How to Make the Most Out of Evolving Information: Function Identification Using Epi-Splines

Johannes O. Royset

Operations Research, NPS in collaboration with L. Bonfiglio, S. Buttrey, S. Brizzolara, J. Carbaugh, I.Rios, D. Singham, K. Spurkel, G. Vernengo, J-P. Watson, R. Wets, D. Woodruff

Smart Energy and Stochastic Optimization, ENPC ParisTech June 2015

This material is based upon work supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory and the U.S. Army Research Office under grants 00101-80683, W911NF-10-1-0246 and W911NF-12-1-0273 as well as by DARPA under HR0011-14-1-0060

1 / 126

Goal: estimate, predict, forecast

Copper prices



3 / 126

Copper prices



Hourly electricity loads



・ロ 、 ・ (日)、 く 注 、 く 注 、 注 の Q (で 5 / 126

Hard information: data

- Observations
- Usually scarce, excessive, corrupted, uncertain



6 / 126

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Soft information

- Indirect observations, external information
- Knowledge about
 - structures
 - established "laws"
 - physical restrictions
 - shapes, smoothness of curves

Evolving information

data acquisition, information growth

Function Identification Problem

Identify a function that

best represents all available information

Applications of function identification approach

- energy
- natural resources
- financial markets
- image reconstruction
- uncertain quantification
- variograms
- nonparametric regression
- deconvolution
- density estimation

Outline

- Illustrations and formulations (slides 12-33)
- Framework (slides 34-60)
- Epi-splines and approximations (slides 61-77)
- Implementations (slides 78-85)
- Examples (slides 86-124)

Illustrations and formulations

Identifying financial curves

Estimate discount factor curve given instruments i = 1, 2, ..., I and

payments $p_0^i, p_1^i, ..., p_{N_i}^i$ at times $0 = t_0^i, t_1^i, ..., t_{N_i}^i$

Identifying financial curves

Estimate discount factor curve given instruments i = 1, 2, ..., I and

payments $p_0^i, p_1^i, ..., p_{N_i}^i$ at times $0 = t_0^i, t_1^i, ..., t_{N_i}^i$

Identify nonnegative, nonincreasing function f with f(0) = 1

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_i} f(t^i_j) p^i_j pprox 0$$
 for all i

Identifying financial curves

Estimate discount factor curve given instruments i = 1, 2, ..., I and

payments $p_0^i, p_1^i, ..., p_{N_i}^i$ at times $0 = t_0^i, t_1^i, ..., t_{N_i}^i$

Identify nonnegative, nonincreasing function f with f(0) = 1

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_i} f(t_j^i) p_j^i \approx 0 \quad \text{ for all } i$$

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem:

minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} f(t_j^i) p_j^i \right|$$

such that $f(0) = 1, f \ge 0, f' \le 0$

Day-ahead electricity load forecast



Data: predicted temperature, dew point; observed load

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 三日

•
$$j = 1, 2, ..., J$$
: days in data set

•
$$j = 1, 2, ..., J$$
: days in data set

Using a relevant data and weather forecast for tomorrow, determine tomorrow's load curve and its uncertainty

• t_h^j : predicted temperature in hour h of day j

- j = 1, 2, ..., J: days in data set
- ▶ h = 1, 2, ..., 24: hours of the day
- t_h^j : predicted temperature in hour h of day j
- d_h^j : predicted dew point in hour h of day j

Using a relevant data and weather forecast for tomorrow, determine tomorrow's load curve and its uncertainty

- j = 1, 2, ..., J: days in data set
- ▶ h = 1, 2, ..., 24: hours of the day
- t_h^j : predicted temperature in hour h of day j
- ► d^j_h: predicted dew point in hour h of day j
- ▶ I_h^j : actual observed load in hour *h* of day *j*

"Functional" regression model:

$$H_h^j = f^{\mathrm{tmp}}(h)t_h^j + f^{\mathrm{dpt}}(h)d_h^j + e_h^j,$$

- ▶ regression "coefficients" f^{tmp} and f^{dpt} are functions of time
- e_h^J : error between the observed and predicted loads

"Functional" regression model:

$$I_h^j = f^{\mathrm{tmp}}(h)t_h^j + f^{\mathrm{dpt}}(h)d_h^j + e_h^j,$$

- ▶ regression "coefficients" f^{tmp} and f^{dpt} are functions of time
- e'_h : error between the observed and predicted loads

Regression problem: find functions f^{tmp} and f^{dpt} on [0,24] that

minimize
$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left| l_h^j - \left[f^{\text{tmp}}(h) t_h^j + f^{\text{dpt}}(h) d_h^j \right] \right|$$

subject to smoothness, curvature conditions

"Functional" regression model:

$$I_h^j = f^{\mathrm{tmp}}(h)t_h^j + f^{\mathrm{dpt}}(h)d_h^j + e_h^j,$$

- ▶ regression "coefficients" f^{tmp} and f^{dpt} are functions of time
- e'_h : error between the observed and predicted loads

Regression problem: find functions f^{tmp} and f^{dpt} on [0,24] that

minimize
$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left| l_h^j - \left[f^{\text{tmp}}(h) t_h^j + f^{\text{dpt}}(h) d_h^j \right] \right|$$

subject to smoothness, curvature conditions

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

Given temperature t and dew point d forecasts (functions of time) for tomorrow,

load forecast becomes $f^{\text{tmp}}(h)t(h) + f^{\text{dpt}}(h)d(h)$, $h \in [0, 24]$



Given temperature t and dew point d forecasts (functions of time) for tomorrow,

load forecast becomes $f^{\text{tmp}}(h)t(h) + f^{\text{dpt}}(h)d(h)$, $h \in [0, 24]$



But, what about uncertainty?

Estimation of errors

For hour *h*:

minimized errors $e_h^j = l_h^j - [f^{tmp}(h)t_h^j + f^{dpt}(h)d_h^j], \quad j = 1, ..., J$ = samples from actual error density



Estimation of errors

For hour *h*:

minimized errors $e_h^j = l_h^j - [f^{tmp}(h)t_h^j + f^{dpt}(h)d_h^j], \quad j = 1, ..., J$ = samples from actual error density



Probability density estimation problem with very small data set

Probability density estimation problem:

Given iid sample $x^1, x^2, ..., x^{
u}$, find a function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ that maximizes $\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^i)$

・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ つ へ ペ
19 / 126

Probability density estimation problem:

Given iid sample $x^1, x^2, ..., x^{\nu}$, find a function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ that maximizes $\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^i)$

 $\mathcal{H} = \text{constraints on } h: h \ge 0, \int h(x) dx = 1, \text{ and more}$

Probability density estimation problem:

Given iid sample $x^1, x^2, ..., x^{\nu}$, find a function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ that maximizes $\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^i)$

 $\mathcal{H} = \text{constraints on } h: h \ge 0, \int h(x) dx = 1, \text{ and more}$

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem



20 / 126

E

◆□→ ◆圖→ ◆臣→ ◆臣→

Generating load scenarios

Also need to consider conditioning:

- ▶ if load above regression function early, then likely above later
- error data for density estimation reduced further

Generating load scenarios

Also need to consider conditioning:

- ▶ if load above regression function early, then likely above later
- error data for density estimation reduced further



Forecast precision (Connecticut 2010-12)

Season	Mean % error
Fall	3.99
Spring	2.73
Summer	4.19
Winter	3.47
Uncertainty quantification (UQ)

Engineering, biological, physical systems

- Input: random vector V ("known" distribution)
- System function g; implicitly defined e.g. by simulation
- Output: random variable

$$X = g(V)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ●

Illustration of UQ challenges

Output amplitude of dynamical system:



How to estimate densities like this with a small sample?

Probability density estimation

Sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$

- Sample size might be growing
- Constraint set H^{ν} might be evolving

Evolving probability density estimation

maximize $\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} (h(X^i))^{1/\nu}$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$

Evolving probability density estimation

maximize
$$\prod_{i=1}^{
u} (h(X^i))^{1/
u}$$
 s.t. $h \in H^{
u} \subset \mathcal{H}$

maximize
$$\frac{1}{\nu}\sum_{i=1}^{\nu}\log h(X^i)$$
 s.t. $h\in H^{\nu}\subset \mathcal{H}$

Evolving probability density estimation

maximize
$$\prod_{i=1}^{
u}(\mathit{h}(X^i))^{1/
u}$$
 s.t. $\mathit{h}\in \mathit{H}^{
u}\subset\mathcal{H}$

maximize
$$rac{1}{
u}\sum_{i=1}^{
u}\log h(X^i)$$
 s.t. $h\in H^{
u}\subset \mathcal{H}$

actual problem: maximize $E[\log h(X)]$ s.t. $h \in H \subset \mathcal{H}$

Evolving constraints

Constraints: nonnegative support, smooth, curvature bound



MSE = 0.2765 (epi-spline) and 0.3273 (kernel)

э

Evolving constraints (cont.)

Also log-concave



MSE = 0.1144 (epi-spline) and 0.3273 (kernel)

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Evolving constraints (cont.)

Also nonincreasing



MSE = 0.0470 (epi-spline) and 0.3273 (kernel)

Evolving constraints (cont.)

Also slope bounds



MSE = 0.0416 (epi-spline) and 0.3273 (kernel)

Take-aways so far

- Challenges in forecasting and estimation are function identification problems
- Day-ahead forecasting of electricity demand involves
 - functional regression to get trend
 - probability density estimation to get errors
- Soft information supplements hard information (data)

Questions?



< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ の Q (~ 32 / 126

Outline

- Illustrations and formulations (slides 12-33)
- Framework (slides 34-60)
- Epi-splines and approximations (slides 61-77)
- Implementations (slides 78-85)
- Examples (slides 86-124)

Framework

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

min $\psi(f)$ such that $f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

min $\psi(f)$ such that $f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

• criterion ψ (norms, error measures, etc)

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

min $\psi(f)$ such that $f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

- criterion ψ (norms, error measures, etc)
- feasible set F (shape restrictions, external info)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

min $\psi(f)$ such that $f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

- criterion ψ (norms, error measures, etc)
- feasible set F (shape restrictions, external info)
- ▶ subspace of interest *F* (continuity, smoothness)

Special case: linear regression



Special case: interpolation



min $||f''||^2$ such that $f(x^j) = y^j$ for all j and $f \in$ Sobolev space

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Special case: smoothing



$$\min \sum_{j} (y^J - f(x^J))^2 + \lambda \|f''\|^2$$

such that $f \in Sobolev$ space

38 / 126

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Evolving infinite-dimensional optimization problems

min $\psi^{\nu}(f)$ such that $f \in F^{\nu} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

- \blacktriangleright evolving/approximating criterion ψ^{ν}
- evolving/approximating feasible set F^{ν}

Evolving infinite-dimensional optimization problems

min $\psi^{\nu}(f)$ such that $f \in F^{\nu} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ some function space

- \blacktriangleright evolving/approximating criterion ψ^{ν}
- evolving/approximating feasible set F^{ν}

Function space

extended real-valued lower semicontinuous functions on R^n

Function space

extended real-valued lower semicontinuous functions on R^n



Function space

extended real-valued lower semicontinuous functions on R^n



(lsc-fcns(\mathbb{R}^n), dl): complete separable metric, dl = epi-distance

Modeling possibilities with lsc functions

- functions with jumps and high growth
- response surface building with implicit constraints
- system identification with subsequent minimization
- \blacktriangleright nonlinear transformations requiring $\pm\infty$
- functions with unbounded domain

Jumps



Fits by polynomial and lsc function

Modeling possibilities with lsc functions

- functions with jumps and high growth
- response surface building with implicit constraints
- system identification with subsequent minimization
- \blacktriangleright nonlinear transformations requiring $\pm\infty$
- functions with unbounded domain

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Exponential transformation: $h(x) = \exp(-s(x))$

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Exponential transformation: $h(x) = \exp(-s(x))$

minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} s(X^i)$ s.t. $s \in S^{\nu} \subset S$ excluding nonnegativity

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Exponential transformation: $h(x) = \exp(-s(x))$

minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} s(X^i)$ s.t. $s \in S^{\nu} \subset S$ excluding nonnegativity

• $h \log$ -concave $\iff s \mod s$

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Exponential transformation: $h(x) = \exp(-s(x))$

minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} s(X^i)$ s.t. $s \in S^{\nu} \subset S$ excluding nonnegativity

- $h \log$ -concave $\iff s \mod s$
- If $s = \langle c(\cdot), r \rangle$, then certain expression linear in r

Recall: probability density estimation with sample $X^1, ..., X^{\nu}$: maximize $\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log h(X^i)$ s.t. $h \in H^{\nu} \subset \mathcal{H}$ including $h \ge 0$

Exponential transformation: $h(x) = \exp(-s(x))$

minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} s(X^i)$ s.t. $s \in S^{\nu} \subset S$ excluding nonnegativity

- $h \log$ -concave $\iff s \mod s$
- If $s = \langle c(\cdot), r \rangle$, then certain expression linear in r

But need s to take on ∞ for $h = \exp(-s(\cdot))$ to vanish

Identifying functions with unbounded domains

Complications for "standard" spaces
Identifying functions with unbounded domains

Complications for "standard" spaces

Is f^{ν} near f^{0} ?



Identifying functions with unbounded domains

Complications for "standard" spaces

Is f^{ν} near f^{0} ?

Not in \mathcal{L}^{p} -norm, but epi-distance $\leq 1/\nu$

ヘロト 人間ト 人造ト 人造ト

Modeling possibilities with lsc functions

- functions with jumps and high growth
- response surface building with implicit constraints
- system identification with subsequent minimization
- \blacktriangleright nonlinear transformations requiring $\pm\infty$
- functions with unbounded domain

Epi-graph



Epi-graph



Geometric view of lsc functions

 $f \in \operatorname{lsc-fcns}(R^n) \iff \operatorname{epi} f$ nonemptly closed subset of R^{n+1}

Geometric view of lsc functions

 $f \in \operatorname{lsc-fcns}(R^n) \iff \operatorname{epi} f$ nonemptly closed subset of R^{n+1}

Notation:

- $\rho B = B(0, \rho) = \text{origin-centered ball with radius } \rho$
- $d(y, S) = \inf_{y' \in S} ||y y'|| =$ distance between y and S

Distances between epi-graphs



★ロト ★御 と ★ 注 と ★ 注 と … 注

Distances between epi-graphs (cont.)

$$ho$$
-epi-distance $= dl_
ho(f,g) = \sup_{ar{x} \in
ho B} |d(ar{x}, ext{epi}\ f) - d(ar{x}, ext{epi}\ g)|, \
ho \geq 0$

Distances between epi-graphs (cont.)



52 / 126

Distances between epi-graphs (cont.) ρ -epi-distance = $dl_{\rho}(f,g) = \sup_{\bar{x} \in \rho B} |d(\bar{x}, \operatorname{epi} f) - d(\bar{x}, \operatorname{epi} g)|, \ \rho \ge 0$



53 / 126

Distances between epi-graphs (cont.)

 $\rho\text{-epi-distance } = dl_{\rho}(f,g) = \sup_{\bar{x} \in \rho \mathcal{B}} |d(\bar{x}, \operatorname{epi} f) - d(\bar{x}, \operatorname{epi} g)|, \ \rho \geq 0$



ヘロト 人間ト 人造ト 人造ト

Metric on the lsc functions

 ρ -epi-distance dl_{ρ} is a pseudo-metric on lsc-fcns(\mathbb{R}^n)

epi-distance
$$= dl(f,g) = \int_0^\infty dl_\rho(f,g)e^{-\rho}d\rho$$

 dl is a metric on lsc-fcns(\mathbb{R}^n)

The epi-distance induces the epi-topology on $lsc-fcns(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (lsc-fcns(\mathbb{R}^n), dl) is a complete separable metric space (Polish)

Epi-convergence

$f^{\nu} \in \operatorname{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ epi-converges to f if $dl(f^{\nu}, f) \to 0$

Actual problem

Constrained infinite-dimensional optimization problem

min $\psi(f)$ such that $f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

- criterion ψ (norms, error measures, etc)
- feasible set F (shape restrictions, external info)
- ▶ subspace of interest *F* (continuity, smoothness)

Take-aways in this section

Actual problem:

- find best extended real-valued lower semicontinuous function
- captures regression, curve fitting, interpolation, density estimation etc:
- ▶ allows functions on R^n , jumps, transformation requiring $\pm \infty$
- Evolving problem due to changes in information and approximations
- Theory about lsc functions:
 - metric space under epi-distance
 - epi-distance = distance between epi-graphs

◆□ → ◆聞 → ◆臣 → ◆臣 → □ □

Questions?



Outline

- Illustrations and formulations (slides 12-33)
- Framework (slides 34-60)
- Epi-splines and approximations (slides 61-77)
- Implementations (slides 78-85)
- Examples (slides 86-124)

Epi-splines and approximations

Epi-splines = piecewise polynomial + lower semicont.

Piecewise polynomial not automatic; must be constructed

Epi-splines = piecewise polynomial + lower semicont.

Piecewise polynomial not automatic; must be constructed



62 / 126

э

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Epi-splines = piecewise polynomial + lower semicont.

Piecewise polynomial not automatic; must be constructed



Optimization over new class of piecewise polynomial functions

n-dim epi-splines



n-dim epi-splines (cont.)



Epi-spline s of order p defined on \mathbb{R}^n with partition $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathbb{R}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a real-valued function that

on each R_k , k = 1, ..., N, is polynomial of total degree p and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, has $s(x) = \liminf_{x' \to x} s(x')$

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

n-dim epi-splines (cont.)



Epi-spline s of order p defined on \mathbb{R}^n with partition $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathbb{R}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a real-valued function that

on each R_k , k = 1, ..., N, is polynomial of total degree p and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, has $s(x) = \liminf_{x' \to x} s(x')$

 $\operatorname{e-spl}_n^p(\mathcal{R}) = \operatorname{epi-splines}$ of order p on \mathbb{R}^n with partition \mathcal{R}

min
$$\psi^
u(s)$$

such that $s\in S^
u=F^
u\cap \mathcal{S}^
u$

min
$$\psi^
u(s)$$

such that $s\in S^
u=F^
u\cap \mathcal{S}^
u$

• subspace of interest $\mathcal{S}^{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{e-spl}_{n}^{p^{\nu}}(\mathcal{R}^{\nu}) \cap \mathcal{F}$

min
$$\psi^{
u}(s)$$

such that $s \in S^{
u} = F^{
u} \cap S^{
u}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○

65 / 126

- subspace of interest $\mathcal{S}^{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{e-spl}_{n}^{p^{\nu}}(\mathcal{R}^{\nu}) \cap \mathcal{F}$
- evolving/approximate feasible set F^{ν}

min
$$\psi^
u(s)$$

such that $s \in S^
u = F^
u \cap S^
u$

- subspace of interest $\mathcal{S}^{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{e-spl}_{n}^{p^{\nu}}(\mathcal{R}^{\nu}) \cap \mathcal{F}$
- evolving/approximate feasible set F^{ν}
- evolving/approximate criterion $\psi^{
 u}$

Function identification framework



3

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Epi-convergence of functionals on a metric space:

Epi-convergence of functionals on a metric space:

Definition: $\{\psi^{\nu}: S^{\nu} \to R\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ epi-converge to $\psi: F \to R$ if and only if

- (i) for every $s^{\nu} \to f \in \text{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, we have lim inf $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \ge \psi(f)$ if $f \in F$ and $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \to \infty$ otherwise;
- (ii) for every $f \in F$, there exists $\{s^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, such that $s^{\nu} \to f$ and $\limsup \psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \leq \psi(f)$.

Epi-convergence of functionals on a metric space:

Definition: $\{\psi^{\nu}: S^{\nu} \to R\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ epi-converge to $\psi: F \to R$ if and only if

- (i) for every $s^{\nu} \to f \in \text{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, we have lim inf $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \ge \psi(f)$ if $f \in F$ and $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \to \infty$ otherwise;
- (ii) for every $f \in F$, there exists $\{s^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, such that $s^{\nu} \to f$ and $\limsup \psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \leq \psi(f)$.

Equivalent to previous definition

Epi-convergence of functionals on a metric space:

Definition: $\{\psi^{\nu}: S^{\nu} \to R\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ epi-converge to $\psi: F \to R$ if and only if

- (i) for every $s^{\nu} \to f \in \text{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, we have lim inf $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \ge \psi(f)$ if $f \in F$ and $\psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \to \infty$ otherwise;
- (ii) for every $f \in F$, there exists $\{s^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, with $s^{\nu} \in S^{\nu}$, such that $s^{\nu} \to f$ and $\limsup \psi^{\nu}(s^{\nu}) \leq \psi(f)$.

Equivalent to previous definition

Also say that the evolving optimization problems epi-converge to the actual problem.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ●

Consequence of epi-convergence

If evolving problems epi-converge to the actual problem, then

$$\limsup\left(\inf_{s\in S^{\nu}}\psi^{\nu}(s)\right)\leq \inf_{f\in F}\psi(f).$$

Consequence of epi-convergence

If evolving problems epi-converge to the actual problem, then

$$\limsup\left(\inf_{s\in S^\nu}\psi^\nu(s)\right)\leq \inf_{f\in F}\psi(f).$$

Moreover, if s^k are optimal for the evolving problems $\min_{s \in S^{\nu_k}} \psi^{\nu_k}(s)$ and $s^k \to f^0$, then f^0 is optimal for the actual problem and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\inf_{s\in S^{\nu_k}}\psi^{\nu_k}(s)=\inf_{f\in F}\psi(f)=\psi(f^0).$$

68 / 126
When will evolving problems epi-converge to actual?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min \psi(f) & \min \psi^{\nu}(s) \\ \text{such that } f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{lsc-fcns}(\boldsymbol{R}^n) & \text{such that } s \in S^{\nu} = F^{\nu} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\nu} \end{array}$

Theorem: A sufficient condition for epi-convergence is

- $\blacktriangleright \ \psi^{\nu}$ converges continuously to ψ relative to ${\cal F}$
- Epi-splines dense in lsc functions
- F^{ν} set-converges to F
- F is solid

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Epi-splines dense in lsc functions

 $\{\mathcal{R}^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, with $\mathcal{R}^{\nu} = \{R_{1}^{\nu}, ..., R_{N^{\nu}}^{\nu}\}$, is an infinite refinement if

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $\bar{\nu}$ s.t. $R_k^{\nu} \subset \mathbf{B}(x,\epsilon)$ for every $\nu \geq \bar{\nu}$ and k satisfying $x \in \operatorname{cl} R_k^{\nu}$.

Epi-splines dense in lsc functions

 $\{\mathcal{R}^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, with $\mathcal{R}^{\nu} = \{R_{1}^{\nu}, ..., R_{N^{\nu}}^{\nu}\}$, is an infinite refinement if

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $\bar{\nu}$ s.t. $R_k^{\nu} \subset B(x, \epsilon)$ for every $\nu \geq \bar{\nu}$ and k satisfying $x \in \operatorname{cl} R_k^{\nu}$.

Theorem: For any p = 0, 1, 2, ..., and infinite refinement $\{\mathcal{R}^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{e-spl}_{n}^{p}(\mathcal{R}^{\nu}) \text{ is dense in } \operatorname{lsc-fcns}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$$

Dense in continuous functions under simplex partitioning

Definition: A simplex *S* in \mathbb{R}^n is the convex hull of n + 1 points $x^0, x^1, ..., x^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $x^1 - x^0, x^2 - x^0, ..., x^n - x^0$ linearly independent.

Dense in continuous functions under simplex partitioning

Definition: A simplex *S* in \mathbb{R}^n is the convex hull of n + 1 points $x^0, x^1, ..., x^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $x^1 - x^0, x^2 - x^0, ..., x^n - x^0$ linearly independent.

Definition: A partition $R_1, R_2, ..., R_N$ of \mathbb{R}^n is a simplex partition of \mathbb{R}^n if cl $R_1, ...,$ cl R_N are "mostly" simplexes.



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Dense in cnts fcns under simplex partitioning (cont.)

Theorem: For any $p = 1, 2, ..., \text{ and } \{\mathcal{R}^{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, an infinite refinement of \mathbb{R}^{n} consisting of simplex partitions of \mathbb{R}^{n} ,

$$\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{e-spl}_{n}^{p}(\mathcal{R}^{\nu}) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}) \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{C}^{0}(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}).$$

Rates in univariate probability density estimation

For convex and finite-dimensional estimation problem:

Theorem: For any "correct" soft information,

 $u^{1/2} d_{{\it KL}}(h^0||h_\epsilon^
u) = O_p(1)$ for some $h_\epsilon^
u =$ near-optimal solution

Decomposition

Theorem: For every $s \in \text{e-spl}_n^p(\mathcal{R})$, with $n > p \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{R_k\}_{k=1}^N$, there exist $q_{k,i} \in \text{poly}^p(\mathcal{R}^{n-1})$, i = 1, 2, ..., n and k = 1, 2, ..., N, such that

$$s(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n q_{k,i}(x_{-i}), ext{ for all } x\in R_k.$$

an *n*-dim epi-spline is the sum of *n*, (n-1)-dim epi-splines

Take-aways in this section

- ► Epi-splines are piecewise polynomials defined on arbitrary partition of *Rⁿ*
- Only lower-semicontinuity required (not smoothness)
- Dense in space of extended real-valued lower-semicontinuous functions under epi-distance (i.e., epi-splines can approximate every lsc function to an arbitrary accuracy)
- Solutions of evolving problem (in terms of epi-splines) are approximate solutions of actual problem

Questions?



Outline

- Illustrations and formulations (slides 12-33)
- Framework (slides 34-60)
- Epi-splines and approximations (slides 61-77)
- Implementations (slides 78-85)
- Examples (slides 86-124)

Implementations

Implementation considerations

- Selection of epi-spline order and composition $h = \exp(-s)$
- Selection of partition: go fine!
- Implementation of criterion functional and soft info

Implementation considerations

- Selection of epi-spline order and composition $h = \exp(-s)$
- Selection of partition: go fine!
- Implementation of criterion functional and soft info

Provide details for one-dimensional epi-splines of order 1

Focusing on criteria and constraints for probability densities

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Implementation of criteria functionals

Probability densities $h \in \text{e-spl}^1(m)$, with mesh $m = \{m_0, m_1, ..., m_N\}$,

 $-\infty < m_0 < m_1 < \ldots < m_N < \infty$

Implementation of criteria functionals

Probability densities
$$h \in \text{e-spl}^1(m)$$
, with mesh $m = \{m_0, m_1, ..., m_N\}$,

$$-\infty < m_0 < m_1 < \ldots < m_N < \infty$$

First-order epi-splines (piecewise linear):

$$h(x) = a_0^k + a^k x$$
 for $x \in (m_{k-1}, m_k)$

Implementation of criteria functionals (cont.)

Log-likelihood for observations $x^1, ..., x^{\nu}$:

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log(a_{0}^{k_{i}} + a^{k_{i}}x^{i}), \text{ where } k_{i} \text{ such that } x^{i} \in (m_{k_{i}-1}, m_{k_{i}})$$

Implementation of criteria functionals (cont.)

Log-likelihood for observations $x^1, ..., x^{\nu}$:

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log(a_0^{k_i} + a^{k_i} x^i), \text{ where } k_i \text{ such that } x^i \in (m_{k_i-1}, m_{k_i})$$

Entropy:

$$-\int h(x)\log h(x)dx = -\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}}(a_{0}^{k}+a^{k}x)\log(a_{0}^{k}+a^{k}x)$$

<ロト < 部 ト < 注 ト < 注 ト 注 の Q () 81 / 126

Implementation of criteria functionals (cont.)

Log-likelihood for observations $x^1, ..., x^{\nu}$:

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} h(x^{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log(a_{0}^{k_{i}} + a^{k_{i}}x^{i}), \text{ where } k_{i} \text{ such that } x^{i} \in (m_{k_{i}-1}, m_{k_{i}})$$

Entropy:

$$-\int h(x)\log h(x)dx = -\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}}(a_{0}^{k}+a^{k}x)\log(a_{0}^{k}+a^{k}x)$$

Both concave in epi-parameters a_0^k and a^k , k = 1, ..., N

Nonnegativity: $h \ge 0$ if $a_0^k + a^k m_{k-1} \ge 0$ and $a_0^k + a^k m_k \ge 0$, k = 1, ..., N

Nonnegativity: $h \ge 0$ if $a_0^k + a^k m_{k-1} \ge 0$ and $a_0^k + a^k m_k \ge 0$, k = 1, ..., N

Integrate to one:

$$\int h(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_0^k (m_k - m_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{a^k}{2} (m_k^2 - m_{k-1}^2) = 1$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Nonnegativity: $h \ge 0$ if $a_0^k + a^k m_{k-1} \ge 0$ and $a_0^k + a^k m_k \ge 0$, k = 1, ..., N

Integrate to one:

$$\int h(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_0^k (m_k - m_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{a^k}{2} (m_k^2 - m_{k-1}^2) = 1$$

Continuity: $a_0^k + a^k m_k = a_0^{k+1} + a^{k+1} m_k$ for k = 1, ..., N - 1.

Nonnegativity: $h \ge 0$ if $a_0^k + a^k m_{k-1} \ge 0$ and $a_0^k + a^k m_k \ge 0$, k = 1, ..., N

Integrate to one:

$$\int h(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_0^k (m_k - m_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{a^k}{2} (m_k^2 - m_{k-1}^2) = 1$$

Continuity: $a_0^k + a^k m_k = a_0^{k+1} + a^{k+1} m_k$ for k = 1, ..., N - 1.

Log-concavity, convexity, monotonicity

Soft information (cont.)

Deconvolution Y = X + W: Given densities h_W and h_Y ,

$$h_{Y}(y) = \int h(x)h_{W}(y-x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{0}^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} h_{W}(y-x)dx$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N} a^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} xh_{W}(y-x)dx \text{ for all } y$$

Soft information (cont.)

Deconvolution Y = X + W: Given densities h_W and h_Y ,

$$h_{Y}(y) = \int h(x)h_{W}(y-x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{0}^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} h_{W}(y-x)dx$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N} a^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} xh_{W}(y-x)dx \text{ for all } y$$

Inverse problem Y = g(X): Given $v_q = \int y^q h_Y(y) dy$, q = 1, 2, ...

$$v_q = \int [g(x)]^q h(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{M^k} w^{jk} [g(x^{jk})]^q (a_0^k + a^k x^{jk})$$

83 / 126

Soft information (cont.)

Deconvolution Y = X + W: Given densities h_W and h_Y ,

$$h_{Y}(y) = \int h(x)h_{W}(y-x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{0}^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} h_{W}(y-x)dx$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N} a^{k} \int_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} xh_{W}(y-x)dx \text{ for all } y$$

Inverse problem Y = g(X): Given $v_q = \int y^q h_Y(y) dy$, q = 1, 2, ...

$$v_q = \int [g(x)]^q h(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{M^k} w^{jk} [g(x^{jk})]^q (a_0^k + a^k x^{jk})$$

Convex optimization problems

<□▶ <□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Software, references

- Papers and tutorials: http://faculty.nps.edu/joroyset
- Software for univariate probability density estimation
 - Matlab toolbox http://faculty.nps.edu/joroyset/XSPL.html
 - R toolbox (S. Buttrey) http://faculty.nps.edu/sebuttre/home/Software/expepi/index.html

Outline

- Illustrations and formulations (slides 12-33)
- Framework (slides 34-60)
- Epi-splines and approximations (slides 61-77)
- Implementations (slides 78-85)
- Examples (slides 86-124)

Examples: forecasting and fitting

э.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Stochastic differential equation: estimate drift, volatility

Steps:

- ► identify discount factor curve using epi-splines and futures market information ⇒ future spot prices
- identify drift using epi-splines, historical data, future spot prices, etc
- identify volatility using epi-splines and observed/estimated errors

Copper price forecast (cont.)



88 / 126

э

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Surface reconstruction

 $f(x) = (\cos(\pi x_1) + \cos(\pi x_2))^3$ on $[-3, 3]^2$ continuity; second-order epi-splines; N = 400; 900 uniform points



Actual function and epi-spline approximation

Surface reconstruction

 $f(x) = \sin(\pi ||x||)/(\pi ||x||)$ for $x \in [-5, 5]^2 \setminus \{0\}$, f(0) = 1 cont. diff.; second-order epi-splines; N = 225; 600 random points



Actual function and epi-spline approximation

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Examples: density estimation

Examples of probability density estimation

Find a density h that maximizes log-likelihood of observations and satisfies soft information

Examples: earthquake losses, queuing, robustness, deconvolution, UQ, mixture, bivariate densities

Earthquake losses
Earthquake losses, Vancouver Region*

Comprehensive damage model (284 random variables)

100,000 simulations of 50-year loss



*Data from Mahsuli, 2012; see also Mahsuli & Haukaas, 2013

94 / 126

Earthquake losses (cont.)

Probability density of 50-year loss (billion CAD)



Use fewer simulations?

Using 100 simulations only and kernel estimator

Use fewer simulations?

Using 100 simulations only and kernel estimator



Using function identification approach

Max likelihood of 100 simulations; second-order exp. epi-splines Eng. knowledge: nonincreasing, smooth, nonnegative support

Using function identification approach

Max likelihood of 100 simulations; second-order exp. epi-splines Eng. knowledge: nonincreasing, smooth, nonnegative support



≣ ∽९୯ 97 / 126

Using function identification approach (cont.)

Varying number of simulations; same soft information



・ロト・西ト・西ト・西ト・日・

98 / 126

Using function identification approach (cont.)

30 meta-replications of 100 simulations



99 / 126

Using function identification approach (cont.) Also pointwise Fisher info.: $h'(y)/h(y) \in [-0.5, -0.1]$



Using function identification approach (cont.) Also pointwise Fisher info.: $h'(x)/h(x) \in [-0.35, -0.25]$



Building robustness

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Diversity of estimates using KL-divergence

Returning to exponential density Continuously diff., nonincreasing, nonnegative support



Queuing

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > ○ < ○

M/M/1; 50% of customers delayed for fixed time

X = customer time-in-service; 100 obs.; exp. epi-spline Soft info: lsc, $X \ge 0$, pointwise Fisher, unimodal upper tail



105 / 126

Deconvolution

True density Gamma(5,1)

First-order epi-spline on [0, 23], N = 1000

Three sample points

Contin., unimodal, convex tails, bounds on gradient jumps



107 / 126

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

5000 observations of Y = X + W

W independent normal noise; mean 0, stdev 3.2

5000 observations of Y = X + WW independent normal noise; mean 0, stdev 3.2 Estimate h_Y separately

5000 observations of Y = X + WW independent normal noise; mean 0, stdev 3.2 Estimate h_Y separately $|h_Y(y) - \int h(x)h_W(y-x)dx| \le 0.005$ for 101 y points

5000 observations of Y = X + WW independent normal noise; mean 0, stdev 3.2 Estimate h_Y separately $|h_Y(y) - \int h(x)h_W(y - x)dx| \le 0.005$ for 101 y points



108 / 126

ヘロト 人間ト 人造ト 人造ト

Uncertainty quantification

Dynamical system

Recall the true density of the amplitude



110 / 126

(ロ) (部) (目) (日) (日)

Density of amplitude

Sample size 100; cont. diff.; unimodal tails, exp. epi-splines



Gradient information

Gradient information for bijective $g : R \to R$ Recall: If X = g(V), then

$$h(x) = h_V(g^{-1}(x))/|g'(g^{-1}(x))|$$

Gradient information

Gradient information for bijective $g : R \to R$ Recall: If X = g(V), then

$$h(x) = h_V(g^{-1}(x))/|g'(g^{-1}(x))|$$

Present context without a bijection and data $x^i = g(v^i), g'(v^i)$:

$$h(x^i) \geq \frac{h_V(v^i)}{|g'(v^i)|}$$

Value of pdf bounded from below at x^i

Gradient information (cont.)

Sample size 20



Fluid dynamics



Drag/lift estimates:

High-fidelity RANSE solves (each 4 hours on 8 cores) Low-fidelity potential flow solves (each 5 sec on 1 core)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト

Predicting high-fidelity performance





Learn from low-fidelity solves and avoid (many) high-fidelity solves

Density using high or low solves

Exponential epi-splines of second order; mesh N = 50Soft info: log-concavity and bounds on second-order derivatives



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Estimating conditional error

X =high-fidelity; Y =low-fidelity

 $h_X(x) = \int h_{X|Y}(x|y)h_Y(y)dy$

Estimating conditional error

X =high-fidelity; Y =low-fidelity

$$h_X(x) = \int h_{X|Y}(x|y)h_Y(y)dy$$

Normal linear least-squares regression model on training data of size 50 $\rightarrow h_{X|Y}(x|y)$

Estimating conditional error

X =high-fidelity; Y =low-fidelity

$$h_X(x) = \int h_{X|Y}(x|y)h_Y(y)dy$$

Normal linear least-squares regression model on training data of size 50 $\rightarrow h_{X|Y}(x|y)$



117 / 126

Information fusion

Max likelihood using 10 high-fidelity simulations $0.5h_X(x) \le \int h_{X|Y}(x|y)h_Y(y)dy \le 1.5h_X(x)$

Information fusion

Max likelihood using 10 high-fidelity simulations $0.5h_X(x) \le \int h_{X|Y}(x|y)h_Y(y)dy \le 1.5h_X(x)$



118 / 126

э

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Only 10 high-fidelity



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Uniform mixture density
Uniform mixture density

Sample size 100; lsc, slope constraints; exp. epi-splines



121 / 126

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Bivariate normal density

Bivariate normal probability density



123 / 126

(ロ) (部) (目) (日) (日)

Bivariate normal probability density



Curvature, log-concave, 25 sample points, exp. epi-spline

Function identification problems: rich class

- Function identification problems: rich class
- Isc functions provide modeling flexibility

- Function identification problems: rich class
- Isc functions provide modeling flexibility
- Epi-convergence allows evolution of info./approx.

- Function identification problems: rich class
- Isc functions provide modeling flexibility
- Epi-convergence allows evolution of info./approx.
- Epi-splines central approximation tools

References

- Singham, Royset, & Wets, Density estimation of simulation output using exponential epi-splines
- Royset, Sukumar, & Wets, Uncertainty quantification using exponential epi-splines
- Royset & Wets, From data to assessments and decisions: epi-spline technology
- Royset & Wets, Fusion of hard and soft information in nonparametric density estimation
- Royset & Wets, Multivariate epi-splines and evolving function identification problems
- Feng, Rios, Ryan, Spurkel, Watson, Wets & Woodruff, Toward scalable stochastic unit commitment - Part 1
- Rios, Wets & Woodruff, Multi-period forecasting with limited information and scenario generation with limited data
- Royset & Wets, On function identification problems

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ●