Mixing Time Blocks and Price/Resource Decomposition Methods Application to Long Term Battery Management

P. Carpentier — <u>J.-P. Chancelier</u> — M. De Lara — T. Rigaut

ENSTA Paris — ENPC ParisTech — Efficacity

DankeTool

BIRS-CMO Workshop 19w5091, 22-27 September 2019

🐼 IP PARIS

Battery management involves short time control and long term renewal, hence two time scales

- ▶ When to renew a battery (long term decision)?
- ► How to optimally control the battery (short time decision)? → impact on aging?



 $10,512,000 = 7300 \times 1440$ stages days minutes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

We will decompose the battery management problem according to control/renewal scales



Under what assumptions is there a Bellman Equation day by day?

(日)、

э

How to compute the one day Bellman operator, which involves an optimization problem at minute time scale?

Lecture outline

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Two time scales battery management problem statement Intraday time block and resource decomposition algorithm Intraday time block and price decomposition algorithm Producing minute scale policies

Numerical results

Conclusion

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Numerical results

Conclusion

We introduce notations for two time scales

Time is described by to indices $(d, m) \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{0,\ldots,D\}\times\{0,\ldots,M\}\cup\{(D+1,0)\}$$

- Battery charge/discharge, decision every minute m ∈ {0,..., M} of every day d ∈ {0,..., D}
 → Minutes in day d are (d, 0), (d, 1),..., (d, M)
- 2. Renewal of the battery, decision every day $d \in \{0, \dots, D+1\}$ \rightarrow Start of days are $(0, 0), \dots, (d + 1, 0), \dots, (D + 1, 0)$
- 3. Compatibility between days: ((d, M + 1) = (d + 1, 0))

 ${\mathbb T}$ is a totally ordered set when equipped with the *lexicographical order*

$$(d,m) < (d',m') \iff (d < d') \lor (d = d' \land m < m')$$

Bellman Operators and Dynamic Programming

We introduce Bellman functions V_t for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, solution of the Bellman or dynamic programming equation with history

▶ Bellman operator at time t: $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}^0_+(\mathbb{H}_{t+1}, \mathcal{H}_{t+1})$ and $h_t \in \mathbb{H}_t$,

$$(\mathcal{B}_{t+1:t}\varphi)(h_t) = \inf_{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \varphi(h_t, u_t, w_{t+1}) \rho_{t:t+1}(h_t, dw_{t+1})$$

Bellman equations

$$egin{aligned} & V_{\mathcal{T}} = j \;, \ & V_t = \mathcal{B}_{t+1:t} \, V_{t+1} \;, & ext{for} \;\; t = \mathcal{T} - 1, \dots, 1, 0 \end{aligned}$$

 \rightarrow State reduction at times (d, 0) for $d \in \{0, \dots, D+1\}$

Graphical representation of state reduction

► The triplet (θ_r, θ_t, f_{(d,0):(d+1,0)}) is a state reduction across ((d, 0):(d + 1, 0)) if the following diagram is commutative

• Compatibility with kernels $p \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$



Application of Time Blocks Dynamic Programming

We will now present an application

to a two time-scales optimization problem

- optimize long-term investment decisions (slow time-scale)
 here the renewal of batteries in an energy system
- but the optimal long-term decisions highly depend on short-term operating decisions (fast time-scale)
 - here the way the battery is operated in real-time.

We will decompose the scales (day and minutes)



- Assuming between days independence assumption enables time scale decomposition
- Within a day, the fast time scale uncertainties can be dependent, and we will resort to other decomposition principles: within block resource/price decomposition techniques

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Numerical results

Conclusion

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Two time scales battery management problem statement

Intraday time block and resource decomposition algorithm Intraday time block and price decomposition algorithm Producing minute scale policies

Numerical results

Conclusion

Physical model: a home with load, solar panels and storage



- Two time scales uncertainties ►
 - E^L_{d m}: Uncertain demand
 - $E_{d,m}^{S}$: Uncertain solar electricity
 - P^b_d: Uncertain storage cost

Two time scales controls

- ► **E**^E_{d,m}: National grid import
- *E*^B_{d,m}: Storage charge/discharge
 *R*_d: Storage renewal
- Two time scales states
 - B_{d,m}: Storage state of charge
 H_{d,m}: Storage health
 C_d: Storage capacity
- Balance equation:

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{E} + \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{S} = \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{B} + \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{L}$$

Battery dynamic:

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{d,m+1} = \boldsymbol{B}_{d,m} - \frac{1}{\rho_d} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{B-} + \frac{1}{\rho_d} \rho_c \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{B+}$$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Two time scales dynamics: aging and renewal model

• At the end of every day d, we can buy a new battery at cost $\boldsymbol{P}_d^b imes \boldsymbol{R}_d$

$$\text{Storage capacity: } \boldsymbol{C}_{d+1} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{R}_d \ , & \text{ if } \boldsymbol{R}_d > 0 \\ \boldsymbol{C}_d \ , & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A new battery can make a maximum number of cycles $N_c(\mathbf{R}_d)$:

$$\text{Storage health: } \boldsymbol{H}_{d+1,0} = \begin{cases} 2 \times N_c(\boldsymbol{R}_d) \times \boldsymbol{R}_d \ , & \text{ if } \boldsymbol{R}_d > 0 \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{d,M} \ , & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $H_{d,m}$ is the amount of exchangeable energy day d, minute m

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{d,m+1} = \boldsymbol{H}_{d,m} - \frac{1}{\rho_d} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{B-} - \rho_c \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{B+}$$

A new battery is empty

Storage state of charge:
$$\mathbf{B}_{d+1,0} = \begin{cases} \underline{B} \times \mathbf{R}_d , & \text{if } \mathbf{R}_d > 0 \\ \mathbf{B}_{d,M} , & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

We build a non standard SOC problem

Objective to be minimized



Controls

$$\boldsymbol{U}_d = (\boldsymbol{E}_{d,0}^B, \dots, \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^B, \dots, \boldsymbol{E}_{d,M-1}^B, \boldsymbol{R}_d)$$

Uncertainties

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{d} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,1}^{S} \\ \boldsymbol{E}_{d,1}^{L} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{S} \\ \boldsymbol{E}_{d,m}^{L} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,M-1}^{S} \\ \boldsymbol{E}_{d,M-1}^{L} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{d,M}^{S} \\ \boldsymbol{E}_{d,M}^{L} \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{d}^{B} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

States and dynamics

$$oldsymbol{X}_d = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{C}_d \ oldsymbol{B}_{d,0} \ oldsymbol{H}_{d,0} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $oldsymbol{X}_{d+1} = f_d(oldsymbol{X}_d,oldsymbol{U}_d,oldsymbol{W}_d)$

Two time scales stochastic optimal control problem

$$\mathcal{P}: \quad \widetilde{V}_{0} = \min_{\boldsymbol{X}_{0:D+1}, \ \boldsymbol{U}_{0:D}} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{d=0}^{D} L_{d}(\boldsymbol{X}_{d}, \boldsymbol{U}_{d}, \boldsymbol{W}_{d}) + K(\boldsymbol{X}_{D+1}) \right),$$

s.t $\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1} = f_{d}(\boldsymbol{X}_{d}, \boldsymbol{U}_{d}, \boldsymbol{W}_{d}),$
 $\boldsymbol{U}_{d} = (\boldsymbol{U}_{d,0}, \dots, \boldsymbol{U}_{d,m}, \dots, \boldsymbol{U}_{d,M})$
 $\boldsymbol{W}_{d} = (\boldsymbol{W}_{d,0}, \dots, \boldsymbol{W}_{d,m}, \dots, \boldsymbol{W}_{d,M})$
 $\sigma(\boldsymbol{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{d',m'}; (d', m') \leq (d, m))$

Two time scales because of the nonanticipativity constraint written every minute!

- Intraday time stages: M = 24 * 60 = 1440 minutes
- Daily time stages: D = 365 * 20 = 7300 days
- ▶ D × M = 10, 512,000 stages!

We write a Bellman equation with daily time blocks

Daily Independence Assumption $\{W_d\}_{d=0,...,D}$ is a sequence of independent random variables We set $\widetilde{V}_{D+1} = K$ and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_d(x) &= \min_{\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1}, \boldsymbol{U}_d} \mathbb{E} \left[L_d(x, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) + \widetilde{V}_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1}) \right] \\ \text{s.t } \boldsymbol{X}_{d+1} &= f_d(x, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) \\ \sigma(\boldsymbol{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{d,0:m}) \end{split}$$

where $W_{d,0:m} = (W_{d,0}, \dots, W_{d,m})$ is possibly made of non independent random variables within a day Proposition

Under Daily Independence Assumption, $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}_0}$ is the value of problem $\mathcal P$

Independence assumption at the day scale

is the key to enable stochastic kernels reduction (commutative diagram)

We introduce price/resource daily decompositions

We present two efficient daily decomposition algorithms to compute upper and lower bounds of the daily value functions $\left\{\widetilde{V}_{(d,0)}\right\}_{d=0,\dots,D}$

1. resource (targets) decomposition gives an upper bound

$$\underbrace{\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1} = \boldsymbol{X}}_{d+1} \quad , \ f_d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) = \boldsymbol{X}$$

resource decomposition

2. price (weights) decomposition gives a lower bound

$$\underbrace{\left\langle \lambda_{d}, \boldsymbol{X}_{d+1} - f_{d}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{U}_{d}, \boldsymbol{W}_{d}) \right\rangle}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}$$

price decomposition

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Two time scales battery management problem statement Intraday time block and resource decomposition algorithm Intraday time block and price decomposition algorithm Producing minute scale policies

Numerical results

Conclusion

うせん 聞い ふぼう ふぼう ふしゃ

Decomposing by imposing targets



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Stochastic target decomposition

We introduce the stochastic target intraday problem

$$\phi_{(d,=)}(x_d, \mathbf{X}_{d+1}) = \min_{\mathbf{U}_d} \mathbb{E} \Big[L_d(x, \mathbf{U}_d, \mathbf{W}_d) \Big]$$

s.t $f_d(x, \mathbf{U}_d, \mathbf{W}_d) = \mathbf{X}_{d+1}$
 $\sigma(\mathbf{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{d,0:m})$

Proposition

Under Daily Independence Assumption, V_d satisfies

$$V_{d}(x) = \min_{\boldsymbol{X} \in L^{0}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{X}_{d+1})} \left(\phi_{(d,=)}(x, \boldsymbol{X}) + \mathbb{E} \left[V_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \right] \right)$$

s.t $\sigma(\boldsymbol{X}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{d})$

Relaxed stochastic targets decomposition

We introduce a relaxed target intraday problem

$$\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x_d, \mathbf{X}_{d+1}) = \min_{\mathbf{U}_d} \mathbb{E}\Big[L_d(x, \mathbf{U}_d, \mathbf{W}_d)\Big]$$

s.t $f_d(x, \mathbf{U}_d, \mathbf{W}_d) \ge \mathbf{X}_{d+1}$
 $\sigma(\mathbf{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{d,0:m})$

A relaxed daily value function

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{V}_{(d,\geq)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \min_{\boldsymbol{X} \in L^0(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P};\mathbb{X}_{d+1})} \Big(\phi_{(d,\geq)}\big(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{X}\big) + \mathbb{E}\big[\boldsymbol{V}_{(d+1,\geq)}(\boldsymbol{X})\big] \Big) \\ &\text{s.t } \sigma(\boldsymbol{X}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_d) \end{split}$$

Because of relaxation, we have $V_{(d,\geq)} \leq V_d$ but $V_{(d,\geq)}$ is hard to compute due to the stochastic targets

Relaxed deterministic targets decomposition

Now we can define value functions with deterministic targets:

$$V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(x) = \min_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathbb{X}_{d+1}} \left(\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x,\mathbf{X}) + V_{(d+1,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(\mathbf{X}) \right)$$

Monotonicity Assumption

The daily value functions V_d are nonincreasing

Theorem

Under Monotonicity Assumption

►
$$V_{(d,\geq)} = V_d$$

$$\blacktriangleright V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})} \geq V_{(d,\geq)} = V_d$$

There are efficient ways to compute the upper bounds $V_{(d,>,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}$

Numerical efficiency of deterministic targets decomposition

Easy to compute by dynamic programming

$$V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(x) = \min_{X\in\mathbb{X}_{d+1}} \left(\underbrace{\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x,X)}_{\text{Hard to compute}} + V_{(d+1,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(X) \right)$$

It is challenging to compute $\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x,X)$ for each couple (x,X) and each day d but

- ▶ We can exploit periodicity of the problem, e.g $\phi_{(d,\geq)} = \phi_{(0,\geq)}$
- ► In some cases $\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x,X) = \phi_{(d,\geq)}(x-X,0)$
- ▶ We can parallelize the computation of $\phi_{(d,\geq)}$ on day *d*
- We can use any suitable method to solve the multistage intraday problems φ_(d,≥) (SDP, scenario tree based SP, ...)

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Two time scales battery management problem statement Intraday time block and resource decomposition algorithm Intraday time block and price decomposition algorithm Producing minute scale policies

Numerical results

Conclusion

・ロ> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回

Decomposing by sending weights



<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

æ

Stochastic weights decomposition

We introduce the dualized intraday problems

$$\psi_{(d,\star)}(\mathsf{x}_d, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{U}_d} \mathbb{E} \Big[L_d(\mathsf{x}_d, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}, f_d(\mathsf{x}_d, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) \rangle \Big]$$

s.t $\sigma(\boldsymbol{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{d,0:m})$

Note that $\psi_{(d,\star)}$ might be simpler than $\phi_{(d,\geq)}$ (state reduction) Stochastic weights daily value function

$$V_{(d,\star)}(x_d) = \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1} \in L^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \Lambda_{d+1}) \\ \text{s.t } \sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1})}} \psi_{(d,\star)}(x_d, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}) - \left(\mathbb{E}V_{(d+1,\star)}\right)^{\star}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1})$$

where $(\mathbb{E}V)^{\star}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{X} \in L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{X}_{d+1})} \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d+1}, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle - \mathbb{E}[V(\boldsymbol{X})]$ is the Fenchel transform of $\mathbb{E}V$

Deterministic weights decomposition

We define value functions with deterministic weights

$$V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})}(x_d) = \sup_{\lambda_{d+1} \in \Lambda_{d+1}} \psi_{(d,\star)}(x_d,\lambda_{d+1}) - V^*_{(d+1,\star,\mathbb{E})}(\lambda_{d+1})$$

By weak duality and restriction, we get

$$V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})} \leq V_{(d,\star)} \leq V_d$$

Theorem If $ri(dom(\psi_{(d,\star)}(x_d,\cdot)) - dom(\mathbb{E}V_{d+1}(\cdot))) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{P} is convex, then we have

$$V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})} \leq V_{(d,\star)} = V_d$$

There are efficient ways to compute the lower bounds $V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})}$

Numerical efficiency of deterministic weights decomposition

$$\overbrace{V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})}(x_d) = \sup_{\lambda_{d+1} \in \Lambda_{d+1}} \psi_{(d,\star)}(x_d,\lambda_{d+1})}_{\text{Hard to compute}} - V_{(d+1,\star,\mathbb{E})}^*(\lambda_{d+1})}$$

It is challenging to compute $\psi_{(d,\star)}(x,\lambda)$ for each couple (x,λ) and each day d but

- ► Under Monotonicity Assumption, we can restrict to positive weights λ ≥ 0
- We can exploit periodicity of the problem $\psi_{(d,\star)} = \psi_{(0,\star)}$
- We can parallelize the computation of $\psi_{(d,\star)}$ on day d

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Two time scales battery management problem statement Intraday time block and resource decomposition algorithm Intraday time block and price decomposition algorithm Producing minute scale policies

Numerical results

Conclusion

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Back to daily intraday problems with final costs

We obtained two bounds

$$V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})} \leq V_d \leq V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}$$

Now we can solve all intraday problems with a final cost

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1}, \boldsymbol{U}_d} \mathbb{E} \left[L_d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{d+1}(\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1}) \right]$$

s.t $\boldsymbol{X}_{d+1} = f_d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{U}_d, \boldsymbol{W}_d)$
 $\sigma(\boldsymbol{U}_{d,m}) \subset \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{d,0:m})$

with $\widetilde{V}_{d+1} = V_{(d, \geq, \mathbb{X}_{d+1})}$ or $\widetilde{V}_{d+1} = V_{(d, \star, \mathbb{E})}$ We obtain one targets and one weights minute scale policies

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Numerical results

Conclusion

We present numerical results associated to two use cases

Common data: load/production from a house with solar panels

- 1. Managing a given battery charge and health on 5 days to compare our algorithms to references on a "small" instance
- 2. Managing batteries purchases, charge and health on 7300 days to show that targets decomposition scales



ヘロン 人間 とくほと 人 ほとう

Application 1 Managing charge and aging of a battery

We control a battery

- capacity $c_0 = 13$ kWh
- ▶ $h_{0,0} = 100$ kWh of exchangeable energy (4 cycles remaining)
- over D = 5 days or $D \times M = 7200$ minutes
- with 1 day periodicity

We compare 4 algorithms

- 1. Stochastic dynamic programming (that is, SDP alone)
- 2. Stochastic dual dynamic programming (that is, SDDP alone)
- 3. Targets decomposition (+ SDDP for intraday problems)
- 4. Weights decomposition (+ SDP for intraday problems)

Decomposition algorithms + S(D)DPprovide tighter bounds than S(D)DP alone

We know that

- $\blacktriangleright \ V_d^{sddp} \le V_d \le V_d^{sdp}$
- $\lor \ V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})} \leq V_d \leq V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}$

We observe that $V_d^{sddp} \leq V_{(d,\star,\mathbb{E})} \leq V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})} \leq V_d^{sdp}$



We beat SDP and SDDP (that cannot fully handle 7200 stages)

Computation times and convergence

	SDP	Weights	SDDP	Targets
Total time (with parallelization)	22.5 min	5.0 min	3.6 min	0.41 min
$Gap\;(200 imesrac{mc-v}{mc+v})$	0.91 %	0.32 %	0.90 %	0.28 %

The Gap is between Monte Carlo simulation (upper bound) and value functions at time 0 $\,$

- Decomposition algorithms display smaller gaps
- Targets decompositon + SDDP is faster than SDDP
- ▶ Weights decomposition + SDP is faster than SDP

Application 2

Managing batteries purchases, charge and aging

- 20 years, 10, 512, 000 minutes, 1 day periodicity
- Battery capacity between 0 and 20 kWh
- Scenarios for batteries prices



SDP and SDDP fail to solve such a problem over 10, 512,000 stages!

(日)、

э

Target decomposed SDDP can handle **10**, **512**, **000** stages problems

Computing daily value functions by dynamic programming takes 45 min

$$V_{(d,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(x) = \min_{X\in\mathbb{X}_{d+1}}\left(\underbrace{\phi_{(d,\geq)}(x,X)}_{(d,j)} + V_{(d+1,\geq,\mathbb{X}_{d+1})}(X)\right)$$

Computing $\phi_{(d,\geq)}ig(\cdot,\cdotig)$ with SDDP takes 60 min

Complexity: 45 min + $D \times 60$ min

- Periodicity: 45 min + $N \times 60$ min with $N \ll D$
- Parallelization: 45 min + 60 min

Does it pay to control aging?

We draw one battery prices scenario and one solar/demand scenario over 10,512,000 minutes and simulate the policy of targets algorithm



We make a simulation of 10, 512, 000 decisions in 45 minutes

We compare to a policy that does not control aging

- Without aging control: 3 battery purchases
- With aging control: 2 battery purchases

It pays to control aging with targets decomposition!

Outline of the presentation

Background on two time scales decomposition

Mixing time blocks and price/resource decompositions

Numerical results

Conclusion

Conclusion

- 1. We have solved problems with millions of time steps using targets decomposed SDDP
- 2. We have designed control strategies for sizing/charging/aging/investment of batteries
- 3. We have used our algorithms to improve results obtained with algorithms that are sensitive to the number of time steps (SDP, SDDP)