Dynamic Programming

Michel DE LARA CERMICS, École des Ponts ParisTech France

École des Ponts ParisTech

May 16, 2022

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Basic data

- Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\infty}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space
- Let $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be the horizon
- For stages t = 0,..., T − 1, let U_t be the control set, a measurable set equipped with σ-field U_t
- For stages t = 0,..., T, let W_t be the uncertainty set, a measurable set equipped with σ-fields W_t

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

History space

For
$$t = 0, \ldots, T$$
, we define

 \blacktriangleright the history space \mathbb{H}_t

$$\mathbb{H}_t = \mathbb{W}_0 imes \prod_{s=0}^{t-1} (\mathbb{U}_s imes \mathbb{W}_{s+1})$$

equipped with the history field \mathcal{H}_t

$$\mathfrak{H}_t = \mathfrak{W}_0 \otimes \bigotimes_{s=0}^{t-1} (\mathfrak{U}_s \otimes \mathfrak{W}_{s+1})$$

▶ A generic element $h_t \in \mathbb{H}_t$ is called a history

$$h_{t} = (w_{0}, u_{0}, w_{1}, u_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, u_{t-2}, w_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, w_{t})$$

$$[h_{t}] = [(w_{0}, (u_{s}, w_{s+1})_{s=0,\dots,t-1})] = (w_{0}, \dots, w_{t}) = w_{[0:t]}$$

$$h_{s:t} = (u_{r}, w_{r+1})_{r=s-1,\dots,t-1} = (u_{s-1}, w_{s}, \dots, u_{t-1}, w_{t})$$

$$[h_{s:t}] = [(u_{r}, w_{r+1})_{r=s-1,\dots,t-1}] = (w_{s}, \dots, w_{t}) = w_{[s:t]}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ● ● ●

Noise process, noise filtration and adapted processes

For
$$t = 0, \ldots, T$$
, let

 $W_t: \Omega \to W_t$

be a random variable taking values in \mathbb{W}_t (noise)

We introduce the past noises, or noise process up to stage t as

$$\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]} = (\mathsf{W}_0, \dots, \mathsf{W}_t) \in \mathbb{W}_{[0:t]} = \prod_{s=0}^t \mathbb{W}_s$$

• We introduce the filtration $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_t)_{t=0,...,T}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \sigma(\mathsf{W}_0, \ldots, \mathsf{W}_t) , \ t = 0, \ldots, T$$

Let L⁰(Ω, A, ∏^{T-1}_{s=0} U_s) be the space of A-adapted processes (U₀,..., U_{T-1}) with values in ∏^{T-1}_{s=0} U_s, that is, such that

$$\sigma(\mathsf{U}_0) \subset \mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \sigma(\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}-1}$$

Multistage stochastic optimization problem

Let be given a (cost) function

$$j: \mathbb{H}_T \to]-\infty, +\infty]$$

bounded below, and measurable with respect to the field $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$

We consider the multistage stochastic optimization problem

 $\min_{(\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}})\in\mathbb{L}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega,\prod_{s=0}^{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}\mathbb{U}_s)}\mathbb{E}\left[j(\mathsf{W}_0,\mathsf{U}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}},\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}})\right]$

► that is, with criterion $J : \mathbb{L}^0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{U}_s) \to] - \infty, +\infty]$ given by

$$J(\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1})=\mathbb{E}\big[j(\mathsf{W}_0,\mathsf{U}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1},\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}})\big]$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

Doob Theorem

If every control set \mathbb{U}_t is a separable complete metric space, for $t = 0, \ldots, T - 1$, the condition

$$ig(\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}ig)\in\mathbb{L}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega,\prod_{s=0}^{\mathcal{T}-1}\mathbb{U}_s)$$

is equivalent to the existence of measurable mappings

$$\lambda_t: \mathbb{W}_{0:t} \to \mathbb{U}_t, \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

such that

$$\mathsf{U}_t = \lambda_t(\mathsf{W}_{0:t}) = \lambda_t(\mathsf{W}_0, \dots, \mathsf{W}_t), \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Curse of scenario expansion

Assuming that

• the control u_t can take N_u values

• the uncertainty w_t can take N_w values we obtain

 \triangleright N_w^T scenarios

▶ $1 + N_w + \cdots + N_w^T$ nodes in the scenario tree

▶ $N_u \times \frac{N_w^{T^+-1}-1}{N_w-1} \approx N_u N_w^T$ elements in the solution space

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

so that the number of possible solutions grows exponentially with the number T of stages

Complexity of upper and lower bounds

Upper bound: open loop solution

Lower bound: anticipative

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Bellman operators

For t = 0, ..., T,

We define L[∞](H_t, H_t), the space of bounded measurable real-valued functions H_t → R

We suppose that there exists a regular conditional distribution

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}}^{\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]}}(w_{[0:t]},dw_{t+1}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}}^{\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]}}([h_t],dw_{t+1})$$

of the random variable W_{t+1} knowing the random process W_[0:t]
 ▶ we define the Bellman operators

$$\mathcal{B}_{t+1}: \mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}_{t+1}, \mathcal{H}_{t+1}) \to \mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}_t, \mathcal{H}_t)$$
 by

$$(\mathcal{B}_{t+1}\varphi)(h_t) = \inf_{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \varphi((h_t, u_t, w_{t+1})) \mathbb{P}_{W_{t+1}}^{\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]}}([h_t], dw_{t+1})$$

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}_{t+1}, \mathcal{H}_{t+1}), \ \forall h_t \in \mathbb{H}_t$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Value functions and Bellman equation

We define inductively value functions, or Bellman functions,

$$V_t: \mathbb{H}_t \to \mathbb{R}, \ t = 0, \ldots, T$$

by

$$V_T = j$$
, $V_t = \mathcal{B}_{t+1}V_{t+1}$, $t = 0, \dots, T-1$

that is, solution of the Bellman equation

$$V_t(h_t) = \inf_{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} V_{t+1}(h_t, u_t, w_{t+1}) \mathbb{P}_{W_{t+1}}^{\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]}}([h_t], dw_{t+1})$$

Measurable selection

We suppose that, for all t = 0, ..., T, there exists a measurable selection $\gamma_t : (\mathbb{H}_t, \mathcal{H}_t) \to (\mathbb{U}_t, \mathcal{U}_t)$

such that

$$\gamma_t(h_t) \in \argmin_{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} V_{t+1}(h_t, u_t, w_{t+1}) \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}}^{\mathbb{W}_{[0,t]}}([h_t], dw_{t+1})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

 $\forall h_t \in \mathbb{H}_t$

Proposition

A solution to the multistage stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{(\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1})\in\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Omega,\prod_{s=0}^{\mathcal{T}-1}\mathbb{U}_s)}\mathbb{E}\left[j(\mathsf{W}_0,\mathsf{U}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1},\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}})\right]$$

is the sequence $U_0^*,\ldots,U_{\mathcal{T}-1}^*$ of random variables defined inductively by

$$\mathsf{U}_t^* = \gamma_t \circ \mathsf{H}_t^* , \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

where $H_0^* = W_0$, $H_{t+1}^* = (H_t^*, U_t^*, W_{t+1})$, $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ and the minimum is

 $\mathbb{E}\left[V_{0}(\mathsf{W}_{0})\right] = \min_{(\mathsf{U}_{0},\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\tau-1})\in\mathbb{L}^{0}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega,\prod_{s=0}^{\tau-1}\mathbb{U}_{s})}\mathbb{E}\left[j(\mathsf{W}_{0},\mathsf{U}_{0},\mathsf{W}_{1},\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\tau-1},\mathsf{W}_{\tau})\right]$

(日)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)

Extension

$$H_0 = W_0 \ , \ H_{t+1} = (H_t, U_t, W_{t+1})$$

Constraints of the form

$$(\mathsf{H}_t, \mathsf{U}_t) \in \mathbb{C}_t \subset \mathbb{H}_t \times \mathbb{U}_t$$
, $\mathbb{P} - \mathrm{a.s}$, $t = 0, \dots, T - 1$

and

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \ , \ \mathbb{P} - \mathrm{a.s}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ● 臣 ● の Q @

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Optimal single dam management





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 の々で

A single dam nonlinear dynamical model in decision-hazard

We can model the dynamics of the water volume in a dam by



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• chosen such that $0 \leq Q_t \leq S_t$

The traditional economic problem is maximizing the expected payoff

Suppose that

- a probability \mathbb{P} is given on the set \mathbb{R}^T of water inflows scenarios (A_0, \ldots, A_{T-1})
- turbined water Q_t is sold at price p_t, related to the price at which energy can be sold at time t
- at the horizon, the final volume S_T has a value K(S_T), the "final value of water"

The traditional economic problem is to maximize the intertemporal payoff (without discounting if the horizon is short)



State reduction and dynamics

For $t = 0, \ldots, T$, suppose that there exists

- **state space** X_t , a measurable set equipped with σ -field X_t
- reduction mappings

 $\theta_t: \mathbb{H}_t \to \mathbb{X}_t$

dynamics

$$f_t: \mathbb{X}_t imes \mathbb{U}_t imes \mathbb{W}_{t+1} o \mathbb{X}_{t+1}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

such that

 $\theta_{t+1}(h_t, u_t, w_{t+1}) = f_t(\theta_t(h_t), u_t, w_{t+1}), \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$

Cost only depends on final state

Suppose that there exists

 $\tilde{\jmath}:\mathbb{X}_{T}\rightarrow]-\infty,+\infty]$

such that the cost function $j:\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}}\rightarrow]-\infty,+\infty]$ can be factored as

 $j = \tilde{\jmath} \circ \theta_{T}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Markovian assumption

- Let Δ(W_t) denote the set of probabilities on (W_t, W_t), for t = 0,..., T
- Suppose that, for all t = 0, ..., T, there exists

$$\mu_t: \mathbb{X}_t imes \prod_{s=0}^t \mathbb{W}_s o \Delta(\mathbb{W}_{t+1})$$

such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}}^{\mathsf{W}_{[0:t]}}\big([h_t], \mathsf{dw}_{t+1}\big) = \mu_t\big(\theta_t(h_t), \mathsf{dw}_{t+1}\big)$$

Bellman equation

We define inductively

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{V}_{\mathcal{T}}(x_{\mathcal{T}}) = ilde{\jmath}(x_{\mathcal{T}}) \;, \; \forall x_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{T}} \ & ilde{V}_t(x_t) = \inf_{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} ilde{V}_{t+1}ig(f_t(x_t, u_t, w_{t+1})ig) \mu_t(x_t, dw_{t+1}) \ & \forall x_t \in \mathbb{X}_t \;, \; t = 0, \dots, \mathcal{T} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that there exists a measurable selection

$$ilde{\gamma}^*_t : (\mathbb{X}_t, \mathfrak{X}_t)
ightarrow (\mathbb{U}_t, \mathfrak{U}_t) , \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

such that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_t^*(x_t) \in \underset{u_t \in \mathbb{U}_t}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \int_{\mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \tilde{V}_{t+1}(f_t(x_t, u_t, w_{t+1})) \mu_t(x_t, dw_{t+1})$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(D)へ(C)

 $\forall x_t \in \mathbb{X}_t$

Proposition

A solution to the multistage stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}} \mathbb{E} \left[j(\mathsf{W}_0,\mathsf{U}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1},\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}}) \right] \\ \sigma(\mathsf{U}_0) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{W}_0),\ldots,\sigma(\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{W}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}-1})$$

is the sequence $\mathsf{U}^*_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}^*_{T-1}$ of random variables defined inductively by

$$\mathsf{U}_t^* = \tilde{\gamma}_t^*(\mathsf{X}_t^*), \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

where $X_0^* = W_0$, $X_{t+1}^* = f_t(X_t^*, U_t^*, W_{t+1})$, $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ and the minimum is

 $\mathbb{E}\big[\tilde{V}_0(\mathsf{X}_0^*)\big] = \min_{(\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1})\in\mathbb{L}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega,\prod_{s=0}^{\mathcal{T}-1}\mathbb{U}_s)} \mathbb{E}\big[j(\mathsf{W}_0,\mathsf{U}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1},\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}})\big]$

Extension

$$\mathsf{X}_0 = \mathsf{W}_0 \ , \ \ \mathsf{X}_{t+1} = f_t \big(\mathsf{X}_t, \mathsf{U}_t, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}\big) \ , \ \ t = 0, \dots, \, T-1$$
 Constraints of the form

$$(\mathsf{X}_t, \mathsf{U}_t) \in \mathbb{C}_t \subset \mathbb{X}_t \times \mathbb{U}_t$$
, $\mathbb{P} - \mathrm{a.s}$, $t = 0, \dots, T - 1$

 and

$$X_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{T}} , \ \mathbb{P} - a.s$$

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Stochatic optimal control problem formulation

$$\min_{\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}} \mathbb{E} \Big[\sum_{t=0}^{\mathcal{T}-1} \mathcal{L}_t(\mathsf{X}_t,\mathsf{U}_t,\mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{T}}) \Big] \\ \sigma(\mathsf{U}_0) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{X}_0),\ldots,\sigma(\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{X}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{W}_{\mathcal{T}-1}) \\ \mathsf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathsf{X}_t,\mathsf{U}_t,\mathsf{W}_{t+1}), \quad t = 0,\ldots,\mathcal{T}-1 \\ \mathsf{U}_t \in \mathbb{B}_t(\mathsf{X}_t), \quad t = 0,\ldots,\mathcal{T}-1$$

Basic data

Let U, W, X be measurable sets, equipped with σ -fields U, W, X and, for $t = 0, \ldots, T - 1$,

dynamics mapping

 $f_t: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{W} \to \mathbb{X}$

instantaneous costs functions

 $L_t: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{W} \to \mathbb{R}$

▶ final cost function

 $K:\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{R}$

constraints set-valued mapping

 $\mathbb{B}_t:\mathbb{X}\rightrightarrows\mathbb{U}$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

Bellman equation

• We consider a stochastic process (W_1, \ldots, W_T) , with values in \mathbb{W}

We define inductively the Bellman functions

$$\begin{split} V_{\mathcal{T}}(x) &= \mathcal{K}(x) , \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X} \\ V_{t}(x) &= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{B}_{t}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}} \big[\mathcal{L}_{t}(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + V_{t+1} \big(f_{t}(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) \big) \big] \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X} , \ t = 0, \dots, T-1 \end{split}$$

We suppose that there exists a measurable selection

$$\begin{split} \gamma_t^* : (\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X}) \to (\mathbb{U}, \mathcal{U}) , & t = 0, \dots, T-1 \text{ such that} \\ \gamma_t^*(x) \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}} \big[L_t(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + V_{t+1} \big(f_t(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) \big) \big] \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X} \end{split}$$

▲日 ▶ ▲ 聞 ▶ ▲ 固 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ④ ◆ ◎ ◆

White noise assumption

- We suppose that the stochastic process (W₁,..., W_T) is a white noise, that is, W₁,..., W_T are independent random variables
- We consider a random variable X₀, with values in X, independent of the stochastic process (W₁,..., W_T)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Bellman result

Proposition

A solution to the multistage stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{U_0,...,U_{T-1}} \mathbb{E} \Big[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1}) + K(X_T) \Big] \\ \sigma(U_0) \subset \sigma(X_0), \dots, \sigma(U_{T-1}) \subset \sigma(X_0, W_1, \dots, W_{T-1}) \\ X_{t+1} = f_t(X_t, U_t, W_{t+1}), \quad t = 0, \dots, T-1 \\ U_t \in \mathbb{B}_t(X_t), \quad t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

is the sequence $\mathsf{U}_0^*,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\mathcal{T}-1}^*$ of random variables defined inductively by

$$\mathsf{U}_t^* = \gamma_t^*(\mathsf{X}_t^*) , \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$$

where $X_0^* = X_0$, $X_{t+1}^* = f_t(X_t^*, \bigcup_t^*, W_{t+1})$, $t = 0, \dots, T-1$

and the minimum is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{0}(\mathsf{X}_{0})\right] = \min_{\mathsf{U}_{0},\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{T-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_{t}(\mathsf{X}_{t},\mathsf{U}_{t},\mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + K(\mathsf{X}_{T})\right]$$

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements) Bellman's Principle of Optimality

Backward offline / forward online The curse of dimensionality Hazard-decision, linear-convex, SDDP

The shortest path on a graph illustrates Bellman's Principle of Optimality



For an auto travel analogy, suppose that the fastest route from Los Angeles to to Boston passes through Chicago.

The principle of optimality translates to obvious fact that the Chicago to Boston portion of the route is also the fastest route for a trip that starts from Chicago and ends in Boston. (Dimitri P. Bertsekas)

Bellman's Principle of Optimality



Richard Ernest Bellman (August 26, 1920 – March 19, 1984) An optimal policy has the property that whatever the initial state and initial decision are, the remaining decisions must constitute an optimal policy with regard to the state resulting from the first decision (Richard Bellman)

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

What is state and what is noise?

・ロ・・ (日・・ 川田・ (日・) くの)

Delineating what is state and what is noise is a modelling issue

When the uncertainties are not independent, a solution is to enlarge the state

If the water inflows follow an auto-regressive model, we have



where we suppose that $W_1,\ldots,W_{\mathcal{T}-1},W_{\mathcal{T}}$ form a sequence of independent random variables

The couple x_t = (S_t, A_t) is a sufficient summary of past controls and uncertainties to do forecasting: knowing the state x_t = (S_t, A_t) at time t is sufficient to forecast x_{t+1}, given the control Q_t and the uncertainty W_{t+1}

What is a state?

Bellman autobiography, Eye of the Hurricane

Conversely, once it was realized that the concept of policy was fundamental in control theory, the mathematicization of the basic engineering concept of 'feedback control,' then the emphasis upon a state variable formulation became natural

- A state in optimal stochastic control problems is a sufficient statistics for the uncertainties and past controls (P. Whittle, Optimization over Time: Dynamic Programming and Stochastic Control)
- Quoting Whittle, suppose there is a variable x_t which summarizes past history in that, given t and the value of x_t, one can calculate the optimal u_t and also x_{t+1} without knowledge of the history (ω, u₀,..., u_{t-1}), for all t, where ω represents all uncertainties. Such a variable is termed *sufficient*
- While history takes value in an increasing space as t increases, a sufficient variable taking values in a space independent of t is called a state variable

A bit of history (and fun)

<ロ> <個> < 国> < 国> < 国> < 国> < 国> < 回> < <</p>

"Where did the name, dynamic programming, come from?"





World Scientific

The 1950s were not good years for mathematical research. We had a very interesting gentleman in Washington named Wilson. He was Secretary of Defense, and he actually had a pathological fear and hatred of the word. research. I'm not using the term lightly; I'm using it precisely. His face would suffuse, he would turn red, and he would get violent if people used the term, research, in his presence. You can imagine how he felt, then, about the term. mathematical.

▲ロト ▲御 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ □ 臣 □ の Q @

"Where did the name, dynamic programming, come from?"

RICHARD BELLMAN

EYE OF THE HURRICANE

an autobiography



World Scientific

What title, what name, could I choose? In the first place I was interested in planning, in decision making, in thinking. But planning, is not a good word for various reasons. I decided therefore to use the word, programming.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

"Where did the name, dynamic programming, come from?"





World Scientific

I wanted to get across the idea that this was dynamic, this was multistage, this was time-varying. I thought, let's kill two birds with one stone. Let's take a word that has an absolutely precise meaning, namely dynamic, in the classical physical sense. It also has a very interesting property as an adjective, and that is it's impossible to use the word, dynamic, in a pejorative sense. Try thinking of some combination that will possibly give it a pejorative meaning. It's impossible. Thus, I thought dynamic programming was a good name.

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements) Bellman's Principle of Optimality Cost-to-go and Bellman equation Backward offline / forward online The curse of dimensionality Hazard-decision, linear-convex, SDDP

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

The cost-to-go / value function / Bellman function

Assume that the primitive random variables $W_1, \ldots, W_{T-1}, W_T$ are independent under the probability $\mathbb P$

Cost-to-go / value function / Bellman function The cost-to-go from state x at stage t is

$$V_t(x) = \min_{\gamma_t, \dots, \gamma_{T-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=t}^{T-1} L_s(\mathsf{X}_s, \mathsf{U}_s, \mathsf{W}_{s+1}) + K(\mathsf{X}_T)\right]$$

where $X_t = x$ and, for s = t, ..., T - 1, $X_{s+1} = f_s(X_s, U_s, W_{s+1})$ and $U_s = \gamma_s(X_s)$

- ▶ The function $V_t : \mathbb{X} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called the value function, or the Bellman function
- The original minimization problem is $V_0(x_0)$

The stochastic dynamic programming equation, or Bellman equation, is a backward equation satisfied by the value function

Stochastic dynamic programming equation If the primitive random variables $W_1, \ldots, W_{T-1}, W_T$ are independent under the probability \mathbb{P} , the value function $V_t : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following backward induction, where t runs from T - 1 down to 0

$$V_{T}(x) = K(x)$$

$$V_{t}(x) = \min_{u \in \mathbb{B}_{t}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{W_{t+1}} \Big[L_{t}(x, u, W_{t+1}) + V_{t+1} \big(f_{t}(x, u, W_{t+1}) \big) \Big]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

 $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$

Sketch of the proof in the deterministic case



Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements) Bellman's Principle of Optimality Cost-to-go and Bellman equation Backward offline / forward online The curse of dimensionality Hazard-decision, linear-convex, SDDP

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Navigating between "backward offline" and "forward online"

<ロ> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

Optimal trajectories are calculated forward online

- 1. Initial state $x_0^* = x_0$
- 2. Plug the state x_0^* into the feedback γ_0 \rightarrow initial decision $u_0^* = \gamma_0^*(x_0^*)$ or compute the optimal decision u_t^* "on the fly" by

$$u_{0}^{*} \in \underset{u \in \mathbb{B}_{0}(x_{0}^{*})}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{W}_{1}} \Big[L_{0}(x_{0}^{*}, u, \mathsf{W}_{1}) + V_{1} \big(f_{0}(x_{0}^{*}, u, \mathsf{W}_{1}) \big) \Big]$$

- 3. Run the dynamics \rightarrow second state $x_1^* = f_0(x_0^*, u_0^*, w_1)$
- 4. Second decision

$$u_1^* \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u \in \mathbb{B}_1(x_1^*)} \mathbb{E}_{W_2} \Big[L_1(x_1^*, u, W_2) + V_2 \big(f_1(x_1^*, u, W_2) \big) \Big]$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

5. And so on $x_2^* = f_1(x_1^*, u_1^*, w_2)$ 6. ...

"Life is lived forward but understood backward" (Søren Kierkegaard)



D. P. Bertsekas introduces his book Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control with a citation by Søren Kierkegaard

> "Livet skal forstås baglaens, men leves forlaens"

Life is to be understood backwards, but it is lived forwards

The value function and the optimal policies are computed backward and offline by means of the Bellman equation

A 日 > A 同 > A 日 > A H H = A H =

 whereas the optimal trajectories are computed forward and online

How optimal decisions can be computed online

Greedy one-step lookahead algorithm

- If we are able to store the value functions $x \mapsto V_t(x)$
- we do not need to compute and store the optimal policy γ^{*}_t in advance
- Indeed, when we are at state x at time t in real time, we can just compute the optimal decision u^{*}_t "on the fly" by

$$u_t^* \in \underset{u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}} \Big[L_t(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + V_{t+1} \big(f_t(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1}) \big) \Big]$$

In addition to sparing storage, this method makes it possible to incorporate in the above program any new information available at time t (on the distribution of the noise W_{t+1}, for instance)

So, the question is: how can we store the value functions?

The effort can be concentrated on computing the value functions

- on a grid, by discretizing the Bellman equation (but curse of dimensionality)
- by estimating basis coefficients, when it is known that the value functions are quadratic (the linear-quadratic case)
- by estimating lower affine approximations of the value functions, when it is known that the value function is convex (the linear-convex case and SDDP)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements) Bellman's Principle of Optimality Cost-to-go and Bellman equation Backward offline / forward online The curse of dimensionality Hazard-decision, linear-convex, SDDP

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

The curse of dimensionality :-(

<ロ> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

Algorithm for the Bellman functions

initialization
$$V_T = K$$
;
for $t = T, T - 1, ..., 0$ do
forall $x \in \mathbb{X}$ do
forall $u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)$ do
 $\begin{bmatrix} forall & u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x) \text{ do} \\ & & \\ I_t(x, u, w) = L_t(x, u, w) + V_{t+1}(f_t(x, u, w)) \\ & & \\ \sum_{w \in \mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \mathbb{P}\{w\} I_t(x, u, w) \\ & & \\ V_t(x) = \min_{u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \mathbb{P}\{w\} I_t(x, u, w) ; \\ & & \\ \mathbb{B}_t^*(x) = \arg\min_{u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{W}_{t+1}} \mathbb{P}\{w\} I_t(x, u, w)$

・ロト・「四ト・「田下・「田下・(日下

Complexity of the dynamic programming algorithm

Assuming that

• the state x_t can take N_x values

• the control u_t can take N_u values

• the uncertainty w_t can take N_w values

the complexity (number of operations) of the Bellman algorithm is in

 $O(T \times N_x \times N_u \times N_w)$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

which is linear in the number of stages :-) but exponential in the dimension of the state (and also control and uncertainty) The curse of dimensionality is illustrated by the random access memory capacity on a computer: one, two, three, infinity (Gamov)

- On a computer
 - RAM: 8 GBytes = $8(1\ 024)^3 = 2^{33}$ bytes
 - a double-precision real: 8 bytes = 2^3 bytes
 - $\blacktriangleright \implies 2^{30} \approx 10^9$ double-precision reals can be handled in RAM
- ▶ If a state of dimension 4 is approximated by a grid with 100 levels by components, we need to manipulate $100^4 = 10^8$ reals and

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- do a time loop
- do a control loop (after discretization)
- compute an expectation

The wall of dimension can be pushed beyond if additional properties are exploited (linearity, convexity) In the linear-quadratic case, value functions are quadratic and optimal policies are linear

When cost functions are quadratic (convex)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x) &= x' S_T x \, (\text{+affine}) \\ \mathcal{L}_t(x, u, w) &= x' S_t x + w' R_t w + u' Q_t u \, (\text{+affine}) \end{aligned}$$

and the dynamic is affine

$$f_t(x, u, w) = F_t x + G_t u + H_t w (+ \text{constant})$$

- ► and primitive random variables W₁,..., W_{T-1}, W_T are square integrable and independent under the probability P
- ► then, the value functions x → V_t(x) are quadratic (convex), and optimal policies are affine in the state

$$u_t = M_t x_t (+ \text{constant})$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Outline of the presentation

Multistage Stochastic Optimization

Dynamic Programming Without State

Dynamic Programming With State

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise

Dynamic Programming With State and White Noise (Complements) Bellman's Principle of Optimality Cost-to-go and Bellman equation Backward offline / forward online The curse of dimensionality Hazard-decision, linear-convex, SDDP

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Stochatic optimal control problem formulation (hazard-decision)

$$\min_{\mathsf{U}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{U}_{\tau-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_t(\mathsf{X}_t,\mathsf{U}_t,\mathsf{W}_{t+1}) + K(\mathsf{X}_T)\right] \\ \sigma(\mathsf{U}_0) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{X}_0,\mathsf{W}_1),\ldots,\sigma(\mathsf{U}_{T-1}) \subset \sigma(\mathsf{X}_0,\mathsf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{W}_T) \\ \mathsf{X}_{t+1} = f_t(\mathsf{X}_t,\mathsf{U}_t,\mathsf{W}_{t+1}), \quad t = 0,\ldots,T-1 \\ \mathsf{U}_t \in \mathbb{B}_t(\mathsf{X}_t,\mathsf{W}_{t+1}), \quad t = 0,\ldots,T-1$$

Bellman equation and optimal policies in the hazard-decision information pattern

The uncertainty is observed before making the decision

◆ロト ◆御 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 - のへで

When spilling decisions are made after knowing the water inflows, we obtain a linear dynamical model



S_t volume (stock) of water at the beginning of period [t, t + 1[

• A_{t+1} , inflow water volume (rain, etc.) during [t, t+1];

- Q_t turbined outflow volume
 - decided at the beginning of period [t, t + 1[(hazard follows decision)
 - supposed to depend on the stock S_t
- ► *R*_{t+1} spilled volume
 - decided at the end of period [t, t + 1] (hazard precedes decision)
 - supposed to depend on the stock S_t and on the inflow water A_t

 $0 \le Q_t \le S_t$ and $0 \le S_t - Q_t + A_{t+1} - R_{t+1} \le S^{\sharp}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

In the linear-convex case, value functions are convex

Here, we aim at minimizing expected cumulated costs



The value functions $x \mapsto V_t(x)$ are convex whenever

- ► the instantaneous cost functions (x, u) → L_t(x, u, w) is jointly convex in state and control (∀w)
- the final cost function $x \mapsto K(x)$ is convex $(\forall w)$
- the dynamics mappings are affine in state and control (\U03c0 w)

 $f_t(x, u, w) = F_t(w)x + G_t(w)u + H_t(w)$

▶ The constraint sets $\{(x, u) \mid u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)\}$ are convex

The minimum over one variable of a jointly convex function is convex in the other variable

A lemma in convex analysis

Let $f : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, and let $C \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$ be a convex set Then, the so-called marginal function $g : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(x) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x,y) \in C} f(x,y), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

is a convex function

Stochastic Dual Dynamic Programming (SDDP)

The dynamic programming equation associated with the problem of minimizing the expected costs is

$$V_T(x) = \overbrace{K(x)}^{\text{final cost}}$$

$$V_t(x) = \min_{u \in \mathbb{B}_t(x)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{W}_{t+1}} \left[\underbrace{L_t(x, u, \mathsf{W}_{t+1})}_{\text{future state}} + V_{t+1} \underbrace{\left(F_t(\mathsf{W}_{t+1})x + G_t(\mathsf{W}_{t+1})u + H_t(\mathsf{W}_{t+1}) \right) \right]}_{\text{future state}}$$

in atom to many a south

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

- It can be shown by induction that $x \mapsto V_t(x)$ is convex
- A subgradient at x^{*}_{t+1} defines a hyperplane, hence a lower affine approximation of the value function, calculated by duality

SDDP and autoregressive noise models

The property that value functions are convex extends to the following cases

Multiple stocks interconnected by linear dynamics

$$\mathsf{S}_{t+1}^i = \mathsf{S}_t^i + \mathsf{A}_t^i + \mathsf{Q}_t^{i-1} - \mathsf{Q}_t^i - \mathsf{R}_{t+1}^i$$

Water inflows following an autoregressive model

$$\mathsf{A}_{t+1}^{i} = \sum_{k=0,\dots,K^{i}} \alpha_{k} \mathsf{A}_{t-k}^{i} + \mathsf{W}_{t+1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

where the random variables $W_1,\ldots,W_{\mathcal{T}-1},W_{\mathcal{T}}$ are independent

Summary

- Bellman's Principle of Optimality breaks an intertemporal optimization problem into a sequence of interconnected static optimization problems
- The cost-to-go / value function / Bellman function is solution of a backward dynamic programming equation, or Bellman equation
- The Bellman equation provides an optimal policy, a concept of solution adapted to uncertain case
- In numerical practice, the curse of dimensionality forbids to use dynamic programming for a state with dimension more than four or five
- However, special cases like the linear-quadratic or the linear-convex ones, do not (totally) suffer from the curse of dimensionality