

Optimization, sustainable indicators and application to the design of a recovery program for overexploited fish species

Pedro Gajardo*

Wednesday 6, February 14h00-17h00

1 Introduction

The objective of this lecture is to show how a certain modeling approach is used for dealing with problems related to the management of natural resources with focus in two particular questions: how to compute trade-off between different kinds of objectives (environmental and production)?; and how to define a *good state* (of the exploited resource)? . Concerning the second question, we propose a method for design a recovering (or restoring) strategy (in order to attain a good state). Some examples of Chilean fisheries will be presented.

Even if it is not mentioned explicitly, the concepts behind this lecture are borrowed from the *viability theory* ([1, 3, 6, 8, 4, 9, 5, 12]).

The purpose of this document, is just to show the framework and the kind of problems that we will study during the lecture.

2 Preliminaries

The general framework will be controlled dynamical systems in discrete time (see [3]):

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = g(x(t), u(t)) & t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \text{ given} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{X}$ is the state variable, $u(t) \in \mathbb{U}$ the decision (action or control) and $g : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is the dynamics.

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile. Email: pedro.gajardo@usm.cl

Constraints on the trajectory. Often, it is desirable that trajectories, of states and decisions, satisfy some constraints expressed in terms of inequalities. In a general framework, we write

$$(x(t), u(t)) \in \mathbb{D}_\theta := \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} : I_i(x, u) \geq \theta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the functions $I_i : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represent indicators and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is the vector where the i -component is the associated threshold to the constraint I_i .

Thus, the controlled dynamical systems with constraints that we will study is

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = g(x(t), u(t)) & t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \text{ given} \\ (x(t), u(t)) \in \mathbb{D}_\theta & t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The natural question is: **when there exists a trajectory of controls $u(t_0), u(t_0 + 1), \dots$ such that the system (2) is feasible?**

Of course the answer depends on the initial condition x_0 and also depends on the constraints, parametrized by the vector of threshold θ .

Formal answers to that question will be presented in:

- Monday 18, February 9h00-12h00: course by Luc Doyen. **Viability.**
- Thursday 21, February 14h00-17h00: Tutorial by Eladio Ocaña. **Applications of viability theory in fisheries management.**

Let us formulate the above question in a different way: **Given an initial state x_0 , for what vectors of thresholds $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ the system (2) is feasible?**

This question is equivalent to compute the following set :

$$\mathcal{S}(x_0) \equiv \left\{ \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \left| \begin{array}{l} \exists (u(t_0), u(t_0 + 1), \dots) \text{ and} \\ (x(t_0), x(t_0 + 1), \dots) \\ \text{satisfying } x(t_0) = x_0 \\ x(t+1) = g(x(t), u(t)) \\ \forall t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \text{ and} \\ I_i(x(t), u(t)) \geq \theta_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Intuitively, if the initial condition x_0 is *good* the set $\mathcal{S}(x_0)$ should be large and if we *manage well* the resource, the set $\mathcal{S}(x(t))$ will be larger at each period of

time t .

Of course to compute $\mathcal{S}(x_0)$ is hard but, under some assumptions, we know how to do it.

3 Maximin as a sustainable indicator

For a given vector of thresholds $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$, consider the set of restrictions for the trajectories of the states and decisions:

$$\mathbb{D}_\theta = \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} : I_i(x, u) \geq \theta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Suppose that the last indicator I_n is associated to some kind of (instantaneous) profit, and the others indicators I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n-1} are related to environmental constraints.

A natural question is: **Given environmental thresholds $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$ associated to environmental constraints I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n-1} , starting from the initial condition x_0 , what is the maximal minimal constraint θ_n that can be satisfied?**

Mathematically, to answer this question is equivalent to solve the following Maximin problem (see Monday 4, February 9h00-12h00: course by Michel De Lara. **Optimality and sustainability**):

$$P(x_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{u(\cdot)} \min_{t \geq t_0} I_n(x(t), u(t)) \\ \text{subject to:} \\ x(t+1) = g(x(t), u(t)) \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \\ I_i(x(t), u(t)) \geq \theta_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, for a given initial condition x_0 and environmental thresholds $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$, we will denote by $\text{Maximin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}}(x_0)$ the maximal profit that we can obtain as minimum starting from x_0 satisfying environmental thresholds $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$ (for every period t).

3.1 Use of Maximin as indicator of recovery situation

Assume the vector state $x(t)$ represents the status of one or several renewable natural resources. The instantaneous profit obtained from the exploitation of $x(t)$ is given by the indicator $I_n(x(t), u(t))$. If the *society*, the government or stakeholders involved in the harvesting define a minimum level M of profit, in order to do not have *problems* (over-investments, unemployment, strikes), given

an initial condition x_0 and environmental thresholds $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$, we have the following situations:

- If $\text{Maximin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}}(x_0) \geq M$ then, it is possible to manage the resource in order to satisfy the environmental constraints and at each period, to get at least M as profit.
- If $\text{Maximin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}}(x_0) < M$, it is not possible to satisfy, in a sustainable way (for each period of time), the required minimal profit. In this case, we say that the resource is over-exploited in the sense that the current condition is not so *good* for satisfying the established minimal requirement M .

In the second case the idea is, starting from x_0 , to recover the state in order to, at some time T , to have

$$\text{Maximin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}}(x(T)) \geq M.$$

This procedure of course has a cost, so the idea is to deal with in an optimal way.

4 Design of optimal recovery programs

Given an initial condition x_0 , environmental thresholds $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$, and a required minimal profit M , we propose the following optimization problem:

$$P_T(x_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, M) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{u(t_0), \dots, u(T-1)} \sum_{t=t_0}^{T-1} \rho^{t-t_0} \mathbf{C}(I_n(x(t), u(t)); M) \\ \text{subject to:} \\ x(t+1) = g(x(t), u(t)) \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \\ I_i(x(t), u(t)) \geq \theta_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots \\ \text{Maximin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}}(x(T)) \geq M \end{array} \right.$$

where T is the time for recovery the state and the function $\mathbf{C}(I_n(x(t), u(t)); M)$ represents the cost of have an instantaneous profit $I_n(x(t), u(t))$ lower than the requirement M during the recovery program. For example:

$$\mathbf{C}(I_n; M) = \max\{M - I_n; 0\}.$$

Associated to this problem, we will present the implementation developed in [13] (not yet online).

References

- [1] J.-P. Aubin. *Viability theory*. Systems & Control: Foundations & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- [2] H. Caswell. *Matrix population models*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, 2000. 2nd Edition.
- [3] M. De Lara and L. Doyen. *Sustainable management of natural resource: mathematical models and methods*. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [4] M. De Lara, L. Doyen, T. Guilbaud, and M.-J. Rochet. Monotonicity properties for the viable control of discrete-time systems. *Systems Control Lett.*, 56(4):296–302, 2007.
- [5] M. De Lara, P. Gajardo, and H. Ramirez. Viable states for monotone harvest models. *Syst. Control Letters*, 60:192–197, 2011.
- [6] M. De Lara and V. Martinet. Multi-criteria dynamic decision under uncertainty: a stochastic viability analysis and an application to sustainable fishery management. *Math. Biosci.*, 217(2):118–124, 2009.
- [7] G. de Vries, T. Hillen, M. Lewis, J. Müller, and B. Schönfisch. *A course in mathematical biology*, volume 12 of *Mathematical Modeling and Computation*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2006. Quantitative modeling with mathematical and computational methods.
- [8] L. Doyen and M. De Lara. Stochastic viability and dynamic programming. *Systems Control Lett.*, 59(10):629–634, 2010.
- [9] L. Doyen and V. Martinet. Maximin, viability and sustainability. *J. Econom. Dynam. Control*, 36(9):1414–1430, 2012.
- [10] P. Gajardo. *Modelando fenómenos de evolución*. JC Saez, Santiago, 2012.
- [11] P. Gajardo, J. Peña Torres, and H. Ramirez. Harvesting economic models and catch-to-biomass dependence: The case of small pelagic fish. *Natural Resource Modeling*, 24(2):268–296, 2011.
- [12] V. Martinet, P. Gajardo, and M. De Lara. Bargaining with intertemporal maximin payoffs. *66th European Meeting of the Econometric Society*, 2012.
- [13] FIC-R BIP N 30110834: Regional Government of Valparaiso Chile. Quantitative tools for a sustainable recovering of the Chilean Hake. <http://www.recuperemoslamerluza.cl/>, 2012.
- [14] T. J. Quinn and R. B. Deriso. *Quantitative Fish Dynamics*. Biological Resource Management Series. Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.