

The newsvendor problem

Michel DE LARA

February 22, 2019

Contents

1	The newsvendor problem (integer formulation)	1
1.1	The demand distribution is uniform	2
1.2	The demand distribution is a mixture of two truncated Poisson distributions	5
1.3	The demand distribution is triangular	5
2	The newsvendor problem (continuous formulation)	6
3	The newsvendor problem with risk (continuous formulation)	7
4	The newsvendor problem with backorder (continuous formulation)	8

1 The newsvendor problem (integer formulation)

- Each morning, the newsvendor must decide how many copies $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, w^\#\}$ of the day's paper to order. The variable u is called *control*.
- During the day, the newsvendor will meet an unknown demand $w \in \{1, 2, \dots, w^\#\}$. The variable w is called *uncertainty*.
- The newsvendor faces an economic tradeoff:
 - he pays the unitary purchasing cost c per copy, when he orders stock;
 - he sells a copy at price p ;
 - if he remains with an unsold copy, it is worthless (perishable good).
- Therefore, the newsvendor's costs are (where $w \in \{1, 2, \dots, w^\#\}$ is a possible value of the demand)

$$j(u, w) = c \underbrace{u}_{\substack{\text{quantity} \\ \text{purchased}}} - p \underbrace{\min\{u, w\}}_{\text{quantity sold}} . \quad (1)$$

The newsvendor's *payoff* is $-j(u, w)$.

Now, we introduce a *random variable* \mathbf{W} , where $\mathbf{W} : \Omega \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, w^\sharp\}$. Here, Ω is an underlying probability space, equipped with a probability \mathbb{P} . We suppose that the newsvendor knows the probability distribution $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$ of the demand \mathbf{W} .

Thus equipped, we consider the stochastic optimization problem of expected costs minimization:

$$\min_{u \in \{1, 2, \dots, u^\sharp\}} J(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[j(u, \mathbf{W})] . \quad (2)$$

```
// demand
wsharp=100; // no larger, else the Poisson distribution cannot be computed
wflat=1;
demand=[wflat:wsharp];

// control
control=[demand, 1+demand($)];

// Criterion / costs
cc=1; pp=10*cc;
// cc=1 ; pp=1.1*cc ;
// avoid that cc/pp is the inverse of an integer
// when the distribution of demand is uniform
costs=cc*control'*ones(demand)-pp*mini(ones(control')*demand, control'*ones(demand));
// one row by control, one column by demand
```

We will consider different demand distributions.

1.1 The demand distribution is uniform

First, we suppose that the demand distribution $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$ is uniform as follows.

```
probab=ones(demand);
probab=probab/sum(probab);
```

Question 1

- a) [1] Draw a histogram of the random demand \mathbf{W} .
- b) [1+1] In the scilab code above, what does the matrix **costs** represent? (What do you find at the intersection of a row and of a column?) Explain in detail why we have that **criterion = probab*costs** is a row vector made of the values of $J(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[j(u, \mathbf{W})]$ for $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, u^\sharp\}$?
- c) [1+1] Draw the mapping $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, u^\sharp\} \mapsto J(u)$. Thanks to the scicoslab macro **mini** (that provides the minimum and the argmin index of a vector), give the numerical value of the decision u^* (optimal order) that minimizes $u \mapsto J(u)$.

- d) [1+1] What does the vector `decumprobab=1-cumsum(probab)` represent? Explain your answer. Check that, in agreement with the theory, we numerically have that

$$\mathbb{P}(W > u^* - 1) \geq \frac{c}{p} \geq \mathbb{P}(W > u^*).$$

- e) [2+1] For a given value of u , explain why the random variable $j(u, \mathbf{W})$ can at most take the values $\{j(u, 1), \dots, j(u, u-1), j(u, u)\}$. Give, for each of the u elements of this list, the corresponding probability that $j(u, \mathbf{W})$ takes this value in the list. In the end, you will provide an expression of the probability distribution of $j(u, \mathbf{W})$, using `probab` and `decumprobab`.

- f) [1+2] Draw histograms of the probability distribution of the random payoff (the opposite of the costs) $-j(u, \mathbf{W})$ for $u = u^*$ (the optimal decision) and for $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$ (the naive deterministic solution consisting in ordering the mean demand $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$). Draw the two histograms on the same picture, so that they have the same scale. Comment on the differences between the two histograms.

- g) [1+1+1+2] The vector `grand(365, "markov", ones(probab')*probab, 1)` represents a sequence of realizations of 365 i.i.d. random variables having the same distribution than the demand \mathbf{W} . Simulate and draw the trajectory of the cumulated payoffs of the newsvendor during one year if, every day, he orders the optimal quantity $u = u^*$. Do the same for $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$ and draw it on the same picture. In what sense does the the optimal decision $u = u^*$ does better than $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$? Justify in detail why the two trajectories are approximately straight lines; to what correspond the slopes?

- h) Now, we study if the results are robusts to changes in the the ratio between the unitary purchasing cost c and the selling price p .

- 1) [2] Take $c < p$ with $c \approx p$. Find the optimal decision u^* . Draw histograms of the probability distribution of the random payoff $-j(u, \mathbf{W})$ for $u = u^*$ and for $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$. Simulate and draw trajectories of the corresponding cumulated payoffs.
- 2) [2] Same question with $c \ll p$.
- 3) [2] Discuss. In particular, how does the optimal solution u^* vary with the ratio c/p ?

```
//exec('newsvendor_data.sce');exec('newsvendor_uniform.sce');exec('newsvendor_main.sce')
```

```
xset("window",1);clf();plot2d2(demand,probab)
xtitle("Histogram of the demand")
```

```
// Criterion / expected costs
criterion=probab*costs';
```

```

// a row vector
xset("window",3);clf();plot2d2(control,criterion)
xlabel("The expected costs as function of the decision")

// Optimal decision
[lhs,optcont]=mini(criterion);
disp("The optimal decision is "+string(optcont))

// Naive deterministic solution
meandemand=probab*demand';
disp("The expected demand is "+string(round(meandemand)))

// Check that the optimal decision satisfies the optimality condition
cumprobab=cumsum(probab);
decumprobab=1-cumprobab;

xset("window",4);clf();plot2d2(demand,decumprobab,rect = [demand(1)-1,0,demand($),1]);
plot2d(demand,cc/pp*ones(decumprobab),style = 5);
xlabel("The decumulative distribution of the demand")

disp("Is it true that "+string(cc/pp)+" lies between "+string(decumprobab(optcont))+ ...
     " and "+string(decumprobab(optcont-1))+"?")

NS=365;
// simulated demands
DD=grand(NS,'markov',ones(probab')*probab,1);

time=[1:NS];

xset("window",8);clf();
plot2d2(time,cumsum(-costs(round(meandemand),DD)),style = 3);
plot2d2(time,cumsum(-costs(optcont,DD)),style = 5);
legends(["optimal solution "+string(optcont);
        "naive deterministic solution "+string(round(meandemand))],[5,3],"lr");
xlabel("The cumulated payoffs as function of the number of days","time","payoff")

xset("window",10);clf();
uu=optcont;
ss=5;
plot2d2([costs(uu,[wflat:(uu-1)]),costs(uu,uu)], ...
        [probab([wflat:(uu-1)]),decumprobab(uu-1)],style = ss, ...

```

```

        rect = [mini(costs),0,maxi(costs),1]);
//
uu=round(meandemand);
ss=3;
plot2d2([costs(uu,[wflat:(uu-1)]),costs(uu,uu)], ...
        [probab([wflat:(uu-1)]),decumprobab(uu-1)],style = ss, ...
        rect = [mini(costs),0,maxi(costs),1]);
//
legends(["optimal solution "+string(optcont);
        "naive deterministic solution "+string(round(meandemand))],[5,3],"ur");
xtitle("Histograms of the costs")

```

1.2 The demand distribution is a mixture of two truncated Poisson distributions

Second, we suppose that the demand distribution $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$ is a mixture of two truncated Poisson distributions as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{W}}(w) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W} = w) = \frac{1}{2} \times k^b \frac{(\lambda^b)^w}{w!} + \frac{1}{2} \times k^\sharp \frac{(\lambda^\sharp)^w}{w!}, \quad \forall w \in \{1, 2, \dots, w^\sharp\}. \quad (3)$$

Question 2 [6] *Same questions as in Question ?? when the demand \mathbf{W} follows a mixture of two truncated Poisson distributions.*

```

// Poisson
lambdaflat=wsharp/4; lambdasharp=3*wsharp/4;
//
probabflat=(lambdaflat .^demand) ./(factorial(demand));
probabflat=probabflat/sum(probabflat);
//
probabsharp=(lambdasharp .^demand) ./(factorial(demand));
probabsharp=probabsharp/sum(probabsharp);
//
probab=0.5*probabflat+0.5*probabsharp;

```

1.3 The demand distribution is triangular

Question 3 [6] *Same questions as in Question 1 when the demand \mathbf{W} follows a triangular distribution over $\{1, 2, \dots, w^\sharp\}$.*

```

// Triangular distribution
lambda=floor(wflat+0.3*(wsharp-wflat));
//
probab=[cumsum(ones([wflat:lambda]))/sum(ones([wflat:lambda])), ...
        1-cumsum(ones([(lambda+1):wsharp]))/sum(ones([(lambda+1):wsharp]))];
probab=probab/sum(probab);

```

2 The newsvendor problem (continuous formulation)

Here, we consider that the decision can take continuous values: $u \in [0, u^\#]$.

We also adopt new notations. We suppose that the demand \mathbf{W} can take a finite number S of possible values D_s , where s denotes a *scenario* in the finite set \mathbb{S} ($S = \text{card}(\mathbb{S})$). We denote π_s the probability of scenario $s \in \mathbb{S}$, with

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_s > 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{S}. \quad (4)$$

Notice that we do not consider scenarios with zero probability.

We consider the stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{u \in [0, u^\#]} J(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[j(u, \mathbf{W})]. \quad (5)$$

We now show how to rewrite this problem as a linear program. First, we write:

$$j(u, w) = cu - p \min\{u, w\} \quad (6a)$$

$$= \max\{cu - pu, cu - pw\} \quad (6b)$$

$$= \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}} \{v \mid v \geq cu - pu, v \geq cu - pw\}. \quad (6c)$$

Second, we deduce

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[j(u, \mathbf{W})] \quad (7a)$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s j(u, D_s) \quad (7b)$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s \min_{v_s \in \mathbb{R}} \{v_s \mid v_s \geq cu - pu, v_s \geq cu - pD_s\} \quad (7c)$$

$$= \min_{(v_s)_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^S} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s v_s \quad (7d)$$

$$\text{under the constraints} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{S}, \quad v_s \geq cu - pu, \quad v_s \geq cu - pD_s. \quad (7e)$$

Third, we conclude

$$\min_{u \in [0, u^\#]} J(u) = \min_{u \in [0, u^\#], (v_s)_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^S} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s v_s \quad (8a)$$

$$\text{under the constraints} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{S}, \quad v_s \geq cu - pu, \quad v_s \geq cu - pD_s. \quad (8b)$$

This is a linear program.

Question 4 Suppose that \mathbf{W} follows a uniform distribution over $\{1, 2, \dots, w^\#\}$.

a) [2] Write the linear program (8) under the form adapted to the scicoslab macro *linpro*.

- b) [1] Solve (8) with *linpro* and obtain the solution to the stochastic optimization problem (5).
- c) [1] Compare with the optimal solution of Question 1.
- d) [2] Increase the number w^\sharp of values taken by the demand \mathbf{W} . When can you no longer solve numerically? Compare with the result of Question 1.

3 The newsvendor problem with risk (continuous formulation)

Let $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, that plays the role of a risk level. The Value at Risk of the cost \mathbf{X} at level $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ is

$$VaR_\lambda(\mathbf{X}) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} > x) < \lambda\}$$

The Tail Value at Risk of the cost \mathbf{X} at level $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ is

$$TVaR_\lambda(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_\lambda^1 VaR_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{X}) d\lambda'$$

We have the limit cases:

$$\begin{aligned} TVaR_0[\mathbf{X}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] \\ TVaR_1[\mathbf{X}] &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} TVaR_\lambda[\mathbf{X}] = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbf{X}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

The Rockafellar-Uryasev formula establishes that

$$TVaR_\lambda[\mathbf{X}] = \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - s)^+]}{1-\lambda} + s \right\}, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1[$$

We consider the risk averse stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{u \in [0, u^\sharp]} J(u) = TVaR_\lambda[j(u, \mathbf{W})]. \quad (9)$$

We rewrite this problem as a linear program.

$$\min_{u \in [0, u^\sharp]} J(u) = \min_{u \in [0, u^\sharp], r \in \mathbb{R}, (v_s)_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{S}}} r + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \pi_s v_s \quad (10a)$$

$$\text{under the constraints } \forall s \in \mathbb{S}, v_s \geq cu - pu, v_s \geq cu - pD_s. \quad (10b)$$

Question 5 Suppose that \mathbf{W} follows a uniform distribution over $\{1, 2, \dots, w^\sharp\}$.

- a) [2] Write the linear program (10) under the form adapted to the `scicoslab` macro `linpro`.
- b) [1] Solve (10) with `linpro` and obtain the solution to the stochastic optimization problem (9).
- c) [1] Compare with the optimal solution of Question 4.

4 The newsvendor problem with backorder (continuous formulation)

Now, we suppose that the newsvendor

- pays the unitary purchasing cost c per copy, when he orders stock;
- sells a copy at price p ; we have that $c > p$;
- can buy extra copies at the unitary backorder cost b , after he observes a demand w larger than the initial order u ; we have that $b > c$
- pays the unitary holding cost h for each unsold copy, when the demand w is less than the initial order u .

Therefore, the newsvendor's costs are

$$j(u, w) = c \underbrace{u}_{\text{order}} + b \underbrace{[w - u]_+}_{\text{backorder}} + h \underbrace{[u - w]_+}_{\text{holding}} - p \underbrace{w}_{\text{sold}}, \quad (11)$$

where we recall that $x_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$.

`cc=0.1; pp=10*cc; bb=10*cc; hh=10*cc;`

Question 6 We suppose that the demand \mathbf{W} follows a uniform distribution over $\{1, 2, \dots, w^\#\}$.

- a) [1] Write a row vector *criterion* made of the values of $J(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[j(u, \mathbf{W})]$ for $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, u^\#\}$.
- b) [1+1] Draw the mapping $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, u^\#\} \mapsto J(u)$. Thanks to the `scicoslab` macro `mini` (that provides the minimum and the argmin index of a vector), give the numerical value of the decision u^* (optimal order) that minimizes $u \mapsto J(u)$.
- c) [1] Check that, in agreement with the theory, we numerically have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W} > u^* - 1) \geq \frac{c + h}{b + h} \geq \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W} > u^*).$$

- d) **[1+1]** For a given value of u , what is the set of values taken by the random variable $j(u, \mathbf{W})$? Give a `scicoslab` formula for the probability distribution of $j(u, \mathbf{W})$, using `probab` and `decumprobab`.
- e) **[1+1]** Draw a histogram of the probability distribution of the random payoff (the opposite of the costs) $-j(u, \mathbf{W})$ for $u = u^*$ (the optimal decision) and for $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$ (the naive deterministic solution consisting in ordering the mean demand $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$). Draw the two histograms on the same picture, so that they have the same scale. Comment on the differences between the two histograms.
- f) **[1+1+1]** Simulate the trajectory of the cumulated payoffs of the newsvendor during one year if, every day, he orders the optimal quantity $u = u^*$. Do the same for $u = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{W}]$. Compare.
- g) **[4]** Multiply the unitary holding cost h by a factor 5. Comment on the changes that you observe.
- h) **[4]** As in Section 2, write the new optimization problem as a linear program. Then, write this latter under the form adapted to the `scicoslab` macro `linpro`. Solve with `linpro` and compare with the optimal solution found above by the direct method.
- i) **[4]** Increase the number $w^{\#}$ of values taken by the demand \mathbf{W} . When can you no longer solve numerically? Compare with the direct method.