

---

# Viscoplastic flows and free surface.

Didier BRESCH

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR5127 CNRS, Université de Savoie

73176 Le Bourget du lac cedex, FRANCE

Didier.Bresch@univ-savoie.fr

**Financial support** : PPF Université de Savoie / Institut de la Montagne

ANR MathOcéan 2008/2011

# Outline of this talk

---

- I) Shallow-water type equations from Navier-Stokes equations.
- I.a) Formal derivation: Effect of boundary conditions and Reynolds number order.
- I.b) Mathematical justifications and open questions.
- I.c) Global weak solutions for viscous shallow-water system.
- II) Shallow-water type equations with thresholds terms.
- II.a) Effect of contact angle: some physical works.
- II.b) Compressible viscoplastic constitutive law :  
Numerical difficulties, mathematical difficulties.
- III) Other models used in avalanches simulation.

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

## SHALLOW-WATER TYPE SYSTEMS:

Used in various applications (river flows, ocean, MHD.....)

Obtained in a simple version from elementary principles in 1871, De St Venant.

Described flow: **vertical mean value of the horizontal flow components.**

Various models obtained from  $\neq$  systems : Euler-Irrotationnel, Navier-Stokes, .....

From 'Euler-Irrotationnel': *see*. D. Lannes *et. al.*

Here we focus on **viscous effects** and/or **threshold effects** through recent works.

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

Formal derivation from Navier-Stokes :

Strongly depends on boundary conditions choices.

- a) No slip conditions.
- b) Friction conditions (which BC ? Wall laws!).
- c) Mixing of the both?

Strongly depends on the order of the Reynolds number.

- i) Reynolds order 1 .
- ii) Reynolds linked to the aspect ratio (which link?).

Examples.

- cas a)-i) See for instance J.-P. Vila (2007),
- cas b)-ii) See for Instance J.-F. Gerbeau, B. Perthame (2001) ( $Re = O(1/\varepsilon)$ ).

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

Denoting  $U = (u, w)$  the velocity of the flow governed by Navier-Stokes and  $v = \left( \int_0^{h(t,x)} u(t, \xi) d\xi \right) / h(t, x)$  the vertical mean value of the horizontal component.

Asymptotic at order 2 !!

Slip boundary condition.

See: J.-F. Gerbeau, B. Perthame (*DCDS*, 2001) with a flat bottom.

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (hv)_x = 0, \\ (hv)_t + \left( hv^2 + \frac{h^2}{2} \right)_x - \bar{\kappa} h h_{xxx} - 4\varepsilon \partial_x (h \partial_x v) + \frac{r_0 v}{1 + \varepsilon h} = 0. \end{cases}$$

No slip boundary condition with slop.

see: J.-P. VILA (2007) with  $c = \cos \theta$  and  $s = \sin \theta$ ,  $\theta$  slop angle.

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (hv)_x = 0, \\ (hv)_t + \left( \frac{6}{5} h v^2 + c \frac{h^2}{2} - \frac{(2s)^2}{75} h^5 \right)_x - \bar{\kappa} h h_{xxx} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( 2s h - \frac{3v}{h} \right). \end{cases}$$

If we want to see viscous effect, go next order!

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

Slip boundary condition (J.-F. Gerbeau, B. Perthame)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_z^2 u^0 = 0, \\ \partial_z u^0|_{z=0} = 0, \partial_z u^0|_{z=h} = 0. \end{cases}$$

- ⇒  $u^0(t, x)$  only
- ⇒ need dynamics for  $u^0(t, x)$  (Hyperbolic Shallow-Water equations)
- ⇒ Next order (viscous term). ⇒ Need order 2 to close the system since  $u^1|_{z=0}$  is *a priori* unknown.

No slip condition (J.-P. Vila)

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_z^2 u^0 = 2s, \\ u^0|_{z=0} = 0, \partial_z u^0|_{z=h} = 0. \end{cases}$$

- ⇒  $u^0(t, x)$  depends explicitly on  $h$  (Nusselt profile).
- ⇒ Thin film equation (see BERTOZZI, PUGH *et al.*).
- ⇒ Need next order to get the shallow water dynamic.
- ⇒ Need order 2 to close the system since  $\partial_z u^1|_{z=0}$  is *a priori* unknown.

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

## Mathematical justification of the formal derivations.

1st mathematical justification and derivation of the J.–P. Vila model:

Non zero capillarity and lateral periodic condition !! : D.B., P. Noble (*Methods of Anal. and Appl.*, 2007), (strong solution, 2D- $\rightarrow$ 1D).

Global existence for free surface on slope: T. Nishida, Y. Teramoto, H.A. Win. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (1993).

Mathematical justification and derivation of the J.–F. Gerbeau, B. Perthame model:

D.B., P. Noble: large almost 2D initial data, from primitive equations, still in progress.

In the spirit of thin-domain Navier-Stokes equations: D. Iftimie, G. Raugel, G. Sell, R. Temam, M. Ziane etc...

**Open problems:** Mathematical justification without surface tension, dynamical shore boundary conditions.

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

## Global existence of weak solutions for viscous degenerate shallow-water system.

An interesting mathematical structure for a model as Gerbeau-Perthame model (D.B., B. Desjardins, CMP2003)!!

Simplification  $\implies$  Expressions for  $r_0 = 0$  and  $\bar{\kappa} = 0$ :

1) Energy equality :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} h |v|^2 + |h|^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega} 4\varepsilon |\partial_x v|^2 = 0.$$

2) A mathematical entropy (cf. works D.B., B. Desjardins on St. Venant.)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} h |v - 2\varepsilon \partial_x \log h|^2 + |h|^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_x h|^2 = 0.$$

If we control  $\partial_x \sqrt{h}$  initially, we control it all the time..... and prove global existence of weak solutions!!

**Remark:** We find a specific velocity  $v - 2\varepsilon \partial_x \log h$  ! What it means physically?? It comes from degenerate viscosity!! How to conserve it numerically??

**Some examples where such quantities appear:** Fick law, Low Mach number..... see recent works by Brenner (MIT): bi-velocity model!!!

# Shallow water equations from Navier-Stokes equations

---

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Thresholds phenomena?

Used in various applications: dense flows (lava, mud, snow), Landslide.....

Different sources (earthquakes, precipitation ...), various scales, comparison.

Not too much data : essentially on deposits.

**Threshold** = (chgt of state) : Below nothing, above yes.

**Threshold** = rest state friction angle  $\delta$  given or plasticity stress tensor.

rest state friction angle = typical angle for granular state

⇒ reproduces flow behavior, deposit shape, morphological structure.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Physical works.

Some **simulations** by A. Mangeney *et al.* calibrating through friction angle  $\delta$ ,  $\mu = \tan \delta$ :

- Fei Tsui, Shum Wan (Hong-Kong) :  $\delta = 26^\circ, 18^\circ$
- Six des eux froides (Suisse) :  $\delta = 17^\circ$
- Frank (Canada) :  $\delta = 14^\circ$
- Boxing day (Motserrat) :  $\delta = 15^\circ$
- Ophir Chasma, Candor Chasma, Ganges Chasma (Mars) :  $\delta = 9.8^\circ, 9.9^\circ, 9.4^\circ$ .

⇒ large variability of friction angles.....

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Threshold terms under which form?

### Examples.

- A) Coulomb type friction term,
- B) Viscoplastic constitutive law for example.

### Results :

- case B)-a)-i) / E. Fernandez-Nieto, P. Noble, J.-P. Vila. In progress (2008),
- case B)-b)-i) / D.B., E. Fernandez-Nieto, I. Ionescu, P. Vigneaux, *Adv. Math. Fluid Mech.* (2009).  
To appear *Adv. Math Fluid Mech.* (2008).
- cas A) bilayers / E. Fernandez-Nieto, F. Bouchut, D.B., M. Castro, A. Mangeney, *J. Comput Physics* (2008).

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Which modeling and numerical problems?

Viscoplastic COMPRESSIBLE system: Mixing finite-volume/Augmented Lagrangian.

⇒ Generalisation of the Fortin-Glowinski method to the compressible case!!

Which viscoplastic model ? Take into account other phenomena: Elasticity, fluidity ?

Other applications : Perforation.....DGA....

COMPRESSIBLE system coupled with coulomb term. Models with threshold term in only one momentum equation (term linked to the granulat friction angle) ⇒ Pb since interaction solid/fluid and fluid/solid ⇒ How to discretize such term in an iterative scheme? Granular medium in water? what time and space scales ?

Some references regarding finite volume/ Shallow-Water : F. Bouchut, M. Castro-Diaz *et al.*, Th. Gallouët, R.J. Leveque, F. Marche.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## What kind of mathematical problems?

- 1) Shallow-water type models derivation from models with threshold and free surface.
- 2) Well posedness of derived systems.
- 3) Stability, long-time behavior.
- 4) Security criterium, time stopping estimate.

1) Really open since Newtonian case justification recent.

2)-3) The procedure to prove global existence of weak solutions à la Leray due P.-L. Lions does not work. Use of BD type entropy? Strong solutions à la Hoff ?

Some Russian interesting refs: Mamontov (weak solution with linear pressure, multi-D model), V. Shelukhin et I. Basov (strong solution on 1D model, asymptotic limit from non-newtonian flow).

4) Some works have been done related to viscoplastic solids in some admissible motions: T. Lachand-Robert, I. Ionescu *et al.*, G. Carlier (Cheeger sets).

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Shallow water and Bingham

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t h + (hv)_x = 0, \\ \int_0^L h(\partial_t v + v\partial_x v)(\psi - v) dx + \int_0^L \beta v(\psi - v) dx + \int_0^L 4\eta h \partial_x v \partial_x(\psi - v) dx \\ + \int_0^L Bh\sqrt{2}(|\partial_x \psi| - |\partial_x v|) dx \geq \frac{-1}{Fr^2} \int_0^L h \sin \theta(\psi - v) dx \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{Fr^2} \int_0^L \frac{\cos \theta}{2} h^2 (\partial_x \psi - \partial_x v) dx. \end{array} \right.$$

If  $B = 0$  then viscous-shallow water similar to Gerbeau-Perthame.

Case B)-b)-i) : We get such model from variational formulation of incompressible Bingham flows with free surface and adequate test functions choices.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Incompressible visco-plastic Bingham equations

### Constitutive law

Cauchy tensor:  $\sigma = -p\text{Id} + \tau$ .

Bingham model (1992):

$$\begin{cases} |\tau| \leq B \text{ when } |D(u)| = 0, \\ \tau = 2\eta D(u) + B \frac{D(u)}{|D(u)|} \text{ when } |D(u)| \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The case  $B = 0$  gives Newtonian fluid.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Incompressible Bingham type visco-plastic equations

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} \sigma = f, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

If fixed domain  $\Omega$ : minimization problem with non differentiable convex energy.

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} 2\eta |D(u)|^2 + \int_{\Omega} B |D(u)| - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot u$$

**Problem:**

$$\operatorname{Min}_{v \in H_0^1} J(v).$$

**Difficulties:** Non-differentiable energy  $\implies$  Variational inequality.

**Numerical strategy :**

Augmented Lagrangian Algorithm + mesh adaptation (*cf.* P. Saramito (Grenoble)).

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Standart Bingham

$$\begin{cases} |\tau| \leq B \text{ when } |D(u)| = 0, \\ \tau = \eta D(u) + B \frac{D(u)}{|D(u)|} \text{ when } |D(u)| \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

equivalent to

$$\max\left(0, \frac{|\tau| - B}{|\tau|}\right) \tau = \eta_m D(u).$$

**Remark:** We have written a shallow-water/Bingham type model for order 1 Reynolds number and slip boundary condition at order *i.e.* B)-b)-i). The asymptotic is realized on the variational inequality.

**Remark:** For model assuming order 1 Reynolds number and no slip boundary condition (*i.e.* B)-a)-i)). See E. Fernandez-Nieto, P. Noble, J.P. Vila (2009).

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Difficulty coming from viscoplastic compressible equations : Finite volume and Augmented Lagrangian method.

Simple idea : The numerical flux has to take into account the several steps of the Augmented Lagrangian method (Saddle point calculus in  $(V, q, \mu)$  then iterative method).

- Linear system associated to the velocity problem with right-hand side term.
- Minimization problem associated to Lagrangian multiplier (explicit calculus).

Stationary states have to be preserved on the linear system associated to the velocity problem, we get a necessary and sufficient condition on the iterates which push to choose adequate numerical fluxes.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

An idea of how it works !!

**In the iterative scheme:** Let  $V_0^n$ ,  $H^n$ ,  $\mu^n$  and  $q^n$  be given for  $k = 0$ .

- 1) Calculus of  $q^{k+1}$
- 2) Calculus of  $V^{k+1}$  as solution of linear ODE with right hand side
- 3) Update of  $\mu^{k+1}$ .
- 4) Loop on  $\mu^k$ .

At convergence, we get the velocity at time  $t^{n+1}$ . We let  $V_0^{n+1} = V^{k+1}$ ,  $\mu^{n+1} = \mu^k$ ,  $q^{n+1} = q^{k+1}$ .

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

In the iterative calculus we get the following expression at the right hand-side of the velocity pb that we denote  $b$  at time  $t^n$  :

$$-H^n \left[ \partial_x \left( \frac{\bar{\rho}_0 (V_0^n)^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Fr}^2} H^n \bar{\rho}_0 \cos \theta \right) - \bar{\rho}_0 \text{St} \frac{V_0^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{1}{\text{Fr}^2} \bar{\rho}_0 \sin \theta \right] \\ + \partial_x (H^n (\mu^k - r q^{k+1})).$$

From the height equation, we also have the term evaluated at time  $t = t^n$  :

$$-St \frac{H^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{\partial(H^n V_0^n)}{\partial x}.$$

We define the flux

$$F(W) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho} V^2 / 2 + \varepsilon H \bar{\rho}_0 \cos \theta / \text{Fr}^2 \\ HV \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} H \\ V \end{pmatrix},$$

and by  $\phi$  a numerical flux approaching  $F$ .

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Approximation of  $b^i$  :

$$b_i = -H_i^n \left[ \frac{\phi_{i+1/2}^{V^n} - \phi_{i-1/2}^{V^n}}{\Delta x} - \frac{1}{\text{Fr}^2} \bar{\rho}_0 \sin \theta - St \frac{\bar{\rho}_0 V_0^n}{\Delta t} \right] + \frac{G_{i-1/2}^V + G_{i+1/2}^V}{2}$$

with

$$G_{i+1/2}^V = H_{i+1/2}^n \frac{\mu_{i+1}^k - r q_{i+1}^{k+1} - (\mu_i^k - r q_i^{k+1})}{\Delta x}.$$

Approximation of  $H^{n+1}$  :

$$St H_i^{n+1} = St H_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\phi_{i+1/2}^H - \phi_{i-1/2}^H).$$

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

The source term due to the topography has to be taken into account in  $\phi^H$ . If we note

$$G_{topo} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{Fr^2} \overline{\rho_0} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $\phi^H$  is defined as the first component of

$$\phi_{topo,i+1/2} = \frac{F(W_i) + F(W_{i+1})}{2} - \frac{1}{2} D_{i+1/2} (W_{i+1} - W_i - A_{i+1/2}^{-1} G_{topo}).$$

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Due to source terms associated to the Augmented-Lagrangian,  $\mu + r q$ ,  $\phi_H$  have to take into account them. If the algorithm tops at index  $k_e$ , we approach the terms by  $\mu^{ke+1} + r q^{ke+1}$ . And we define  $\phi^H$  as first component of

$$\phi_{\mu,q,i+1/2} = \frac{F(W_i) + F(W_{i+1})}{2} -$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_{i+1/2} (W_{i+1} - W_i - A_{i+1/2}^{-1} (G_{topo,i+1/2} + G_{\mu,q,i+1/2})).$$

where

$$G_{\mu,q,i+1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ H_{i+1/2}^n (\mu_{i+1}^{ke+1} - r q_{i+1}^{ke+1}) - H_{i-1/2}^n (\mu_i^{ke+1} - r q_i^{ke+1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

**Properties:** We show that if initially, for all  $x \in [0, L]$

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\text{Fr}^2} \overline{\rho_0} \sin \theta(x - L/2) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Fr}^2} \overline{\rho_0} \cos \theta(H(x) - H(L/2)), \quad q(x) = 0$$

then the scheme preserves exactly the stationary solutions.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Various numerical schemes:

- 1) What happens with bad flux discretization?
- 2) What is the influence of the Bingham number?
- 3) What happens on a stationary solution if we change Bingham number?
- 4) What happens for a big bump?

**Detail :** See D. Bresch, E. Fernandez-Nieto, I. Ionescu, P. Vigneaux. Augmented lagrangian method and compressible viscoplastic flows: application to shallow dense avalanches. To appear *Adv. Math. Fluid Mech.* (2008).

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Other elasto-viscoplastic models

A mixed Oldroyd-Bingham type model:

see P. Saramito, *J. Non Newtonian Fluid Mech* (2007).

⇒ Generalisation of Schwedoff model.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma = -p\text{Id} - 2\eta D(u) + \tau, \\ \lambda D_t \tau + \max\left(0, \frac{|\tau_d| - B}{|\tau_d|}\right) \tau = 2\eta_m D(u) \\ D_t \tau = \partial_t \tau + u \cdot \nabla \tau + W(u)\tau - \tau W(u) - a[D(u)\tau + \tau D(u)] \end{array} \right.$$

with  $a \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\tau_d$  the deviatoric part of  $\tau$ .

See also paper of S. Benito, C.-H. Bruneau, T. Colin, C. Gay, F. Molino. *Eur. Phys. J.E.* (2008).

**Question :** Quid St-Venant type model derivation from such kind of model?

**Interest:** Take into account the elastic character for instance for lava. Important also in cosmetic.....

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Stratified model with a fluid  $\rho_1$  and a granular medium  $\rho_s$

H1) Fluid and granular material immiscible and "Euler" for both.

$$\rho_2 = (1 - \psi_0)\rho_s + \psi_0\rho_1.$$

H2) Anisotropy in the pressure tensors which has a part due to fluid and one due to material: Iverson-Delinger law (2001) for granular material.

H3) Continuity normal part and friction interface tangential part.

H4) At bottom, tangential part on  $P^2$  with Coulomb law taking into account  $P^1$  (Archimede.)

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t h_1 + \partial_x (h_1 v_1) = 0, \\ \partial_t (h_1 v_1) + \partial_x (h_1 v_1^2 + gh_1^2 (\cos \theta)/2) \\ \quad = -gh_1 b_x + g(\sin \theta) \theta_x h_1^2 / 2 - gh_1 \partial_x ((\cos \theta) h_2) + \text{fric}(v_1, v_2) / \rho_1, \\ \partial_t h_2 + \partial_x (h_2 v_2) = 0, \\ \partial_t (h_2 v_2) + \partial_x (h_2^2 v_2^2 + \Lambda_2 gh_2^2 (\cos \theta)/2) \\ \quad = -gh_2 \partial_x b - rgh_2 \Lambda_1 \partial_x (h_1 \cos \theta) - \text{fric}(v_1, v_2) / \rho_2 + gh_2^2 (\sin \theta) \partial_x \theta / 2 + \tau \end{array} \right.$$

where  $g$  gravité,  $\rho_2 = (1 - \psi_0)\rho_s + \psi_0\rho_1$ ,  $r = \rho_1/\rho_2$ ,  $r_s = \rho_s/\rho_2$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1 + K(1 - \lambda_1)$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = r\lambda_2 + K(1 - r\lambda_2)$  where  $K$  measures anisotropy.

The friction term  $\tau$  of Coulomb type is defined by :

If  $|\tau| \geq \sigma_c$  then  $\tau = -gh_2 ((\cos \theta)(1 - r) + v_2^2 \theta_x) (\tan \delta_0) v_2 / |v_2|$  elsewhere  $v_2 = 0$ .

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

I) This model possesses a dissipative entropy inequality:

II) It preserves the stationary-state

$$v_1 = v_2 = 0, \quad b + (h_1 + h_2) \cos \theta = \text{cst}$$

$$|(\Lambda_2 - r\Lambda_1)\partial_x(b + h_2(\cos \theta)) + (1 - \Lambda_2)(\partial_x b - h_2(\sin \theta)\partial_x \theta/2)| \leq (1 - r) \tan \delta_0.$$

**Remark :** For  $K = 1$ , we get

$$|\partial_x(b + h_2 \cos \theta)| \leq \tan \delta_0.$$

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

Finite volume scheme preserving stationary states!!

Discretized system following Roe Scheme.

Scheme in two steps in order to the friction Coulomb term:

1) Calculus of  $W_i^* = (h_{1,i}^*, Q_{1,i}^*, h_{2,i}^*, Q_{2,i}^*)$  by flux of generalized Roe type.

$$W_i^* = W_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( \mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}_{i-1/2}^{n,+} + \mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}_{i+1/2}^{n,-} \right).$$

2) Then we define  $W_i^{n+1} = [h_{1,i}, q_{1,i}, h_{2,i}, q_{2,i}^{n+1}]$  with  $q_{2,i}^{n+1}$  defined following threshold compared to  $q_{2,i}^*$ .

**Details :** See E.D. Fernandez-Nieto, F. Bouchut, D. Bresch, M.J. Castro-Diaz, A. Mangeney. A new Savage–Hutter type model for submarine avalanches and generated tsunami. *J. Comput. Physics* 227, (2008), 7720-7754.

# Shallow-water with thresholds terms

---

## Various numerical tests:

- 1) Sub-aerial landslide.
- 2) Generation of "Tsunamis" and propagation : Inspired from paper Heinrich-Piatanesi-Hèbert on **1998 Papua New Guinea event**.

# Other models

---

Kazhikov-smagulov type model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \mathcal{D} + \nabla P = 0, \\ u = v + \alpha \nabla \log \rho, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the viscosity term.

See J. Etienne, E. Hopfinger, P. Saramito for simulation: *Annals of Glaciology*, (2004).

Global existence of weak solutions for adequate viscosity :  $-\alpha \operatorname{div}(\rho D(u))$ .

D.B., E. Essoufi, M. Sy (2003).

# Other models

---

## Biphasic compressible system.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm \otimes u^\pm) + \alpha^\pm \nabla p, \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \tau^\pm) + \sigma^\pm \alpha^\pm \rho^\pm \nabla \Delta(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm), \\ \alpha^+ + \alpha^- = 1, \quad p = p^\pm(\rho^\pm), \quad \tau^\pm = 2\mu^\pm D(u^\pm) + \lambda^\pm \operatorname{div} u^\pm \operatorname{Id}, \\ (\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm)|_{t=0} = R_0^\pm, \quad (\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm)|_{t=0} = m_0^\pm \\ R_0^\pm \geq 0, \quad \alpha_0^\pm \in [0, 1] \text{ tel que } \alpha_0^- + \alpha_0^+ = 1, \\ |m_0^\pm|^2 / R_0^\pm = 0 \text{ sur } \{x \in \Omega : R_0^\pm(x) = 0\}. \end{array} \right.$$

See D. Dutykh for numerical simulation.

# Other models

---

## Non conservative model and non-hyperbolic model without viscosity.

D.B., B. Desjardins, J.M. Ghidaglia, E. Grenier. Submitted (2008).

**Result.** Let  $\mu^\pm(\rho^\pm) = \mu^\pm \rho^\pm$ ,  $\lambda^\pm = 0$  and  $p(\rho^\pm) = a^\pm(\rho^\pm)^{\gamma^\pm}$  with  $1 < \gamma^\pm < 6$ . Standard hypothesis on initial data  $+\nabla\sqrt{R_0^\pm}$  in  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ . There exists a global weak solution of the biphasic system.

Compactness (integrability) in pressure term  $\implies$  constraints on  $\gamma^\pm$ .

Weak solutions formulation similar to D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, C.K. Lin. CPDE (2001).

Other results in this paper: local existence of strong sol, invariant sets, spectral analysis.....

Similar to bi-layers shallow-water system.

## Other models

---

For more details on recent results related to viscoplastic fluids:

see J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech, volume 142 (2007), Guest editors: N.J. Balmforth, I. Friguard.

Herschel-Bulkley, power laws fluids, suspension models.....