Dealing with Uncertainty

in Decision Making Models

Roger J-B Wets

rjbwets@ucdavis.edu

University of California, Davis

I. A product mix problem

A formulation

A furniture manufacturer must choose $x_j \geq 0$, how many dressers of type $j = 1, \ldots, 4$ to manufacture so as to maximize profit

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j x_j = 12x_1 + 25x_2 + 21x_3 + 40x_4$$

The constraints: Madir, Izmir, Turkey, Spring 2010

$$t_{c1}x_1 + t_{c2}x_2 + t_{c3}x_3 + t_{c4}x_4 \leq d_c$$

$$t_{f1}x_1 + t_{f2}x_1 + t_{f3}x_1 + t_{f4}x_1 \leq d_f$$

t_{cj} (t_{fj}) carpentry (finishing) man-hours: dresser type j

d_c (d_f) = total time available for carpentry (finishing)

Product mix problem (2)

Solution via linear programming:

$$\max\langle c, x\rangle \text{ so that } Tx \leq d,\ x \in I\!R^n_+.$$

With

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} t_{c1} & t_{c2} & t_{c3} & t_{c4} \\ t_{f1} & t_{f2} & t_{f3} & t_{f4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & 7 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 40 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} d_c \\ d_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6000 \\ 4000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Optimal: $\boxed{x^d = (4000/3,\ 0,\ 0,\ 200/3)}$

Value: \$ 18,667.

Product mix problem (3)

But . . . “reality” can’t be ignored!

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{cj} = t_{cj} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{cj}, \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{fj} = t_{fj} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{fj}$$

entry	possible values			
$d_c + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_c$:	5,873	5,967	6,033	6,127
$d_f + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_f$:	3,936	3,984	4,016	4,064

10 random variables, say, 4 possible values each

$L = 1,048,576$ possible pairs (T^l, d^l)

Product mix problem (4)

What if $\sum_{j=1}^{4}(t_{cj}+\eta_{cj})x_j > d_c + \zeta_c$? $\Longrightarrow$ overtime

With $\xi = (\eta_{\{\cdot,\cdot\}}, \zeta_{\{\cdot\}})$, recourse: $(y_c(\xi), y_f(\xi))$ @ cost (q_c, q_f).

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\max & \langle c, x\rangle & -p_1\langle q, y^1\rangle & -p_2\langle q, y^2\rangle & \cdots - & p_L\langle q, y^L\rangle & & \\
\text{s.t.} & T^1 x & -y^1 & & & & \leq & d^1 \\
 & T^2 x & & -y^2 & & & \leq & d^2 \\
 & \vdots & & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
 & T^L x & & & & -y^L & \leq & d^L \\
 & x \geq 0, & y^1 \geq 0, & y^2 \geq 0, & \cdots & y^L \geq 0. & &
\end{array}
$$

Structured large scale l.p. ($L \approx 10^6$)

Product mix problem (5)

Define $\Xi = \{\xi = (\eta, \zeta)\}$, $p_\xi =$ prob $[\boldsymbol{\xi} = \xi]$

$$Q(\xi, x) = \max\left\{\langle -q, y\rangle \,\middle|\, T_\xi x - y \geq d_\xi,\ y \geq 0\right\}$$

$$EQ(x) = E\{Q(\boldsymbol{\xi}, x)\} = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} p_\xi Q(\xi, x)$$

the equivalent deterministic program (DEP):

$$\max\langle c, x\rangle + EQ(x) \ \text{ so that } \ x \in I\!R^n_+.$$

a *non-smooth* convex optimization problem: EQ concave.

Product mix problem (6)

Solution of DEP, or large scale l.p.,:

Optimal: $\boxed{x^* = (257,\ 0,\ 665.2,\ 33.8)}$

expected Profit: \$ 18,051

The solution x^* is *robust* : it considered all $\approx 10^6$ possibilities.

Product mix problem (6)

Solution of DEP, or large scale l.p.,:

Optimal: $\boxed{x^* = (257,\ 0,\ 665.2,\ 33.8)}$

expected Profit: $ 18,051

The solution x^* is *robust* : it considered all $\approx 10^6$ possibilities.

Recall: $x^d = (1,333.33,\ 0,\ 0,\ 66.67)$

expected "profit" relying on x^d = $ 16,942.

Product mix problem (6)

Solution of DEP, or large scale l.p.,:

Optimal: $\boxed{x^* = (257,\ 0,\ 665.2,\ 33.8)}$

expected Profit: $ 18,051

The solution x^* is *robust* : it considered all $\approx 10^6$ possibilities.

Recall: $x^d = (1,333.33,\ 0,\ 0,\ 66.67)$

expected "profit" relying on x^d = $ 16,942.

- x^d is not close to optimal
- x^d isn't pointing in the right direction

Mathematics & Numerics

Stochastic Programming relies on:

- linear, non-linear, mixed-integer programming
- large scale: decomposition methods, structured programs, grid computing
- Variational Analysis: non-smooth, duality, epi-convergence (approximations), etc.
- Probability: stochastic processes, asymptotic laws
- Statistics: estimation, lack of data issues
- Functional Analysis, Combinatorial Geometry, etc.

II. Modeling, modeling & modeling!

Uncertain parameters

Deterministic Optimization problem:

$$\min f_0(x) \text{ so that } x \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Uncertain parameters

Deterministic Optimization problem:

$$\min f_0(x) \text{ so that } x \in S \subset I\!R^n$$

Uncertain parameters: $\xi \in \Xi \subset I\!R^N$,

$$\min f_0(\xi, x) \text{ so that } x \in S(\xi) \subset I\!R^n$$

Uncertain parameters

Deterministic Optimization problem:

$$\min f_0(x) \text{ so that } x \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Uncertain parameters: $\xi \in \Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\min f_0(\xi, x) \text{ so that } x \in S(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Wait-and-see solution ??

$$x(\xi) \in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ f_0(\xi, x) \,\middle|\, x \in S(\xi) \right\}$$

What's needed: a *here-and-now* solution.

The NewsVendor Problem

- $\xi \in \Xi \subset I\!R_+$ demand for a (perishable) good
  e.g., plant capacity, overbooking, etc.
- $x \geq 0$ quantity ordered @ unit cost: $c = 10$
- $y \geq 0$ quantity sold, per unit profit $r = 15$

Total revenue (possibly negative):

$$-cx + (c + r)y \text{ where } 0 \leq x,$$
$$0 \leq y \leq \min\{x, \xi\}$$

Find optimal x^*!

The “deterministic” approach

Pick $\hat{\xi} \in \Xi$ (guessing the future) and solve

$$\min f_0(\hat{\xi}, x) \text{ so that } x \in S(\hat{\xi}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

The "deterministic" approach

Pick $\hat{\xi} \in \Xi$ (guessing the future) and solve

$$\min f_0(\hat{\xi}, x) \text{ so that } x \in S(\hat{\xi}) \subset I\!R^n$$

NewsVendor: $\Xi = [0, 150]$, pick $\hat{\xi} = 75$,

$$\max -cx + (c + r)y$$

$$x \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \min\{x, \hat{\xi}\}$$

Solution: $x^o = y^o = \hat{\xi}$, obj. value = $r\hat{\xi} = 1125$
But doesn't tell much about "profit" if $\xi \neq 75$!

Scenario Analysis

Pick $\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^L$ (scenarios), and for each ξ^l find:

$$x^l \in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ f_0(\xi^l, x) \,\middle|\, x \in S(\xi^l) \right\}$$

and “reconcile” the solutions to obtain x^o.

Scenario Analysis

Pick $\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^L$ (scenarios), and for each ξ^l find:

$$x^l \in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ f_0(\xi^l, x) \,\middle|\, x \in S(\xi^l) \right\}$$

and "reconcile" the solutions to obtain x^o.

NewsVendor: pick $\xi^1 = 10, \xi^2 = 20, \ldots, \xi^{15} = 150$,

$$(x^l, y^l) \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \geq 0, y \geq 0} \{ -cx + (c+r)y \,|\, y \leq \min[\xi^l, x] \}$$

Wait-and-see sol'ns: $x^l = \xi^l$. "Reconciliation"?
No help in choosing x^o the quantity to order.

ξ: Estimated Density h

ξ log-normal: $h(z) = (z\tau\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}\, e^{-\frac{(\ln z-\theta)^2}{2\tau^2}}$

$\theta = 4.43, \tau = 0.38$; $H(z) = \int_0^z h(s)\, ds$

from data, expert(s), all information available



might affect choice of $\hat{\xi}$, scenarios: $\xi^1, \ldots$

Maximize Expected Return

$$\max \quad -cx + E\{(c+r)y_\xi\}$$
$$\text{so that } x \geq 0,\ 0 \leq y_\xi \leq \min[\,\xi, x\,]$$

The *equivalent deterministic program*:

$$\max_{x \geq 0} -cx + EQ(x), \quad EQ(x) = E\{Q(\boldsymbol{\xi}, x)\}$$

where $Q(\xi, x) = \begin{cases} (c+r)\xi & \text{if } \xi \leq x, \\ (c+r)x & \text{if } \xi \geq x \end{cases}$

$$EQ(x) = (c+r)\left(\int_0^x \xi\, H(d\xi) + \int_x^\infty x\, H(d\xi)\right)$$

Optimal: Expected Profit

$$x^* = H^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{c+r}\right) = H^{-1}(0.6) = 99.2$$

for $c = 10, r = 15$.



NewsVendor's Objective



. . . but is maximum expected return the “real” objective?

The Returns' Densities



Choosing the Returns' Distribution



Decision Criteria

Reducing the choice of a distribution function
to the choice of a “number”

- maximize expected return (scaled?),
- max. E{return} & minimize customers lost,
- minimize Value-at-Risk (VaR),
- minimize the probability of any loss,
- minimizing a “Safeguarding” Measure
- variants & combinations of the above

Maximizing Expected Utility

"generic" stochastic optimization problem:

$$\max E\{f_0(\xi, x)\} \text{ such that } \quad f_i(\xi, x) \leq 0, \; i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

Risk-averse or risk-seeking $\Longrightarrow$ *utility function*

von Neuman-Morgenstern: under "rationality" (axiomatics) there exists a utility function u such that $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{argmax} E\{u\big(f_0(\xi, x)\big)\}$ (subject to the constraints) identifies the preferred return's distribution

Modeling hurdle: no blueprint for u's design!

Robust Optimization

"generic" optimization problem: $\max\ \gamma$

$$\text{so that } \gamma - f_0(\xi, x) \leq 0,$$
$$f_i(\xi, x) \leq 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

"robust" counterpart: $\max\ \gamma$

$$\text{so that } \gamma - f_0(\xi, x) \leq 0,\ \forall\, \xi \in \mathcal{U} \subset \Xi$$
$$f_i(\xi, x) \leq 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, m,\ \forall\, \xi \in \mathcal{U},$$

Challenges:

- formulate a computationally tractable robust counterpart
- specify reasonable uncertainty for set $\mathcal{U}$

Reliability: Chance Constraints

Satisfy constraints with probability $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$\min f_0(x) \text{ so that } \text{ prob. } [\, x \in S(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \,] \geq \alpha$$

Variant:

$$\min \quad f_0(x)$$

$$\text{so that } \text{ prob. } [\, f_i(\boldsymbol{\xi}, x) \leq 0 \,] \geq \alpha_i, \; i \in I$$

α_i dictated by

- contractual obligations
- company policy, guess, etc.

NewsVendor: Chance C. Model

$$\max -cx + (c+r)y \text{ so that } x \geq 0,\ y \in [H^{-1}(\alpha), x]$$



Infeasible if $x < H^{-1}(\alpha)$. Profit? later

When $\alpha = 0.9 : \hat{x} = 135.9; \quad \alpha = 0.75 : \hat{x} = 108.1.$

VaR: Value-at-Risk

Let $F(s;x) = \mathrm{prob}\,[\,-cx + Q(\boldsymbol{\xi}, x) \leq s\,]$

Value-at-Risk (VaR) for $\alpha \in (0,1)$:

$$\mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x) = F^{-1}(\alpha;x) \quad (= \sup\{v \,|\, v \in F^{-1}(\alpha;x)\})$$

Objective: find x that maximizes $\mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x)$

VaR: Value-at-Risk

Let $F(s;x) = \mathrm{prob}\,[\,-cx + Q(\boldsymbol{\xi}, x) \le s\,]$

Value-at-Risk (VaR) for $\alpha \in (0,1)$:

$$\mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x) = F^{-1}(\alpha;x) \quad (= \sup\{v \,|\, v \in F^{-1}(\alpha;x)\})$$

Objective: find x that maximizes $\mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x)$

Challenge: $x \mapsto \mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x)$ isn't concave.

Heuristic: F is $\mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \sigma(x)^2)$ and

$$\boxed{\mathrm{VaR}(\alpha;x) = \mathcal{N}^{-1}(\alpha;\mu(x),\sigma(x)^2)}$$

VaR: NewsVendor Problem



Stochastic Dominance



Stochastic Dominance: $D_x(s) \leq D_{\hat{x}}(s), \quad \forall\, s$

$\Longrightarrow$ probability of the return to be $\leq s$

always smaller when choosing x rather than $\hat{x}$

unfortunately unusual

‘

Second order Stochastic Dominance

$$D^2(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} D(\xi)\, d\xi = E\{(s - \boldsymbol{\xi})_+\}$$

D^2: the expected shortfall function



Stochastic Dominance Constraint

NewsVendor problem

$$\max rx, \quad x \geq 0$$

$$\text{such that } D_x^2(s) \leq G^2(s), \quad s \in [\alpha, \beta]$$

given a "desirable" distribution function G
D_x: distribution of actual return, decision x
rx when $\xi \leq x$ and $(c+r)\xi - cx$ when $\xi < x$

leads to a semi-infinite optimization problem
used in portfolio optimization, for example

Perturbing the Probability Measure



stress testing via distribution contamination

A few references

1. A. Shapiro, D. Dentcheva & A. Ruszczynski, *Lectures on Stochastic Programming*, MPS-SIAM Series. 2009.
2. S. Choi, A. Ruszczynski & Y. Zhao, A multi-product risk-averse newsvendor with law invariant coherent measures of risk, *optimization-online*, 2009
3. G. Pflug & W. Römisch, *Modeling, Measuring and Managing Risk*, World Scientific, 2007
4. J. Dupačová, Stress testing via contamination. *Coping with Uncertainty: Modeling and Policy Issues*, LNEMS 581, Springer. 2006. pp. 29-46.
5. R. Wets, *An Optimization Primer*, 2011 “soon”