}
$$

with optimal solution  $x_{\ell}$ 

- $\textsf{2}\ \ \textsf{Set}\ \ell^* \in \argmin_{\ell \in [n]} \textsf{G}^\ell$
- **3** Return  $val(P) = G^{\ell^*}$  and  $x^* = x_\ell$

<span id="page-44-0"></span>

- [How to add uncertainty in an optimization problem](#page-2-0)
- [Why shall you do Robust Optimization ?](#page-10-0)

## [Solving the robust optimization problem](#page-13-0)

- [Robust optimization for Linear Programm](#page-18-0)
	- [Ellipsoidal uncertainty set](#page-20-0)
	- [Polyhedral uncertainty set](#page-25-0)
	- **[Cardinality constrained LP](#page-29-0)**
- [Robust Combinatorial Problem](#page-35-0)



- Because you want to account for some uncertainty
- Because you want to have a solution that resists to changes in data
- Because your data is unprecise and robustness yield better out-of-sample result
- Because you do not have the law of the uncertainty
- Because you can control the robustness level
- Because your problem is "one-shot"



- An uncertainty set that is computationally tractable
- An uncertainty set that yields good results
- An uncertainty set that have some theoretical soundness
- An uncertainty set that take available data into account
- Select uncertainty set / level through cross-validation



Yes: with some assumption over the randomness (e.g. bounded and symmetric around  $\bar{a}$ ) some uncertainty set (e.g. ellipsoidal) have a probabilistic guarantee :

 $\forall \xi \in R_{\varepsilon}, \quad g(x, \xi) \leq 0 \implies$  $(g(x,\xi)\leq 0) \geq 1-\varepsilon$ 

- Yes: in some cases approximation scheme for nominal problem can be extended to robust problem (e.g. cardinal uncertainty in combinatorial problem)
- Yes: using relevant data we can use statistical tools to construct a robust set  $R$  that imply a probabilistic guarantee

<span id="page-48-0"></span>S. D. Bertsimas, D. Brown, C. Caramais Theory and applications of robust optimization Siam Review, 2011.

- 暈 BL Gorissen, I. Yanikoglu and D. den Hertog A practical guide to robust optimization Omega, 2015.
- 量 D. Bertsimas and M. Sim The price of robustness Operations research, 2004.
- 昂 D. Bertsimas and M. Sim Robust discrete optimization and network flows Mathematical Programming, 2003.
- F A. Ben Tal, L El Ghaoui, A. Nemirovski Robust optimization Springer, 2009.