



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Machine learning for coarse-graining molecular systems

Gabriel STOLTZ

(CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts & MATHERIALS team, Inria Paris)

With Zineb Belkacemi (Sanofi & ENPC), Tony Lelièvre (ENPC/Inria) and Paraskevi Gkeka (Sanofi)

Data Science and Computational Statistics Seminar, University of Birmingham

Outline

- Molecular systems and basics of statistical physics
 - Reaction coordinates and free energy
 - A (short/biased) review of machine learning approaches for RC
- Free-energy biasing and iterative learning with autoencoders¹
 - Autoencoders and their training
 - General presentation of the iterative algorithm
 - Illustration/sanity checks on toy examples
- Applications to systems of interest (alanine dipeptive, chignolin, HSP90)

¹Z. Belkacemi, P. Gkeka, T. Lelièvre, G. Stoltz, arXiv preprint **2104.11061**

Molecular description of systems

Statistical physics (1)

What is the structure of the protein? What are its typical conformations, and what are the transition pathways from one conformation to another?



Statistical physics (2)

 \bullet Microstate of a classical system of N particles:

$$(q,p) = (q_1,\ldots,q_N, p_1,\ldots,p_N) \in \mathcal{E} = (a\mathbb{T})^{3N} \times \mathbb{R}^{3N}$$

Positions q (configuration), momenta p (to be thought of as $M\dot{q}$)

• Hamiltonian
$$H(q,p) = V(q) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i}$$
 (physics is in V)

Macrostate: Boltzmann–Gibbs probability measure (NVT) $\mu(dq \, dp) = Z_{\text{NVT}}^{-1} e^{-\beta H(q,p)} \, dq \, dp, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{k_{\text{B}}T}$

• Typical evolution equations: Langevin dynamics (friction $\gamma > 0$)

$$\begin{cases} dq_t = M^{-1} p_t \, dt \\ dp_t = -\nabla V(q_t) \, dt - \gamma M^{-1} p_t \, dt + \sqrt{2\gamma \beta^{-1}} \, dW_t \end{cases}$$

Reaction coodinates (RC) / collective variables (CV)

- Reaction coordinate $\xi : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \ll D$
- \bullet Ideally: $\xi(q_t)$ captures the slow part of the dynamics
- Free energy computed on $\Sigma(z) = \{q \in (a\mathbb{T})^D \,|\, \xi(q) = z\}$ (foliation)

$$F(z) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left(\int_{\Sigma(z)} e^{-\beta V(q)} \,\delta_{\xi(q)-z}(dq) \right)$$

• Various methods: TI, FEP, ABF, metadynamics, etc²

²Lelièvre/Rousset/Stoltz, Free Energy Computations: A Mathematical Perspective (Imperial College Press, 2010)

Some representative approaches for finding RC/CV (1)

- Chemical/physical intuition (distances, angles, RMSDs, coordination numbers, etc)
- Short list of data-oriented approaches (depending on the data at hand...)
 - [supervised learning] separate metastable states
 - [unsupervised] distinguish linear models (PCA) and nonlinear ones (e.g. based on autoencoders such as MESA³)
 - [dynamics] operator based approaches (VAC, EDMD, diffusion maps, MSM; incl. tICA and VAMPNets)

(Huge litterature! I am not quoting precise references here because the list would be too long)

 \bullet Other classifications 4,5 possible, e.g. slow vs. high variance CV

³W. Chen and A.L. Ferguson, *J. Comput. Chem.* 2018; W. Chen, A.R. Tan, and A.L. Ferguson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2018

- ⁴P. Gkeka et al., *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2020
- ⁵A. Gliemlo, B. Husic, A. Rodriguez, C. Clementi, F. Noé, A. Laio, Chem. Rev. 2021

Some representative approaches for finding RC/CV (2)



Free-energy biasing and iterative learning with autoencoders

Autoencoders (1)



Autoencoders (2)

• Data space $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$, bottleneck space $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with d < D

$$f(x) = f_{\mathsf{dec}}\Big(f_{\mathsf{enc}}(x)\Big)$$

where $f_{enc} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $f_{dec} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$

Reaction coordinate = encoder part

$$\xi = f_{\rm enc}$$

- \bullet Fully connected neural network, symmetrical structure, 2L layers
- Parameters $\mathbf{p} = \{p_k\}_{k=1,\dots,K}$ (bias vectors b_ℓ and weights matrices W_ℓ)

$$f_{\mathbf{p}}(x) = g_{2L} \left[b_{2L} + W_{2L} \dots g_1 (b_1 + W_1 x) \right]$$

with activation functions g_ℓ (examples: tanh(x), max(0, x), etc)

Training autoencoders

• Theoretically: minimization problem in $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^K$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mu} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \mathbf{p}),$$

with cost function

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\|X - f_{\mathbf{p}}(X)\|^2) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x - f_{\mathbf{p}}(x)\|^2 \ \mu(dx)$$

• In practice, access only to a sample: minimization of empirical cost

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|x^{i} - f_{\mathbf{p}}(x^{i})\|^{2}, \qquad \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{x^{i}}$$

• Typical choices: canonical measure μ , data points x^i postprocessed from positions q (alignement to reference structure, centering, reduction to backbone carbon atoms, etc)

Training on modified target measures

• Interesting systems are metastable (no spontaneous exploration of phase space) Sample according to a biased distribution $\tilde{\mu}$ (importance sampling)

• Need for reweighting to learn the correct encoding!

$$w(x) = rac{\mu(x)}{\widetilde{\mu}(x)}$$

• Minimization problem: theoretical cost function

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \mathbf{p}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x - f_{\mathbf{p}}(x)\|^2 w(x) \widetilde{\mu}(dx),$$

actual cost function

$$\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mu}_{\mathsf{wght}}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_i \| x^i - f_{\mathbf{p}}(x^i) \|^2, \qquad \widehat{w}_i = \frac{\mu(x^i) / \widetilde{\mu}(x^i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu(x^j) / \widetilde{\mu}(x^j)}$$

 \bullet Only requires the knowledge of μ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ up to a multiplicative constant.

How training is actually performed...

• Gradient descent with minibatching: randomly reshuffle data points,

$$\mathbf{p}_r = \mathbf{p}_{r-1} - \eta \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{p}_{r-1}), \qquad \mathcal{L}_r(p) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=rm+1}^{(r+1)m} \|x^i - f_{\mathbf{p}}(x^i)\|^2$$

One epoch = $\lceil N/m \rceil$ gradient steps (in order to visit all the data)

• Actual procedure:

- Use keras module in python
- Computation of gradient performed with backpropagation
- Optimization in fact performed with Adam algorithm

(weights summing to 1 to use default optimization parameters)

- "Early stopping" (stop when validation loss no longer improves)
- Many local minima...

Proof of concept (1)

• Gaussian distributions $\mu_i = \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_i)$ with

$$\Sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.01 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.01 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Datasets \mathcal{D}_i of $N = 10^6$ i.i.d. points

- \bullet Autoencoders with 2 layers of resp. 1 and 2 nodes, linear activation functions (\simeq PCA)
- Training on:
 - D_1
 - \mathcal{D}_2
 - \mathcal{D}_2 with reweighting $\widehat{w}_i \propto \mu_1/\mu_2$

Proof of concept (2)

Heat maps of $f_{\rm enc}$



Third encoder very similar to the first: projection on x_1 . Second encoder projects on a direction close to x_2 .

Proof of concept with free energy biasing (1)

Two dimensional potential ("entropic switch")⁶

$$V(x_1, x_2) = 3e^{-x_1^2} \left(e^{-(x_2 - 1/3)^2} - e^{-(x_2 - 5/3)^2} \right)$$
$$- 5e^{-x_2^2} \left(e^{-(x_1 - 1)^2} + e^{-(x_1 + 1)^2} \right) + 0.2x_1^4 + 0.2(x_2 - 1/3)^4$$



Trajectory from $q^{j+1} = q^j - \nabla V(q^j)\Delta t + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}\Delta t}G^j$ for $\beta = 4$ and $\Delta t = 10^{-3} \longrightarrow$ metastability in the x_1 direction

⁶S. Park, M.K. Sener, D. Lu, and K. Schulten (2003)

Proof of concept with free energy biasing (2)

• Free energy biasing: distributions $Z_i^{-1} \exp\left(-\beta \left[V(q) - F_i(\xi_i(q))\right]\right)$

$$F_1(x_1) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\beta V(x_1, x_2)} dx_2\right), \qquad F_2(x_2) = -\beta^{-1} \ln\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots dx_1\right)$$

Three datasets: unbiased trajectory, trajectories biased using F_1 and F_2 (free energy biased trajectories are shorter but same number of data points $N = 10^6$)

 \bullet Autoencoders: 2-1-2 topology, activation functions \tanh (so that RC is in [-1,1]) then identity

- Five training scenarios:
 - training on long unbiased trajectory (reference RC)
 - ξ_1 -biased trajectory, with or without reweighting
 - ξ_2 -biased trajectory, with or without reweighting

Proof of concept with free energy biasing (3)



Full iterative algorithm (Free Energy Biasing and Iterative Learning with AutoEncoders)

Input: Initial condition q_0 , autoencoder topology and initialization parameters A_{init} , number of samples N, simulation procedure S and adaptive biasing procedure S_{AB} , maximum number of iterations I_{max} , minimum convergence score s_{\min}

Initialization

Sample traj₀ $\leftarrow S(q_0, N)$ Initialize autoencoder AE₀ $\leftarrow A_{\text{init}}$ Train AE₀ on traj₀ with weights $(\widehat{w}_0, \dots, \widehat{w}_N) = (1, \dots 1)$ Extract the encoder function $\xi_0 : x \mapsto \xi_0(x)$

Iterative update of the reaction coordinate

Treshold s_{\min} to be determined

in our case: extended ABF

Convergence metric to be made precise

Production of output:

Sample traj_{final}, $F_{\text{final}} \leftarrow S_{\text{AB}}(q_0, N_{\text{final}}\xi_{\text{final}})$ with N_{final} large enough to ensure PMF convergence

Discussion on the convergence criterion (1/2)

• Check convergence of CV?

Quantify $\xi_i \approx \Phi(\xi_{i-1})$ for some monotonic function Φ

- \bullet Approach: approximate Φ by a linear model \rightarrow linear regression
- Regression score between ξ and ξ'
 - Two sets of values of RC $(\xi(q^1), \ldots, \xi(q^N))$ and $(\xi'(q^1), \ldots, \xi'(q^N))$
 - $\bullet\,$ Match them with a linear model M(z)=Wz+b

• Coefficient of determination $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \xi'(q^i) - M(\xi(q^i)) \right\|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \xi'(q^i) - \bar{\xi'} \right\|^2}$

- Maximization of R^2 w.r.t. $W\!,b$ provides $\operatorname{regscore}(\xi',\xi)$
- \bullet Value of $\mathit{s_{\min}}$ computed using some bootstrap procedure

Discussion on the convergence criterion (2/2)



Histogram of the R^2 scores obtained using subsets of $N = 10^5$ points out of 10^6 points (vertical black line = 5% percentile). (Right: Alanine dipeptide. Left: Chignolin)

The iterative algorithm on the toy 2D example



Left: with reweighting Convergence to $RC \simeq x_1$

Right: without reweighting No convergence (cycles between two RCs)



Gabriel Stoltz (ENPC/Inria)

Applications to systems of interest

Alanine dipeptide

• Molecular dynamics:

openmm with openmm-plumed to link it with plumed colvar module for eABF and computation of free energies timestep 1 fs, friction $\gamma=1~{\rm ps}^{-1}$ in Langevin dynamics

• Machine learning:

keras for autoencoder training

input = carbon backbone (realignement to reference structure and centering) neural network: topology 24-40-2-40-24, tanh activation functions



Ground truth computation

Long trajectory (1.5 $\mu {\rm s}),~N=10^6$ (frames saved every 1.5 ps) RC close to dihedral angles Φ,Ψ



Quantify $s_{\min} = 0.99$ for $N = 10^5$ using a bootstraping procedure

For the iterative algorithm: 10 ns per iteration

(compromise between times not too short to allow for convergence of the free energy, and not too large in order to alleviate the computation cost)

Results for the iterative algorithm



iter.	regscore	(Φ,Ψ)
0	-	0.922
1	0.872	0.892
2	0.868	0.853
3	0.922	0.973
4	0.999	0.972
5	0.999	0.970
6	0.999	0.971
7	0.999	0.967
8	0.998	0.966
9	0.999	0.968





Chignolin (Folded/misfolded/unfolded states)



HSP90 (work in progress...)



Chaperone protein assisting other proteins to fold properly and stabilizing them against stress, including proteins required for tumor growth

 \longrightarrow look for inhibitors (e.g. targeting binding region of ATP; focus only on the N-terminus domain)

(picture from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Hsp90_schematic_2cg9.png)

HSP90 (work in progress...)



6 conformational states, data from 10 \times 20 ns trajectories, input features = 621 C carbons, AE topology 621-100-5-100-621

Gabriel Stoltz (ENPC/Inria)

October 2021 30 / 30