Optimization of an urban district microgrid

F. Pacaud Advisors: P. Carpentier, J.-P. Chancelier, M. De Lara November 9, 2016

1/39

Usually houses import electricity from the grid

But more and more houses are equipped with solar panel

Is it worth to add a local grid to exchange electricity?

Is it worth to connect different houses together inside a district?

Challenges:

• Handle electrical exchanges between houses

We turn to mathematical optimization to answer the question

Thou shall:

- Satisfy thermal comfort
- Optimize operational costs

For each house, we consider the electrical system...

... and the thermal enveloppe

Outline

[A brief recall of the single house problem](#page-8-0)

- [Physical modelling](#page-9-0)
- [Optimization problem](#page-11-0)
- [Optimization problem for a district](#page-15-0)
	- [District topology](#page-16-0)
	- [Assessment of strategies](#page-23-0)
	- [Resolution Methods](#page-27-0)
- [Numerical resolution](#page-31-0)
	- [Resolution and comparison](#page-33-0)
	- [Optimal trajectories of storages](#page-35-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

We introduce states, controls and noises

• Stock variables $X_t = (B_t, H_t, \theta_t^i, \theta_t^w)$

- B_t , battery level (kWh)
- H_t , hot water storage (kWh)
- \bullet θ_t^i , inner temperature (${}^{\circ}$ C)
- θ_t^w , wall's temperature (° C)

• Control variables $U_t = \left(F_{B,t}^+, F_{B,t}^-, F_{T,t}, F_{H,t} \right)$

- \bullet $\mathsf{F}^+_{\mathsf{B},t}$, energy stored in the battery
- $F_{B,t}^-$, energy taken from the battery
- $F_{T,t}$, energy used to heat the hot water tank
- $F_{H,t}$, thermal heating
- Uncertainties $W_t = \left(D_t^E, D_t^{DHW}, P_t^{ext}, \theta_t^e\right)$
	- D_t^E , electrical demand (kW)
	- D_t^{DHW} , domestic hot water demand (kW)
	- \bullet P_t^{ext} , external radiations (kW)
	- θ_t^e , external temperature (\circ C)

Discrete time state equations

So we have the four state equations (all linear):

$$
\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{f}
$$

$$
B_{t+1} = \alpha_B B_t + \Delta \mathcal{T} \left(\rho_c F_{B,t}^+ - \frac{1}{\rho_d} F_{B,t}^- \right)
$$

 $H_{t+1} = \alpha_H H_t + \Delta \mathcal{T} \left[F_{\mathcal{T},t} - D_t^{DHW} \right]$

which will be denoted:

$$
X_{t+1} = f_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1})
$$

- $T_f = 24h$, $\Delta T = 15mh$
- Electricity peak and off-peak hours
- \bullet $\pi_t^E = 0.09$ or 0.15 euros/kWh
- Temperature set-point $\bar{\theta^i_t} = 16°$ C or 20°C

The costs we have to pay

• Cost to import electricity from the network

κ_{th}

Piecewise linear cost Penalize temperature if below given setpoint

Instantaneous and final costs for a single house

• The instantaneous convex costs are

$$
L_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1}) = \underbrace{-b_t^E \max\{0, -F_{NE,t+1}\}}_{\text{buying}} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\pi_t^E \max\{0, F_{NE,t+1}\}}_{\text{selfing}}}_{\text{discomfort}}
$$

• We add a final linear cost

$$
K(X_{T_f})=-\pi^H H_{T_f}-\pi^BB_{T_f}
$$

to avoid empty stocks at the final horizon T_f

$$
\min_{X,U} \qquad J(X,U) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{T_f-1} \underbrace{L_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1})}_{instantaneous cost} + \underbrace{K(X_{T_f})}_{final cost}\right]
$$
\n
$$
s.t \quad X_{t+1} = f_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1}) \quad \text{Dynamic}
$$
\n
$$
X^{\flat} \le X_t \le X^{\sharp}
$$
\n
$$
U^{\flat} \le U_t \le U^{\sharp}
$$
\n
$$
X_0 = X_{ini}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma(U_t) \subset \sigma(W_1, \dots, W_t) \quad \text{Non-anticipativity}
$$

Outline

[A brief recall of the single house problem](#page-8-0)

- [Physical modelling](#page-9-0)
- [Optimization problem](#page-11-0)

[Optimization problem for a district](#page-15-0)

[District topology](#page-16-0)

[Assessment of strategies](#page-23-0)

[Resolution Methods](#page-27-0)

[Numerical resolution](#page-31-0)

[Resolution and comparison](#page-33-0)

[Optimal trajectories of storages](#page-35-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

We have three different houses

Our (small) district:

- \bullet House 1: solar panel + battery
- House 2: solar panel
- House 3: nothing

For the three houses:

- 10 stocks $(= 4 + 3 + 3)$
- 8 controls $(= 4 + 2 + 2)$
- 8 uncertainties (2 uncertainties in common)

The total demand to the network is bounded:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^3 F_{\textit{NE},t+1}^k \leq F_{\textit{NE}}^{\sharp}
$$

We want to compare two configurations

No exchange between houses

Exchange in a local grid

How much costs decrease while allowing houses to exchange energy through a local grid?

We want to compare two configurations

No exchange between houses

Exchange in a local grid

How much costs decrease while allowing houses to exchange energy through a local grid?

We show that local grid $+$ optimization decreases costs by 23 % during summer!

The grid adds three controls to the problem

How to solve this stochastic optimal control problem?

We recall the different parameters of our multistage stochastic problem:

- 96 timesteps $(= 4 \times 24)$
- 10 stocks
- 11 controls
- 8 uncertainties

How to solve this stochastic optimal control problem?

We recall the different parameters of our multistage stochastic problem:

- 96 timesteps $(= 4 \times 24)$
- 10 stocks
- 11 controls
- 8 uncertainties

The state dimension is high $(=10)$, the problem is not tractable by a straightforward use of dynamic programming because of the curse of dimensionality! :-(

How to solve this stochastic optimal control problem?

We recall the different parameters of our multistage stochastic problem:

- 96 timesteps $(= 4 \times 24)$
- 10 stocks
- 11 controls
- 8 uncertainties

The state dimension is high $(=10)$, the problem is not tractable by a straightforward use of dynamic programming because of the curse of dimensionality! :-(

We will compare two methods that overcome this curse:

- 1. Model Predictive Control (MPC)
- 2. Stochastic Dual Dynamic Programming (SDDP)

Out-of-sample comparison

Out-of-sample comparison

Out-of-sample comparison

We compare SDDP and MPC with assessment scenarios

The two algorithms use optimization scenarios to model the uncertainties:

MPC considers the average. . .

MPC vs SDDP: online resolution

At the beginning of time period $[\tau, \tau + 1]$, do

MPC

- Consider a rolling horizon $[\tau, \tau + H]$
- Consider a deterministic scenario of demands (forecast) $(W_{\tau+1},\ldots,W_{\tau+H})$
- Solve the deterministic optimization problem

$$
\min_{X,Y} \left[\sum_{t=\tau}^{\tau+H} L_t(X_t, U_t, \overline{W}_{t+1}) + K(X_{\tau+H}) \right]
$$
\ns.t.
\n
$$
X = (X_{\tau}, \dots, X_{\tau+H})
$$
\n
$$
U = (U_{\tau}, \dots, U_{\tau+H-1})
$$
\n
$$
X_{t+1} = f(X_t, U_t, \overline{W}_{t+1})
$$
\n
$$
Y^b \le X_t \le X_t^{\sharp}
$$
\n
$$
U^b \le U_t \le U^{\sharp}
$$

- Get optimal solution $(U^\#_\tau,\ldots,U^\#_{\tau+H})$ over horizon $H = 24h$
- Send only first control $U^{\#}_{\tau}$ to assessor, and iterate at time $\tau + 1$

SDDP

• We consider the approximated value functions $(\widetilde{V}_t)_0^{T_f}$

$$
\underbrace{\widetilde{V}_t}_{\text{dissive function}} \leq V_t
$$

Piecewise affine functions

• Solve the stochastic optimization problem:

$$
\begin{aligned} &\min_{u_{\tau}} \mathbb{E}_{W_{\tau+1}} \left[L_{\tau}(X_{\tau}, u_{\tau}, W_{\tau+1}) \right. \\ &\left. + \widetilde{V}_{\tau+1} \left(f_{\tau}(X_{\tau}, u_{\tau}, W_{\tau+1}) \right) \right] \end{aligned}
$$

⇒ this problem resumes to solve a LP at each timestep

- Get optimal solution $U^{\#}_{\tau}$
- Send $U^{\#}_{\tau}$ to assessor

Dynamic Programming

 μ_t is the probability law of W_t and is being used to estimate expectation and compute **offline** value functions with the backward equation:

$$
V_T(x) = K(x)
$$

\n
$$
V_t(x_t) = \min_{U_t} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_t} \left[\underbrace{L_t(x_t, U_t, W_{t+1})}_{\text{current cost}} + \underbrace{V_{t+1} \left(f(x_t, U_t, W_{t+1}) \right)}_{\text{future costs}} \right]
$$

Dynamic Programming

 μ_t is the probability law of W_t and is being used to estimate expectation and compute **offline** value functions with the backward equation:

$$
V_T(x) = K(x)
$$

\n
$$
V_t(x_t) = \min_{U_t} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_t} \left[\underbrace{L_t(x_t, U_t, W_{t+1})}_{\text{current cost}} + \underbrace{V_{t+1} \left(f(x_t, U_t, W_{t+1}) \right)}_{\text{future costs}} \right]
$$

Stochastic Dual Dynamic Programming

- Convex value functions V_t are approximated as a supremum of a finite set of affine functions
- Affine functions ($=$ cuts) are computed during forward/backward passes, till convergence
- SDDP makes an extensive use of LP solver $\widetilde{V}_t(x) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left\{ \lambda_t^k x + \beta_t^k \right\} \leq V_t(x)$

Outline

[A brief recall of the single house problem](#page-8-0)

- [Physical modelling](#page-9-0)
- [Optimization problem](#page-11-0)
- [Optimization problem for a district](#page-15-0)
	- [District topology](#page-16-0)
	- [Assessment of strategies](#page-23-0)
	- [Resolution Methods](#page-27-0)
- [Numerical resolution](#page-31-0)
	- [Resolution and comparison](#page-33-0)
	- [Optimal trajectories of storages](#page-35-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Our stack is deeply rooted in Julia language

- Modeling Language: JuMP
- Open-source SDDP Solver: StochDynamicProgramming.jl
- LP Solver: CPLEX 12.5

<https://github.com/JuliaOpt/StochDynamicProgramming.jl>

We compare MPC and SDDP during one day in summer over 200 assessment scenarios:

SDDP is in average 6.9 % better than MPC!

We compare different configurations, during summer and winter:

INPUT

We work with real data

We consider one day during summer 2015 (data from Meteo France):

We have 200 scenarios of demands during this day

These scenarios are generated with StRoBE, a generator open-sourced by KU-Leuven $32/39$

OUTPUT

As we gain solar energy, surplus is traded in local grid

... and we minimize our average importation from the network

No local grid $= 25.8$ kWh

Outline

[A brief recall of the single house problem](#page-8-0)

- [Physical modelling](#page-9-0)
- [Optimization problem](#page-11-0)
- [Optimization problem for a district](#page-15-0)
	- [District topology](#page-16-0)
	- [Assessment of strategies](#page-23-0)
	- [Resolution Methods](#page-27-0)
- [Numerical resolution](#page-31-0)
	- [Resolution and comparison](#page-33-0)
	- [Optimal trajectories of storages](#page-35-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

- We extend the results obtained with a single house to a small district
- This study can help to perform an economic analysis
- It pays to use stochastic optimization: SDDP is better than MPC
- We obtain promising results with SDDP, now we want to scale!

Perspectives

Mix SDDP with spatial decomposition like Dual Approximate Dynamic Programming (DADP) to control bigger urban neighbourhood

