Anisotropic, adaptive finite elements

Marco Picasso

Institut de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Collaboration with

Erik Burman, Lausanne

Luca Formaggia, Stefano Micheletti, Simona Perotto, Politecnico di Milano

Example of strongly anisotropic mesh

• Boundary layer 0.0001, 241 vertices, asp. ratio $\geq 10^4$.



• Same accuracy with isotropic, adaptive finite elements : $O(10\ 000)$ vertices !

Example of strongly anisotropic mesh



Zoom 10 000x

Anisotropic a posteriori error estimates and anisotropic, adaptive finite elements

- Laplace problem
- Advection-diffusion
- The heat equation
- Strongly nonlinear parabolic problems arising from solidification of binary alloys (dendrites, cristal growth)

Laplace problem

• Find $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$egin{array}{ll} -\Delta u = f & ext{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 & ext{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{array}$$

Laplace problem

• Find $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$egin{array}{ll} -\Delta u = f & ext{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 & ext{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{array}$$

- Let \mathcal{T}_h be a mesh of Ω into triangles with diameter less than h.
- Find $u_h \in V_h$ (continuous, piecewise linears) such that, forall $v_h \in V_h$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h = \int_{\Omega} f v_h.$$

Adaptive criteria : a posteriori error estimates

• u solution of the exact problem, u_h finite element solution, $e = u - u_h$.

Adaptive criteria : a posteriori error estimates

- u solution of the exact problem, u_h finite element solution, $e = u u_h$.
- A priori error estimates : if u is smooth, $\exists C(u, a \text{spect ratio}), \forall h$

 $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le Ch^2$

Adaptive criteria : a posteriori error estimates

- u solution of the exact problem, u_h finite element solution, $e = u u_h$.
- A priori error estimates : if u is smooth, $\exists C(u, aspect ratio)$, $\forall h$

 $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le Ch^2$

• A posteriori error estimates : $\exists C(aspect ratio), \forall h$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2(u_h, K, data)$$

• Error estimator :
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Error estimator :
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Error estimator is equivalent to the true error : $\exists C_1, C_2, \forall h$

$$C_1 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2 \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C_2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Error estimator :
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Error estimator is equivalent to the true error : $\exists C_1, C_2$, $\forall h$

$$C_1 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2 \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C_2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Quality of the error estimator : effectivity index $ei = \frac{\text{estimated error}}{\text{true error}}$.

• Error estimator :
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Error estimator is equivalent to the true error : $\exists C_1, C_2, \forall h$

$$C_1 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2 \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C_2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2.$$

• Quality of the error estimator : effectivity index $ei = \frac{\text{estimated error}}{\text{true error}}$.

• Asymptotically equivalent error estimator : $ei \xrightarrow[h \to 0]{} 1$.

A posteriori error estimators : example 1

 Isotropic, residual-based, explicit a posteriori error estimator (Baranger El-Amri M2AN 1991, Babuska Duran Rodriguez SIAM Numer. Anal. 1992)

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(h_K^2 \int_K (f + \Delta u_h)^2 + \frac{1}{2} h_K \int_{\partial K} \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right]^2 \right).$$

• Equivalent to the true error (the effectivity index depends on the mesh aspect ratio).

Numerical results (isotropic, residual-based, explicit err. est.)



Numerical results (isotropic, residual-based, explicit err. est.)



	h1-h2	error	ei
	0.01 - 0.01	1.36	4.71
	0.005 - 0.005	0.69	4.64
	0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	4.74

Numerical results (isotropic, residual-based, explicit err. est.)



h1 - h2	error	ei	h1 - h2	error	ei
0.01 - 0.01	1.36	4.71	0.005 - 0.04	0.65	3.2
0.005 - 0.005	0.69	4.64	0.0025 - 0.02	0.33	13.4
0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	4.74	0.00125 - 0.01	0.16	13.6

A posteriori error estimators : example 2

• Zienkiewicz-Zhu (ZZ) error estimator (post-processing) : From ∇u_h , compute values at nodes $\rightarrow Gu_h$.



A posteriori error estimators : example 2

• Zienkiewicz-Zhu (ZZ) error estimator (post-processing) : From ∇u_h , compute values at nodes $\rightarrow Gu_h$.



• Then $\eta^{ZZ} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - Gu_h|^2 \right)^{1/2}$ is asymptotically exact on parallel meshes (Rodriguez NMPDE 1994, Ainsworth Oden CMAME 1997).





h1 - h2	error	ei
0.01 - 0.01	1.36	0.81
0.005 - 0.005	0.69	0.92
0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	0.97



h1 - h2	error	ei	h1-h2	error	ei
0.01 - 0.01	1.36	0.81	0.005 - 0.04	0.65	0.94
0.005 - 0.005	0.69	0.92	0.0025 - 0.02	0.33	0.98
0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	0.97	0.00125 - 0.01	0.16	0.99



h1-h2	error	ei	h1 - h2	error	ei
0.01 - 0.01	1.36	0.81	0.005 - 0.04	0.65	0.94
0.005 - 0.005	0.69	0.92	0.0025 - 0.02	0.33	0.98
0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	0.97	0.00125 - 0.01	0.16	0.99

• Open question : why is ZZ asymptotically exact on some non-parallel meshes ?

• Goal : to extend the explicit, residual-based error estimator to strongly anisotropic meshes.

• Goal : to extend the explicit, residual-based error estimator to strongly anisotropic meshes. Thm : $\exists C > 0$ (independent on the mesh aspect ratio), $\forall h$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right) \\ \times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

• Goal : to extend the explicit, residual-based error estimator to strongly anisotropic meshes. Thm : $\exists C > 0$ (independent on the mesh aspect ratio), $\forall h$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right) \\ \times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

•
$$G_K(e) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 & \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} \\ \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} & \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Goal : to extend the explicit, residual-based error estimator to strongly anisotropic meshes. Thm : $\exists C > 0$ (independent on the mesh aspect ratio), $\forall h$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right) \\ \times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

•
$$G_K(e) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 & \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} \\ \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} & \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• $\lambda_{1,K}$? $\lambda_{2,K}$? $\mathbf{r}_{1,K}$? $\mathbf{r}_{2,K}$? How to approach $G_K(e)$?





•
$$\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K,$$



• $\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K$, s. v. d. $M_K = R_K^T \Lambda_K P_K$



•
$$\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K$$
, s. v. d. $M_K = R_K^T \Lambda_K P_K$
• $R_K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T \end{pmatrix}$



•
$$\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K,$$
 s. v. d. $M_K = R_K^T \Lambda_K P_K$
• $R_K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$



•
$$\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K,$$
 s. v. d. $M_K = R_K^T \Lambda_K P_K$
• $R_K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda_K = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,K} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2,K} \end{pmatrix}$



•
$$\mathbf{x} = T_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = M_K \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{t}_K,$$
 s. v. d. $M_K = R_K^T \Lambda_K P_K$
• $R_K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda_K = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,K} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2,K} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}$

• Recall that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right) \\ \times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

• How to approach
$$G_K(e) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 & \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} \\ \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} & \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Recall that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right) \\ \times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

• How to approach
$$G_K(e) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 & \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} \\ \int_K \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} & \int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
?

• Zienkiewicz-Zhu (ZZ) error estimator
$$\int_K \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \to \int_K \left(\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_1} - (Gu_h)_1\right)^2$$
.
An anisotropic error indicator based on ZZ error estimator



An anisotropic error indicator based on ZZ error estimator



	h1-h2	error	ei
	0.01 - 0.01	1.36	2.22
	0.005 - 0.005	0.69	2.42
	0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	2.54

An anisotropic error indicator based on ZZ error estimator



	h1 - h2	error	ei	h1-h2	error	ei
	0.01 - 0.01	1.36	2.22	0.005 - 0.04	0.65	2.43
	0.005 - 0.005	0.69	2.42	0.0025 - 0.02	0.33	2.62
	0.0025 - 0.0025	0.35	2.54	0.00125 - 0.01	0.16	2.68

Anisotropic interpolation estimates (Formaggia Perotto, Numer. Math. 2001)

Isotropic Clément

$$\|v - R_h v\|_{L^2(K)}^2 \le C(\frac{h_K}{\rho_K}) h_K^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Delta K)}^2$$



Anisotropic interpolation estimates (Formaggia Perotto, Numer. Math. 2001)

• Isotropic Clément

$$|v - R_h v||_{L^2(K)}^2 \le C(\frac{h_K}{\rho_K}) h_K^2 ||\nabla v||_{L^2(\Delta K)}^2$$



• Anisotropic Clément

 $\begin{aligned} \|v - R_h v\|_{L^2(K)} &\leq C(\hat{K}) \\ \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(v) \mathbf{r}_{1,K}\right) &\lambda_{2,K} \right) \\ &+ \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(v) \mathbf{r}_{2,K}\right) \end{aligned} , \qquad \lambda_{1,K} \end{aligned}$

Anisotropic interpolation estimates (Formaggia Perotto, Numer. Math. 2001)

Isotropic Clément

$$|v - R_h v||_{L^2(K)}^2 \le C(\frac{h_K}{\rho_K}) h_K^2 ||\nabla v||_{L^2(\Delta K)}^2$$



• Anisotropic Clément

 $\begin{aligned} \|v - R_h v\|_{L^2(K)} &\leq C(\hat{K}) \\ \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(v) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) \\ + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(v) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \qquad \lambda_{2,K} \qquad \qquad \lambda_{2,K} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \\ \lambda_{1,K} \\ \end{aligned}$

• Optimality :
$$v(x_2)$$
, $\mathbf{r}_{1,K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{r}_{2,K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_{1,K} = 1$, $\lambda_{2,K} = h$.

• Goal : find \mathcal{T}_h s.t. 0.75 $TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h|^2\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$

• Goal : find
$$\mathcal{T}_h$$
 s.t. $0.75 \ TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h|^2\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$

• Sufficient condition : $0.75^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2 \le \eta_K^2 \le 1.25^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2$

• Goal : find
$$\mathcal{T}_h$$
 s.t. 0.75 $TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h|^2\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$

• Sufficient condition : $0.75^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2 \le \eta_K^2 \le 1.25^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2$

• with
$$\eta_K^4 = \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right)^2$$

 $\times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right).$

• Goal : find
$$\mathcal{T}_h$$
 s.t. 0.75 $TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h|^2\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$

• Sufficient condition : $0.75^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2 \le \eta_K^2 \le 1.25^2 TOL^2 \int_K |\nabla u_h|^2$

• with
$$\eta_K^4 = \left(\|f + \Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^2(\partial K)} \right)^2$$

 $\times \left(\lambda_{1,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^T G_K(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right).$

 $h_{1,P}$

 $h_{2,P}$

The BL2D mesh generator (INRIA, Borouchaki, Laug)

Adaptive meshes for the Laplace problem



Initial 10×10 mesh

Adaptive meshes for the Laplace problem



TOL = 0.25: adapted mesh after 30 mesh generations, 145 vertices

Adaptive meshes for the Laplace problem



TOL = 0.25: adapted mesh after 30 mesh generations, 145 vertices (zoom)

• Find $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\epsilon \Delta u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

 $u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

• Find $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\epsilon \Delta u + \mathbf{a} \cdot
abla u = f \qquad ext{in } \Omega,$$

 $u = 0 \qquad ext{on } \partial \Omega$

• Continuous, piecewise linear, stabilized finite elements

• Find $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\epsilon \Delta u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

 $u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

- Continuous, piecewise linear, stabilized finite elements
- Question : what is the stabilization coefficient on strongly anisotropic meshes ?

• Find $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\epsilon \Delta u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

 $u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

- Continuous, piecewise linear, stabilized finite elements
- Question : what is the stabilization coefficient on strongly anisotropic meshes ?
- $\Omega = (0,1)^2$, $\epsilon = 0.0001$, $a = (2,1)^T$, f = 0, 241 vertices, asp. ratio $\geq 10^4$.



• Find $u: \Omega \times (0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T),$$

plus initial and boundary conditions.

• Find $u: \Omega \times (0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f \qquad \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T),$$

plus initial and boundary conditions.

• For n = 1, ..., N, find $u_h^n \in V_h$ such that, for all $v \in V_h$

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} (u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}) v dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h^n \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} f^n v dx.$$

• Find $u: \Omega \times (0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f \qquad \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T),$$

plus initial and boundary conditions.

• For n = 1, ..., N, find $u_h^n \in V_h$ such that, for all $v \in V_h$

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} (u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}) v dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h^n \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} f^n v dx.$$

•
$$u_{h\tau}(x,t) = \frac{t-t^{n-1}}{\tau} u_h^n(x) + \frac{t^n-t}{\tau} u_h^{n-1}(x)$$
 for all $t^{n-1} \le t \le t^n$.

• Error :
$$e = u - u_{h\tau}$$
, $\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2$.

• Error :
$$e = u - u_{h\tau}$$
, $\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2$. Estimator :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^{n}} \left(\left\| f - \frac{\partial u_{h\tau}}{\partial t} + \Delta u_{h\tau} \right\|_{L^{2}(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_{h\tau}}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^{2}(\partial K)} \right)$$
$$\left(\lambda_{1,K}^{2} \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^{T} G_{K}(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^{2} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^{T} G_{K}(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

• Error :
$$e = u - u_{h\tau}$$
, $\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^2$. Estimator :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^{n}} \left(\left\| f - \frac{\partial u_{h\tau}}{\partial t} + \Delta u_{h\tau} \right\|_{L^{2}(K)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{2,K}^{1/2}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial u_{h\tau}}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{L^{2}(\partial K)} \right)$$
$$\left(\lambda_{1,K}^{2} \left(\mathbf{r}_{1,K}^{T} G_{K}(e) \mathbf{r}_{1,K} \right) + \lambda_{2,K}^{2} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2,K}^{T} G_{K}(e) \mathbf{r}_{2,K} \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

	h	au	error	ei
	0.05	0.1	3.48	5.25
•	0.025	0.025	1.68	2.09
	0.0125	0.00625	0.82	2.57
	0.00625	0.0015625	0.42	2.66

• Adaptive finite elements : 0.75
$$TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{n,K}^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^2\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$$

• Adaptive finite elements : 0.75
$$TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \eta_{n,K}^{2}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$$

• Sufficient condition :

$$0.75^2 TOL^2 \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_K |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^2 \le \eta_{n,K}^2 \le 1.25^2 TOL^2 \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_K |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^2$$

• Adaptive finite elements : 0.75
$$TOL \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \eta_{n,K}^{2}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}} \leq 1.25 \ TOL.$$

• Sufficient condition :

$$0.75^2 TOL^2 \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_K |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^2 \le \eta_{n,K}^2 \le 1.25^2 TOL^2 \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_K |\nabla u_{h\tau}|^2$$

•	TOL	au	error	ei
	0.25	0.025	0.55	2.56
	0.125	0.00625	0.26	2.86
	0.0625	0.0015625	0.13	2.88

Adaptive meshes for the heat problem



TOL = 0.25, 40 times steps : first time step

Adaptive meshes for the heat problem



TOL = 0.25, 40 times steps : last time step

Adaptive meshes for the heat problem



TOL = 0.25, 40 times steps : last time step (zoom)

Strongly nonlinear parabolic problems : Solidification of a binary alloy

- with Lab. Métallurgie Physique, M. Rappaz A. Jacot.
- Phase field model. Find $c, \phi : \Omega \times (0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

Solidification : from macro to meso scale



Solidification : from meso to micro scale



Phase field with low anisotropy



Phase field with low anisotropy





Phase field with strong anisotropy





The multiphase field model



• Unknowns : ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 , λ (Lagrange multiplier $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 = 1$) and c.
The multiphase field model



The multiphase field model



Conclusions and perpectives

- Use of anisotropic, adaptive grids : same accuracy with fewer vertices.
- Robustness ? Lower bound ? ZZ ?
- Systems of p.d.e ? (Stokes)
- Optimal control
- Anisotropic meshes in 3D ?