

A general Dynamic Programming Principle

V. Leclère (ENPC), M. De Lara (ENPC).

July 3, 2016

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Classical optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_s \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) \\ & \mathbf{U}_t = s_t(\mathbf{X}_t) \end{aligned}$$

- \mathbf{X}_t : state,
- \mathbf{U}_t : control,
- \mathbf{W}_t : uncertainty,
- $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{T-1})$: strategy.

Time consistency of a sequence of problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{P}_{t_0}) \quad & \min_s \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=t_0}^T L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right] \\
 & \text{s.t. } \mathbf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) \\
 & \quad \mathbf{U}_t = s_t(\mathbf{X}_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of problems $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \llbracket 0, T-1 \rrbracket}$ is said to be *time consistent* iff an optimal strategy $(s_t^{t_0})_{t \in \llbracket t_0, T-1 \rrbracket}$ of Problem (\mathcal{P}_{t_0}) is such that its restriction to $\llbracket t_1, T-1 \rrbracket$, $(s_t^{t_0})_{t \in \llbracket t_1, T-1 \rrbracket}$ is optimal for (\mathcal{P}_{t_1}) , $(\forall t_1 > t_0)$.

Dynamic Programming

The sequence of Bellman functions $(V_t)_{t \in \llbracket 0, T \rrbracket}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{t_0}(x) &= \min_s \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=t_0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right], \\
 \text{s.t. } &\mathbf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t), \\
 &\mathbf{U}_t = s_t(\mathbf{X}_t), \\
 &\mathbf{X}_{t_0} = x,
 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the Bellman equation

$$\begin{cases} V_{T+1}(x) = K(x), \\ V_t(x) = \min_u \mathbb{E} \left[L_t(x, u, \mathbf{W}_t) + V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, \mathbf{W}_t) \right]. \end{cases}$$

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Aggregation in time

$$\begin{aligned} \min_s \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right], \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t), \\ & \mathbf{U}_t = s_t(\mathbf{X}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the **sum** over time. Other choices :

- discounted sum $\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \rho^t L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + \rho^T K(\mathbf{X}_T)$,
- maximin (Rawls) $\max_{t \in [0, T-1]} \{L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t), K(\mathbf{X}_T)\}$,
- product, for example for a discounted final cost with a controlled interest rate, $\left(\prod_{t=0}^{T-1} \rho(\mathbf{X}_t) \right) \times K(\mathbf{X}_T)$.

Aggregation in uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} \min_s \quad & \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t), \\ & \mathbf{U}_t = s_t(\mathbf{X}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the **expectation** over time. Other choices :

- expectation over another probability

$$\mathbb{E}_Q \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right),$$

- worst case aggregator $\max_w \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right)$,

- coherent risk measures

$$\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{E}_Q \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{U}_t, \mathbf{W}_t) + K(\mathbf{X}_T) \right).$$

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Dynamic system and state

- Sets of states : \mathbb{X}_t , and $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{X}_T$.
- Sets of uncertainties : \mathbb{W}_t , and $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{W}_T$.
- Sets of controls \mathbb{U}_t , and $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{U}_T$.
- Dynamics $f_t : \mathbb{X}_t \times \mathbb{U}_t \times \mathbb{W}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{t+1}$.
- Stage-costs $L_t : \mathbb{X}_t \times \mathbb{U}_t \times \mathbb{W}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$,
- Control constraints $U_t : \mathbb{X}_t \rightrightarrows \mathbb{U}_t$.

A *strategy* $s = (s_t)_{t \in [1, T]}$ is a sequence of functions such that for all $t \in [1, T]$, s_t maps the set of states \mathbb{X}_t into the set of control \mathbb{U}_t .

A *trajectory* $x^s(w)$ follows

$$\forall t \in [1, T], \quad x_{t+1}^s(w_t) = f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), w_t) .$$

Stream of costs

For any admissible strategy s , we have a corresponding stream of costs

$$\left(L_1(x_1^s, s_1(x_1^s), W_1), \dots, L_T(x_T^s, s_T(x_T^s), W_T) \right)$$

which is a stochastic process. To choose the “best” strategy we first need to determine a way of comparing streams of costs.

Note that:

- there are T costs,
- each of them is uncertain.

They need to be aggregated into a single number to be compared.

Time and uncertainty aggregators

- A *time-aggregator* is given as $\Psi : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{T+1} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, (for example the sum discounted or not, the product, ...)
- An *uncertainty-aggregator* is given as $\mathbb{G} : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{W}, \bar{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ (for example an expectation, a worst case,...).

Thus the problem considered is

$$\min_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{G} \left[w \mapsto \Psi \left\{ L_1(x_1, u_1, w_1), \dots, L_T(x_T, u_T, w_T), K(x_{T+1}) \right\} \right],$$

$$x_{t+1} = f_t(x_t, u_t, w_t),$$

$$u_t = s_t(x_t),$$

$$u_t \in U_t(x_t).$$

Other problems

We denote $L_t^s = (x_t^s, s_1(x_t^s), W_t)$. We considered the problem of minimizing

$$(TU) \quad \mathbb{G} \left[\Psi \left\{ L_1^s, L_2^s, \dots, L_T^s \right\} \right]$$

But we could also have considered

$$(UT) \quad \Psi \left\{ \mathbb{G}_1 [L_1^s], \mathbb{G}_2 [L_2^s] \dots, \mathbb{G}_T [L_T^s] \right\}$$

or

$$(NTU) \quad \mathbb{G}_1 \left[\Psi_1 \left\{ L_1^s, \mathbb{G}_2 \left[\Psi_2 \left\{ L_2^s, \dots \Psi_{T-1} \left\{ L_{T-1}^s, \mathbb{G}_T [L_T^s] \right\} \right\} \right] \right\} \right]$$

or inverting the order of aggregators we could write an (NUT) problem.

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - **Ingredients for Dynamic Programming**
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Composition of time aggregator

We consider $\Psi_t : \bar{\mathbb{R}} \times \bar{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$.

We define the composition $\Psi_1 \odot \Psi_2 : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^3 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$\Psi_1 \odot \Psi_2 \{C_1, C_2, C_3\} := \Psi_1 \left\{ C_1, \Psi_2 \{C_2, C_3\} \right\}.$$

More generally $\Psi = \Psi_1 \odot \dots \odot \Psi_t : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is recursively defined by, for all $c \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}$,

$$\Psi_{t'} \odot \dots \odot \Psi_t \{c\} := \Psi_{t'} \left\{ c_{t'}, \Psi_{t'+1} \odot \dots \odot \Psi_t \{c_t, \dots, c_{t+1}\} \right\}.$$

Composition of uncertainty aggregators

We consider $G_t : \mathcal{L}(W_t; \bar{R}) \rightarrow \bar{R}$.

We define $G_1 \square G_2 : \mathcal{F}(W_1 \times W_2, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ by

$$G_1 \square G_2[A] := G_1 \left[w_1 \mapsto G_2 [w_2 \mapsto A(w_1, w_2)] \right].$$

Their composition $G = G_1 \square \dots \square G_t : \mathcal{L}(W; \bar{R}) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is recursively defined by

$$G_{t'} \square \dots \square G_t[A] := G_{t'} \left[w_{t'} \mapsto G_{t'+1} \square \dots \square G_t \left[(w_{t'+1}, \dots, w_t) \mapsto A(w_{t'}, w_{t'+1}, \dots, w_t) \right] \right].$$

Examples

If

$$\Psi\{c_1, c_2\} = c_1 + c_2, \quad \Psi'\{c_1, c_2\} = c_1 c_2,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \odot \Psi\{c_1, c_2, c_3\} &= c_1 + c_2 + c_3, \\ \Psi' \odot \Psi'\{c_1, c_2, c_3\} &= c_1 c_2 c_3, \\ \Psi \odot \Psi'\{c_1, c_2, c_3\} &= c_1 + c_2 c_3, \\ \Psi' \odot \Psi\{c_1, c_2, c_3\} &= c_1(c_2 + c_3). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\mathbb{G}_1 := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1}, \quad \mathbb{G}_2 := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_2}, \quad \mathbb{G}_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{G}_2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{P}_2}.$$

Commutation

A sequence of time aggregators (Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_t) is said to *commute* with a sequence of noise aggregators $(\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_t)$ if for all $C_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}_t, \bar{\mathbb{R}})$,

$$(\mathbb{G}_1 \square \dots \square \mathbb{G}_t) \left[(\Psi_1 \odot \dots \odot \Psi_t)(C_1, \dots, C_{t+1}) \right] = \mathbb{G}_1 \left[\Psi_1 \left(C_1, \mathbb{G}_2 \left[\dots \mathbb{G}_t \left[\Psi_t \{ C_t, C_{t+1} \} \right] \right] \right) \right].$$

or, loosely speaking,

$$(\mathbb{G}_1 \square \dots \square \mathbb{G}_t) \odot (\Psi_1 \odot \dots \odot \Psi_t) = (\mathbb{G}_1 \circ \Psi_1) \square \dots \square (\mathbb{G}_t \circ \Psi_t).$$

Strong commutation

A noise aggregator \mathbb{G} is said to strongly commute with a time aggregator Ψ if for any $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}; \bar{\mathbb{R}})$, and any $c \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\mathbb{G}[w \mapsto \Psi\{c, A(w)\}] = \Psi\{c, \mathbb{G}[w \mapsto A(w)]\}$$

Theorem

If for any $t \in \llbracket 1, T - 1 \rrbracket$, \mathbb{G}_{t+1} strongly commute with Ψ_t , then the sequence $(\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_T)$ commutes with the sequence (Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_T) .

Example : If \mathbb{W}_2 is a probability space $(\mathbb{W}_2, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\Psi_1(c_1, c_2) = c_1 + c_2$, then the extended expectation $\bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is strongly commuting with Ψ_1 .

General Dynamic Programming Principle

Theorem

Assume that $(\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_T)$ commute with (Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_T) , and $\Psi_t\{C_1, \cdot\}$ is non decreasing and \mathbb{G}_t is monotonous. If $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_1 \square \dots \square \mathbb{G}_T$, and $\Psi = \Psi_1 \odot \dots \odot \Psi_T$, then we define

$$V_{T+1}(x) := K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) := \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}_t(x)} \mathbb{G}_t \left[\Psi_t \left\{ L_t(x, u, \cdot), V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, \cdot) \right\} \right],$$

$$s_t^*(x) \in \arg \min_{u \in \mathbb{U}_t(x)} \mathbb{G}_t \left[\Psi_t \left\{ L_t(x, u, \cdot), V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, \cdot) \right\} \right].$$

If s^* exists and is admissible then s^* is an optimal strategy, and its value is $V_0(x)$.

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Maximum and infimum

Theorem

Let Ψ be a time aggregator non-decreasing in its second argument. If $(G^i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of monotonous random aggregators strongly commuting with Ψ . Moreover if we have either one of the two following conditions

- ① for all $C' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}, \bar{\mathbb{R}})$, $\sup_{i \in I} G^i[C']$ is attained (always verified for I finite);
- ② for all $C \in \bar{\mathbb{R}} \Psi\{C, \cdot\}$ is lower-semicontinuous (resp. upper-semicontinuous);

then the aggregator $\bar{G} := \sup_{i \in I} G^i$ (resp. $\underline{G} := \inf_i G^i$) is monotonous and strongly commute with Ψ .

Change of variable

Theorem

Let ν be an increasing bijection from $I \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ onto $J \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that we have an aggregator such that the image of $\mathbb{G} \circ \nu$ is contained in I . We define $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_t := \nu^{-1} \circ \mathbb{G} \circ \nu$.

In a similar fashion, we define $\tilde{\Psi}_t$ given by, for all $C \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^2$,

$$\tilde{\Psi}_t : (C_1, C_2) \mapsto \nu^{-1} \left(\Psi_t \{ C_1, \nu(C_2) \} \right).$$

If the sequence $(\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_t)$ commute with (Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_t) , then the sequence $(\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbb{G}}_t)$ commute with $(\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_t)$. Finally if \mathbb{G}_t is monotonous then so is $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_t$, and if $\Psi_t \{ C, \cdot \}$ is monotonous so is $\tilde{\Psi}_t \{ C, \cdot \}$.

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Coherent risk measures 1/2

$$\min_{s \in S^{ad}} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t) + K(x_{T+1}) \right].$$

$$s.t. \ x_{t+1} = f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)$$

Where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}_T$, \mathcal{P}_t is a set of probability, and $\beta_t > 0$.
 This problem can be solved by using the following DP Principle

$$V_{T+1}(x) = K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in U_t(x)} \sup_{\mathbb{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_t} \left\{ \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_t} (L_t(x, u, W_t) + V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, W_t)) \right\}.$$

Coherent risk measures 2/2

$$\min_{s \in S^{ad}} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \alpha_t(L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)) \prod_{t' < t} \beta_{t'}(L_{t'}(x_{t'}, s_{t'}(x_{t'}), W_{t'})) \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \prod_{t=1}^T \beta_t(L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)) K(x_{T+1}) \right].$$

$$s.t. \ x_{t+1} = f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)$$

Where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}_T$, \mathcal{P}_t is a set of probability. This problem can be solved by using the following DP Principle

$$V_{T+1}(x) = K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in U_t(x)} \sup_{\mathbb{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_t} \left\{ \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_t} \left[\alpha_t(J_t(x, u, W_t)) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \beta_t(L_t(x, u, W_t)) \cdot V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, W_t) \right] \right\}$$

Proof

This problem fit the framework with

- $\Psi = \Psi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \Psi_T,$
- $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_1 \odot \cdots \odot \mathbb{G}_T,$
- $\Psi_t\{C_1, C_2\} = \alpha_t(C_1) + \beta_t(C_1)C_2,$
- $\mathbb{G}_t[A] = \sup_{\mathbb{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_t} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[A].$

We show that if β_t is non-negative, \mathbb{G}_{t+1} strongly-commute with Ψ_t .

Convex risk measures

$$\min_{s \in \mathcal{S}^{ad}} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \alpha_t(L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)) + K(x_{T+1}) \right\} - g(\mathbb{P}) \right],$$

s.t. $x_{t+1} = f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)$

where $g(\mathbb{P}) = \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\mathbb{P}_t)$.

Can be solved by using the following Dynamic Programming Principle

$$V_{T+1}(x) = K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in U_t(x)} \sup_{\mathbb{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_t} \left\{ \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_t} \left[\alpha_t(L_t(x, u, W_t)) + V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, W_t) \right] \right\}$$

Contents

- 1 Classical framework
 - Expected sum
 - Other frameworks
- 2 A general framework for Dynamic Programming
 - Problem statement
 - Ingredients for Dynamic Programming
 - Combining aggregators
- 3 Applications
 - Risk measures
 - Worst case and risk-sensitive approach

Fear operator

Let Ψ_t be non decreasing in its second argument, and

$\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{W}_t$. Then

$$\min_{s \in S^{ad}} \sup_{w \in \tilde{W}} \Psi_1 \left\{ L_1(x_1, s_1(x_1), w_1), \Psi_2 \left\{ \cdots, \Psi_T \left\{ L_T(), K(x_{T+1}(w_T)) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$s.t. x_{t+1} = f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t),$$

can be solved by using the following DP Principle

$$V_{T+1}(x) = K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in U_t(x)} \sup_{w_t \in \tilde{W}_t} \left\{ \Psi_t \left\{ L_t(x, u, w_t), V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x, u, w_t) \right\} \right\}.$$

Risk sensitive approach

$$\inf_{s \in S^{ad}} -\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left(\bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \beta^{t-1} e^{-\gamma L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)} + \beta^T e^{-\gamma K(x_T)} \right] \right).$$

Can be solved by using the following Dynamic Programming Principle

$$V_{T+1}(x) = K(x),$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in U_t(x)} -\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left(\bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[e^{-\gamma L_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)} + \beta e^{-\gamma V_{t+1} \circ f_t(x_t, s_t(x_t), W_t)} \right] \right)$$

Conclusion

- Dynamic programming principle yield time consistency result.
- Dynamic programming can be used with aggregators that differs from the sum and the expectation.
- We mainly require :
 - that the aggregators are monotonous,
 - that the global aggregator is given as a composition of instantaneous ones,
 - and that they commutes.
- This framework can be extended to the conditionnal case and linked to time-consistency results known in the risk measure litterature.

The end



DE LARA, MICHEL AND LECLÈRE, VINCENT (2016).
*Building-up Time-Consistency for Risk Measures and Dynamic
Optimization.*
European Journal of Operations Research

Thank you for your attention !