Witsenhausen Intrinsic Model Games in Product Form

Benjamin Heymann, <u>Michel De Lara</u>, Jean-Philippe Chancelier CRITEO and CERMICS, École nationale des ponts et chaussées, France

International Conference on Computational Operations Research and Algorithmic Game Theory January 21-23, 2025 Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, India

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Charles Darwin and the peacock's tail



In a letter to botanist Asa Gray dated 3 April 1860, one year after the publication of *The Origin of Species* — Charles Darwin writes

> The sight of a feather in a peacock's tail, whenever I gaze at it, makes me sick!

Indeed, this embarrasing cumbersome tail is a handicap for survival (like escaping predators)

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Informational asymetry in mating/ signaling games

In 1871, Charles Darwin published The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex and proposed that the peacock's tail had evolved because females preferred to mate with males with more elaborate ones

In 1975, biologist Amotz Zahavi published Mate Selection-A Selection for a Handicap

These handicaps are of use to the selecting sex since they test the quality of the mate. [...] The understanding that a handicap, which tests for quality, can evolve as a consequence of its advantage to the individual, may provide an explanation for many puzzling evolutionary problems.

 In 2013, mathematicians Pierre Bernhard and Frédéric Hamelin published
Simple signaling games of sexual selection (Grafen's revisited)

Information in game theory

Game theory is concerned with strategic interactions: my best choice depends on the other players

Strategic interactions originate from two sources

- Payoffs and beliefs
 - My payoff depends on the other players actions
 - I have beliefs about Nature (like other players types)

Information

- Information who knows what and when plays a crucial role in competitive contexts
- Concealing, cheating, lying, deceiving are effective strategies

Game = game form + preferences

A (mathematical) game is made of two parts

1. A game form, game frame, ruleset, or outcome function is the set of rules that govern a game and determine its outcome based on each player's strategies

strategy space \mapsto outcome space

2. A family of preferences, one per player, on the outcome space

Three game forms (for two players Alice and Bob): Kuhn, Alós-Ferrer and Ritzberger, Witsenhausen





・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

э





Roadmap

1. Introduce the Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model), and illustrate its potential to handle informational interactions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

2. Extend the W-model to games in product form (W-games)

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Conclusion



Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Conclusion

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Algebras, σ -algebras/fields, partition fields

Let ${\mathcal Z}$ be a set

An algebra (or field) on Z is a nonempty collection 3 of subsets of Z (identified with a subset 3 ⊂ 2^Z) which is stable under complementation and finite union (hence, under finite intersection)

A σ-algebra (or σ-field) on Z is a nonempty collection 3 of subsets of Z (identified with a subset 3 ⊂ 2^Z) which is stable under complementation and countable union (hence, under countable intersection)

A partition field (or π-field) on Z is a nonempty collection 3 of subsets of Z (identified with a subset 3 ⊂ 2^Z) which is stable under complementation and unlimited union (hence, under unlimited intersection)

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The couple $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{Z})$ is called a measurable space

Examples of σ -fields and partition fields

Let ${\mathcal Z}$ be a set

- $\mathfrak{Z} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{Z}\}$ is the trivial σ -field (or trivial π -field)
- $\mathfrak{Z} = 2^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the complete σ -field (or complete π -field)
- ► The atoms of a partition field are the minimal elements for the inclusion ⊂ relation, and they form a partition of Z into undistinguishable elements



Operations on σ -fields

Let \mathcal{Z} be a set and $\{\mathfrak{Z}_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of σ -fields

- ∧_{i∈I} 3_i is the largest σ-field included in all the 3_i, for i ∈ I (it coincides with ∩_{i∈I} 3_i)
- ▶ $\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathfrak{Z}_i$ is the smallest σ -field that contains all the \mathfrak{Z}_i , for $i \in I$

Let $\{(\mathcal{Z}_i, \mathfrak{Z}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of measurable spaces

⊗_{i∈I} 3_i is a (product) σ-field on the (product) set ∏_{i∈I} Z_i
(⊗_{i∈I} 3_i is the smallest σ-field that contains all the cylinders)

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic) Examples (more advanced) Strategies, playability and solution map

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space

We distinguish an individual from an agent

- An individual who makes a first, followed by a second action, is represented by two agents (two decision makers)
- An individual who makes a sequence of actions — one for each period t = 0, 1, 2, ..., T − 1 is represented by T agents, labelled t = 0, 1, 2, ..., T − 1
- N individuals each *i* of whom makes a sequence of actions, one for each period t = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_i − 1 is represented by ∏^N_{i=1} T_i agents, labelled by

$$(i, t) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \{j\} \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots, T_j - 1\}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Agents, actions and action spaces

 Let A be a (finite or infinite) set, whose elements are called agents (or decision-makers)

• With each agent $a \in A$ is associated a measurable space

 $(\mathcal{U}_a,\mathfrak{U}_a)$

where

- ► the set U_a is the set of actions for agent a, where he makes one action u_a ∈ U_a
- the set $\mathfrak{U}_a \subset 2^{\mathcal{U}_a}$ is a σ -field (σ -algebra)

Examples

►
$$A = \{0, 1, 2, ..., T - 1\}$$
 (*T* sequential actions),
 $(\mathcal{U}_a, \mathfrak{U}_a) = (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$

Energy producer's action: (peak, off-peak) prices (€)

$$u^{\mathrm{L}} = (\overline{u}^{\mathrm{L}}, \underline{u}^{\mathrm{L}}) \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{L}} = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq y \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

Nature space

With Nature is associated a measurable space

(Ω, 𝔅)

where

- the set Ω is the set of states of Nature (uncertainties, scenarios, etc.) ω ∈ Ω
- the set 𝔅 ⊂ 2^Ω is a σ-field (σ-algebra) (at this stage of the presentation, we do not need to equip (Ω, 𝔅) with a probability distribution, as we only focus on information)

Examples

Exogenous Nature: electricity demand (kWh)

$$\omega^{\mathsf{e}} \in \Omega^{\mathsf{e}} = \mathbb{R}_+$$

► Energy producer type: unitary production cost (€/kWh)

$$\omega^{\rm L} \in \Omega^{\rm L} = \mathbb{R}_+$$

The configuration space is a product space

Configuration space

The configuration space is the product space

$$\mathcal{H} = \Omega imes \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega imes \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}_{a}$$

equipped with the product $\sigma\text{-field},$ called configuration field

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_A = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \bigotimes_{a \in A} \mathfrak{U}_a$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

so that $(\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{H})$ is a measurable space

Example of configuration space

 $(\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{H})$



product configuration space

$$\mathcal{H} = \Omega imes \prod_{a \in A} \mathcal{U}_a$$

product configuration field

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \bigotimes_{a \in A} \mathfrak{U}_a$$

Remark: a finite σ -field is represented by the partition of its atoms (minimal elements for inclusion) Here, $\mathfrak{H} = 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is represented by the partition of singletons

Example of configuration space in demand response





The configuration space is the product space $\mathcal{H} = \Omega \times \mathcal{U}^L \times \mathcal{U}^F$

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^3_+}_{\text{Nature}} \times \underbrace{\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \ge y\}}_{(\text{peak, off-peak})} \times \underbrace{\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid \alpha + \beta = 1\}}_{\substack{\text{consumption}\\\text{shift}}}$$

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ④ < ⊙

Information fields

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 りへぐ

Information fields express dependencies

Information field of an agent The information field of agent $a \in A$ is a σ -field

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{a}} \subset \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \bigotimes_{\mathsf{a} \in \mathsf{A}} \mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{a}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

which is a subfield of the product configuration field

- The subfield \$\mathcal{I}_a\$ of the configuration field \$\mathcal{J}\$ represents the information available to agent a when the agent chooses an action
- Therefore, the information of agent a may depend
 - on the states of Nature
 - and on other agents' actions

In the finite case, information fields are represented by the partition of its atoms

The information field of agent $a \in A$ is a subfield $\mathfrak{I}_a \subset \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \bigotimes_{a \in A} \mathfrak{U}_a$ which can, in the finite case, be represented by the partition of its atoms

 $(\omega^{+}, T_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, B_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, B_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, T_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, B_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, T_{a}, L_{b}) (\omega^{+}, B_{a}, L_{b}) (\omega^{+}, B_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{+}, T_{a}, R_{b}) (\omega^{-}, B_{a}, R_{b}$

 $(\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{H})$

 $\mathfrak{I}_a \subset \mathfrak{H}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Example of energy producer information field in demand response

The energy producer information field \mathfrak{I}^{L} is a subfield of the σ -field associated with the configuration space $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G}^{e} \otimes \mathfrak{G}^{L} \otimes \mathfrak{G}^{F} \otimes \mathfrak{U}^{L} \otimes \mathfrak{U}^{F}$



・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э.

Definition of the W-model (2 basic objects, 1 axiom)

W-model A W-model $(A, (\Omega, \mathfrak{G}), (\mathcal{U}_a, \mathfrak{U}_a)_{a \in A}, (\mathfrak{I}_a)_{a \in A})$ consists of 2 basic objects (W-BO1a) the sample space (Ω, \mathfrak{G}) equipped with a σ -field (W-BO1b) the collection $(\mathcal{U}_a, \mathfrak{U}_a)_{a \in A}$ of agents' actions equipped with σ -fields (W-BO2) the collection $(\mathfrak{I}_a)_{a \in A}$ of agents' information subfields of $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \bigotimes_{a \in A} \mathfrak{U}_{a}$ and 1 axiom imposed on them (W-Axiom1) for all agent $a \in A$, absence of self-information holds $\mathfrak{I}_{a} \subset \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a}\} \otimes \bigotimes$ \mathfrak{U}_b $b \in A \setminus \{a\}$

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Examples (more advanced) Strategies, playability and solution map

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Alice and Bob



"Alice and Bob" configuration space

Alice and Bob are playing simultaneously

Example

- no Nature
- two agents a (Alice) and b (Bob)
- two possible actions each $U_a = \{T_a, B_a\}, U_b = \{R_b, L_b\}$
- product configuration space (4 elements)

$$\mathcal{H} = \{T_a, B_a\} \times \{R_b, L_b\}$$



"Alice and Bob" information partitions

Alice and Bob are playing simultaneously



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

• $\mathfrak{I}_a = \{\emptyset, \{T_a, B_a\}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \{R_b, L_b\}\}$ (trivial σ -field) Alice knows nothing

• $\mathfrak{I}_b = \{\emptyset, \{T_a, B_a\}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \{R_b, L_b\}\}$ (trivial σ -field) Bob knows nothing Alice knows Bob's action

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

"Alice and Bob" information partitions

Alice knows Bob's action



- $\mathfrak{I}_b = \{\emptyset, \{T_a, B_a\}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \{R_b, L_b\}\}$ (trivial σ -field) Bob knows nothing
- ℑ_a = {∅, {T_a, B_a}} ⊗ {∅, {R_b}, {L_b}, {R_b, L_b}} (cylindrical σ-field by absence of self-information) Alice knows what Bob does (as she can distinguish between Bob's actions {R_b} and {L_b})

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Alice, Bob and a coin tossing

"Alice, Bob and a coin tossing" configuration space

Example

- two states of Nature $\Omega = \{\omega^+, \omega^-\}$ (heads/tails)
- two agents a and b
- two possible actions each: $U_a = \{T_a, B_a\}, U_b = \{R_b, L_b\}$
- product configuration space (8 elements)



"Alice, Bob and a coin tossing" information partitions





 $\Im_{a} = \underbrace{\{\emptyset, \{\omega^{+}\}, \{\omega^{-}\}, \{\omega^{+}, \omega^{-}\}\}}_{\text{Alice knows Nature's move}} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a}\} \otimes \underbrace{\{\emptyset, \{R_{b}\}, \{L_{b}\}, \{R_{b}, L_{b}\}\}}_{\text{Alice knows what Bob does}}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

"Alice, Bob and a coin tossing" information partitions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Examples (more advanced)

Strategies, playability and solution map

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion
Stochastic control

Stochastic control

or as

- Infinite (nonatomic) agents $A = [0, +\infty[$
- Decision of agent t taken in a set U_t
- Filtration $\{\mathfrak{G}_t\}_{t>0}$ of the sample space (Ω, \mathfrak{G})

$$s \leq t \implies \mathfrak{G}_s \subset \mathfrak{G}_t \subset \mathfrak{G}$$

Information of (nonanticipative) agent t is either modeled as



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Mean-field/dynamic game

Mean-field/dynamic game: data for information structures

- ► Infinite (mean-field) number of players p ∈ P (finite for dynamical games)
- Time either discrete, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, or continuous, $t \in [0, +\infty[$
- ► Agents are couples a = (p, t) making decisions in measurable sets (U^p_t, 𝔅^p_t)
- Filtration $\{\mathfrak{G}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ of the sample space (Ω, \mathfrak{G})

$$s \leq t \implies \mathfrak{G}_s \subset \mathfrak{G}_t \subset \mathfrak{G}$$

Dynamic game: information structures

Information $\mathcal{I}_{(p,t)}$ of (nonanticipative) agent (p, t)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Mean-field game: information structures

Information $\mathfrak{I}_{(p,t)}$ of (nonanticipative) agent (p,t)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Principal-agent models or Leader-follower models

Leader-follower model in energy demand response



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Principal-agent or leader-follower models with two decision-makers

A branch of Economics studies so-called principal-agent models, which can easily be expressed with Witsenhausen intrinsic model

To avoid confusion (between agent and agent...), we will shift to the vocable leader-follower models

The model exhibits two decision-makers

- the leader L makes actions $u_{\rm L}$ in $(\mathcal{U}_{\rm L}, \mathfrak{U}_{\rm L})$
- the follower F makes actions $u_{\rm F}$ in $(\mathcal{U}_{\rm F},\mathfrak{U}_{\rm F})$
- and Nature, corresponding to private information (or type) of the leader L or of the follower F

• Nature selects ω in (Ω, \mathfrak{G})

Classical information patterns in game theory

Now, we will make the information structure more specific

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Stackelberg leadership model
- Moral hazard (hidden action)
- Adverse selection (hidden type)
- Signaling

Stackelberg leadership model

Example of a (binary) Stackelberg leadership W-model



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Stackelberg leadership model

The follower F may partly observe the action of the leader L



whereas the leader L observes at most the state of Nature



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

As a consequence, the system is sequential

- with the leader L as first decision-maker
- and the follower F as second decision-maker

Moral hazard (hidden action)

Moral hazard (hidden action)

- An insurance company (the leader L)
 - cannot observe the efforts of the insured (the follower F) to avoid risky behavior,
 - whereas it faces the hazard that the insured person behaves "immorally"

(like playing with matches at home)

Moral hazard (hidden action) occurs when the actions of the follower F are hidden to the leader L



In case of moral hazard, the system is sequential with the leader as first decision-maker Adverse selection

Adverse selection

- In the absence of observable information on potential customers (the follower F),
- an insurance company (the leader L) offers a unique price for a contract,
- hence screens and selects the "bad" ones

Example of a (binary) adverse selection W-model



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Adverse selection

Adverse selection occurs when

the follower F knows the state of nature (her/his own type, or private information) and observes the leader L action (contract)



but the leader L does not know the state of nature, that is, the agent F type



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

In case of adverse selection, the system is sequential

Signaling

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Signaling



- In biology, a peacock signals its "good genes" (genotype) by its lavish tail (phenotype)
- In economics, a worker signals her/his working ability (productivity) by her/his educational level (diplomas)

(日)

Signaling

There is room for signaling

when the leader L knows the state of nature (her/his own type)



whereas the follower F does not know the state of nature, that is, the leader L type, but the follower F observes the leader L action

$$\mathfrak{I}_F \overset{\mathrm{observes}}{\overset{}{\longleftarrow}} \{ \emptyset, \Omega \} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_F \} \otimes \underbrace{\mathfrak{U}_L}_{\substack{\mathrm{action of } \\ \mathrm{the \ leader \ } L}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Thus, the leader L may reveal her/his type by her/his action which is observable by the follower F

Absent-minded driver

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 りへぐ

Absent-minded driver



- S=Stay, T=Turn
- "paradox" that raised a problem in game theory
- the player looses public time, as plays "SS" "ST" cross the information set twice
- cannot be modelled per se in tree models (violates "no-AM" axiom)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

A W-model for the absent-minded driver



What land have we covered? What comes next?

The stage is in place; so are the actors

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- agents
- Nature
- information
- How can actors play?
 - strategies
 - playability

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic) Examples (more advanced) Strategies, playability and solution map

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Strategies

Information is the fuel of W-strategies

```
W-strategy of an agent
A (pure) W-strategy of agent a is a mapping
```

 $\lambda_a: (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{H}) \to (\mathcal{U}_a, \mathfrak{U}_a)$

which is measurable w.r.t. the information field \Im_a , that is,

 $\lambda_a^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_a) \subset \mathfrak{I}_a$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

This condition expresses the property that a W-strategy for agent amay only depend upon the information \Im_a available to the agent

Leader's information field and strategies

The leader information field \mathfrak{I}^L is a subfield of the σ -field associated with the configuration space $\mathfrak{H}=\mathfrak{G}^e\otimes\mathfrak{G}^L\otimes\mathfrak{G}^F\otimes\mathfrak{U}^L\otimes\mathfrak{U}^F$



A leader's strategy is a mapping $\lambda^{L} : (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{H}) \to (\mathcal{U}^{L}, \mathfrak{U}^{L})$ measurable with respect to his information field $\mathfrak{I}^{L} : (\lambda^{L})^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}^{L}) \subset \mathfrak{I}^{L}$

strategy

prices



costs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Set of W-strategies of an agent

We denote the set of (pure) W-strategies of agent a by

 $\mathsf{\Lambda}_{\mathsf{a}} = \left\{ \lambda_{\mathsf{a}} : (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{H}) \to (\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{a}}, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{a}}) \, \big| \, \lambda_{\mathsf{a}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{a}}) \subset \mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{a}} \right\}$

and the set of W-strategies of all agents is

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_A = \prod_{a \in A} \Lambda_a$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Examples of W-strategies

Consider a W-model with two agents *a* and *b*, and suppose that σ -fields \mathfrak{U}_a , \mathfrak{U}_b and \mathfrak{G} contain the singletons

Absence of self-information

 $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{a}} \subset \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{a}} \} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{b}} \;, \;\; \mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{b}} \subset \mathfrak{G} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{a}} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{b}} \}$

Then, W-strategies λ_a and λ_b have the form

$$\lambda_{a}(\omega, \mathscr{Y}_{a}, u_{b}) = \widetilde{\lambda_{a}}(\omega, u_{b}) , \ \lambda_{b}(\omega, u_{a}, \mathscr{Y}_{b}) = \widetilde{\lambda_{b}}(\omega, u_{a})$$

Sequential W-model

 $\mathfrak{I}_{a} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a} \} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{b} \;, \;\; \mathfrak{I}_{b} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a} \} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{b} \}$

Then, W-strategies λ_a and λ_b have the form

$$\lambda_{a}(\omega, u_{b}, y_{a}) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{a}(\omega, u_{b}) , \ \lambda_{b}(\omega, y_{b}, y_{a}) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{b}(\omega)$$

Playability

・ロト・(四)・(日)・(日)・(日)・(日)

Playability

- In the Witsenhausen's intrinsic model, agents make actions in an order which is not fixed in advance
- Briefly speaking, playability ("solvability" in Witsenhausen's terms) is the property that, for each state of Nature, the agents' actions are uniquely determined by their W-strategies

Playability problem

The playability (solvability) problem consists in finding

▶ for any collection $\lambda = \{\lambda_a\}_{a \in A} \in \Lambda_A$ of W-strategies

► for any state of Nature
$$\omega \in \Omega$$

actions $u \in U_A$ satisfying
the implicit ("closed loop") equation

 $u = \lambda(\omega, u)$

or, equivalently, the family of "closed loop" equations

 $u_a = \lambda_a(\omega, \{u_b\}_{b \in A}), \ \forall a \in A$

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \dots & x_{3n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{d1} & x_{d2} & x_{d3} & \dots & x_{dn} \end{bmatrix} u, \quad u = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ 0 & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} & \dots & x_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & x_{dn} \end{bmatrix} u$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへの

Playability property

Playability property

A W-model displays the playability property when the "closed loop" equation $u = \lambda(\omega, u)$ has a unique solution for any collection $\lambda = \{\lambda_a\}_{a \in A} \in \Lambda_A$ of W-strategies and for any state of Nature $\omega \in \Omega$, that is,

$$\forall \lambda = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A} \in \Lambda_A , \ \forall \omega \in \Omega , \ \exists ! u \in \mathcal{U}_A , \ u = \lambda(\omega, u)$$

or, equivalently, when

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \lambda &= (\lambda_a)_{a \in A} \in \Lambda_A , \ \forall \omega \in \Omega , \ \exists ! u \in \mathcal{U}_A , \\ u_a &= \lambda_a (\omega, \{u_b\}_{b \in A}) , \ \forall a \in A \end{aligned}$$

・ロト・西ト・田・・田・ ひゃぐ
Playability is a property of the information structure Sequentiality



Sequential W-model

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{a}} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{a}}\} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\mathsf{b}} \;, \;\; \mathfrak{I}_{\mathsf{b}} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{a}}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{b}}\}$$

The closed-loop equations

$$u_{a} = \lambda_{a}(\omega, u_{b}, \mathscr{Y}_{a}) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{a}(\omega, u_{b}) , \quad u_{b} = \lambda_{b}(\omega, \mathscr{Y}_{b}, \mathscr{Y}_{a}) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{b}(\omega)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

always displays a unique solution (u_a, u_b) , whatever $\omega \in \Omega$ and W-strategies λ_a and λ_b

Playability is a property of the information structure Deadlock



W-model with deadlock

 $\mathfrak{I}_{\textbf{a}} = \{ \emptyset, \Omega \} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\textbf{a}} \} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\textbf{b}} \;, \;\; \mathfrak{I}_{\textbf{b}} = \{ \emptyset, \Omega \} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\textbf{a}} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{\textbf{b}} \}$

The closed-loop equations

$$u_a = \lambda_a(y_a, u_b) = \tilde{\lambda}_a(u_b), \ u_b = \lambda_b(u_a, y_b) = \tilde{\lambda}_b(u_a)$$

may display zero solutions, one solution or multiple solutions, depending on the W-strategies λ_a and λ_b

Playability makes it possible to define a solution map from states of Nature towards configurations

Suppose that the playability property holds true

Solution map

We define the solution map

$$\mathbf{S}_{oldsymbol{\lambda}}: \Omega
ightarrow \mathcal{H} = \Omega imes \mathcal{U}_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} = \Omega imes \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}_{a}$$

that maps states of Nature towards configurations, by

 $(\omega, u) = S_{\lambda}(\omega) \iff u = \lambda(\omega, u) , \ \forall (\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{U}_A$

We include the state of Nature ω in the image of $S_{\lambda}(\omega)$, so that we map the set Ω towards the configuration space \mathcal{H} , making it possible to interpret $S_{\lambda}(\omega)$ as a configuration driven by the W-strategy λ (in classical control theory, a state trajectory is produced by a policy) A sequential (hence playable) information structure



When playability holds true, the solution map is the mapping $S_{\lambda^{L},\lambda^{F}}: \Omega \to \mathcal{H}$ which gives for every state of Nature the unique outcome

$$S_{\lambda^{\mathrm{L}},\lambda^{\mathrm{F}}}(\omega^{\mathrm{e}},\omega^{\mathrm{L}},\omega^{\mathrm{F}}) = \left(\omega^{\mathrm{e}},\omega^{\mathrm{L}},\omega^{\mathrm{F}},\underbrace{\lambda^{\mathrm{L}}(\omega^{\mathrm{L}})}_{u^{\mathrm{L}}},\underbrace{\lambda^{\mathrm{F}}(\omega^{\mathrm{e}},\omega^{\mathrm{F}},\lambda^{\mathrm{L}}(\omega^{\mathrm{L}}))}_{u^{\mathrm{F}}}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

In the sequential case, the solution map is given by iterated composition

In the sequential case

 $\mathfrak{I}_{b} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a} \} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{b} \} , \ \mathfrak{I}_{a} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{a} \} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{b}$

• W-strategies λ_b and λ_a have the form

 $\lambda_b(\omega, \mathcal{Y}_b, \mathcal{Y}_a) = \widetilde{\lambda}_b(\omega) , \ \lambda_a(\omega, \mathcal{Y}_a, u_b) = \widetilde{\lambda}_a(\omega, u_b)$

so that the solution map is

$$S_{\lambda}(\omega) = \left(\omega, \widetilde{\lambda}_{a}(\omega, \widetilde{\lambda}_{b}(\omega)), \widetilde{\lambda}_{b}(\omega)\right)$$

• because the system of equations $u = \lambda(\omega, u)$ here writes

$$u_b = \lambda_b(\omega, \mathscr{Y}_a, \mathscr{Y}_b) = \widetilde{\lambda}_b(\omega) , \quad u_a = \lambda_a(\omega, \mathscr{Y}_a, u_b) = \widetilde{\lambda}_a(\omega, u_b)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

With playability, hence with a solution map, one obtains a game form

Game form

A playable W-model induces a game form by means of the outcome mapping

> $S: \Lambda o \mathcal{H}^{\Omega}$ $\lambda \mapsto S_{\lambda}$

from strategies towards the outcome space \mathcal{H}^Ω (random variables)

If the W-model is not playable, we get a set-valued mapping (correspondence)

A game that can be played but that cannot start: the clapping hand game

- [Three players:] Alice, Bob and Carol are sitting around a circular table, with their eyes closed
- [Two decisions:] Each of them has to decide either to extend her/his left hand to the left or to extend her/his right hand to the right
- [Information:] when two hands touch, the remaining player is informed (say, a clap is directly conveyed to her/his ears); when two hands do not touch, the remaining player is not informed
- ► [Strategies:] for each player, a strategy is a mapping {clap, no clap} → {left, right}
- [Playability:] for each triplet of strategies one for each of Alice, Bob and Carol — there is a unique outcome of extended hands: the game is playable

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 [No tree:] however, the game cannot start, hence this playable game cannot be written on a tree

Playable noncausal example [Witsenhausen, 1971]

- ▶ No Nature, $A = \{a, b, c\}$, $U_a = U_b = U_c = \{0, 1\}$
- ► Set of configurations $\mathcal{H} = \{0, 1\}^3$, and information fields $\mathfrak{I}_a = \sigma(u_b(1 - u_c))$, $\mathfrak{I}_b = \sigma(u_c(1 - u_a))$, $\mathfrak{I}_c = \sigma(u_a(1 - u_b))$
- The "game" can be played but...cannot be started (no first agent)



What land have we covered? What comes next?

The stage is in place; so are the actors

- agents
- Nature
- information
- Actors know how they can play
 - W-strategies
 - playability
- In a noncooperative context, we will now define players as "team leaders of agents"
 - playing mixed strategies
 - endowed with preferences
 - (in particular, with objectives and beliefs)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

What comes next?

- Players and preferences
- Game in product form (W-game)
- Normal form of a W-game
- Mixed and behavioral strategies

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic) Examples (more advanced)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min] Game in product form (W-game)

Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Players

・ロシ ・ 母 シ ・ ヨ シ ・ ヨ ・ りへぐ

A player holds a team of executive agents

The set of players is denoted by P (finite or infinite set)

► Every player p ∈ P has a team of executive agents

 $A^p \subset A$

where $(A^{p})_{p \in P}$ forms a partition of the set A of agents



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

A player is a team leader

Preferences

Preference relations on random variables

As a playable W-model induces a game form by means of the outcome mapping

> $S \colon \Lambda o \mathcal{H}^{\Omega}$ $\lambda \mapsto S_{\lambda}$

from strategies towards the outcome space \mathcal{H}^Ω (random variables)

the preferences of each player p ∈ P are represented by a preference relation ≤^p on (a subset of) the outcome space H^Ω (random variables) Game in product form

Game in product form

[Heymann, De Lara, and Chancelier, 2022]

Game in product form / W-game

A game in product form or W-game is

a W-model, endowed with an additional layer made of

with a partition of the set of agents, whose atoms are the players

where each player p ∈ P is endowed with a preference relation ≤^p on (a subset of) the outcome space H^Ω (random variables)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic) Examples (more advanced) Strategies, playability and solution map

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game

Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Preferences deduced from objective functions and beliefs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Players can be endowed with objective functions and beliefs

Every player $p \in P$ has

a team of executive agents

$A^p \subset A$

where (A^p)_{p∈P} forms a partition of the set A of agents
 a criterion/objective function/payoff (to be maximized)

 $j^p:\mathcal{H}\to [-\infty,+\infty[$

a \mathfrak{H} -measurable function over the configuration space \mathcal{H} (where the value $-\infty$ stands for possible constraints on configurations)

a belief

 $\beta^{p}:\mathfrak{G}\to[0,1]$

a probability distribution over the states of Nature (Ω, \mathfrak{G})

Preference relation on random variables

Preference relation on random variables

Let \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} be two elements in (a subset of) the outcome space \mathcal{H}^{Ω} (random variables)

$\mathbf{X} \preceq^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{Y} \iff \mathbb{E}_{\beta^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}} \big[j^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \circ \mathbf{X} \big] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\beta^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}} \big[j^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \circ \mathbf{Y} \big]$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

when the integrals (expectations) make sense

Strategy profiles

Strategy profiles

► A strategy for player *p* is an element of

$$\Lambda^p = \prod_{a \in A_p} \Lambda_a$$

The set of strategies for all players is

$$\prod_{p \in P} \Lambda^p = \prod_{p \in P} \prod_{a \in A_p} \Lambda_a = \prod_{a \in A} \Lambda_a = \Lambda_A$$

A strategy profile is

$$\lambda = (\lambda^p)_{p \in P} \in \prod_{p \in P} \Lambda^p$$

▶ When we focus on player *p*, we write

$$\lambda = (\lambda^{-p}, \lambda^{p}) \in \Lambda^{p} \times \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{p' \neq p \\ \Lambda^{-p}}} \Lambda_{A_{p'}}}_{\Lambda^{-p}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

How player p evaluates a strategy profile λ

• Measurable solution map attached to $\lambda \in \Lambda_A$ is

 $S_{\lambda}:\Omega \to \mathcal{H}$

Measurable criterion (costs or payoffs) is

 $j^p:\mathcal{H}\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$

The composition of criteria with the solution map provides a random variable

 $j^{p} \circ S_{\lambda} : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

• The random variable can be integrated w.r.t. the belief β^{p} , yielding

 $\mathbb{E}_{\beta^p}\big[j^p\circ S_\lambda\big]\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\beta^{p}}$ denotes the mathematical expectation w.r.t. the probability β^{p} on (Ω, \mathfrak{G})

W-game in normal form

We can now turn a W-game into a W-game in normal form

Every player $p \in P$ has

a strategy set

$$\Lambda^p = \prod_{a \in A_p} \Lambda_a$$

a normal form objective function from strategies profiles to the (extended) real numbers

$$\lambda \in \prod_{p \in P} \Lambda^p \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{\beta^p} [j^p \circ S_{\lambda}] = \mathbb{E}_{\beta^p \circ S_{\lambda}^{-1}} [j^p]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Nash equilibrium

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E 9000</p>

Normal form objective functions, Nash equilibrium

Data of player $p \in P$

 $d^p = (j^p, \beta^p)$

Normal form objective function for player $p \in P$

 $J^{p}(\lambda^{p},\lambda^{-p};d^{p}) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta^{p}}[j^{p} \circ S_{\lambda^{p},\lambda^{-p}}]$

Set of best responses for player $p \in P$

$$\Lambda^{p}_{\mathcal{N}}(\underline{\lambda}^{-p}; d^{p}) = \arg \min_{\lambda^{p} \in \Lambda^{p}} J^{p}(\lambda^{p}, \underline{\lambda}^{-p}; d^{p}) \subset \Lambda^{p}$$

A strategy profile $\underline{\lambda} = (\underline{\lambda}^{P})_{P \in P} \in \Lambda^{P}$ is said to be a Nash equilibrium if

 $\underline{\lambda}^{p} \in \Lambda^{p}_{\mathcal{N}}(\underline{\lambda}^{-p}; d^{p}) , \ \forall p \in P$

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Agents, actions, Nature, configuration space, information fields Examples (basic) Examples (more advanced) Strategies, playability and solution map

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Game in product form (W-game) Normal form of a W-game Mixed and behavioral strategies

Conclusion

Pure W-strategies profiles

A pure W-strategy for player p is an element of

$$\Lambda_{A^p} = \prod_{a \in A^p} \Lambda_a$$

The set of pure W-strategies for all players is

$$\prod_{p \in P} \Lambda_{A^p} = \prod_{p \in P} \prod_{a \in A^p} \Lambda_a = \prod_{a \in A} \Lambda_a = \Lambda_A$$

A W-strategy profile is

$$\lambda = (\lambda^p)_{p \in P} \in \prod_{p \in P} \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}^p}$$

When we focus on player *p*, we write

$$\lambda = (\lambda^{p}, \lambda^{-p}) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}^{p}} \times \prod_{\substack{p' \neq p \\ \Lambda^{-p}}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}^{p'}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Mixed and behavioral strategies "à la Aumann"

For any player $p \in P$ and agent $a \in A^p$, we denote by

• $(\mathcal{W}_a, \mathfrak{W}_a)$ a copy of the Borel space $([0, 1], \mathfrak{B}([0, 1]))$

▶ ℓ_a a copy of the Lebesgue measure on $(\mathcal{W}_a, \mathfrak{W}_a) = ([0, 1], \mathfrak{B}([0, 1]))$ and we define a probability space (random generator) $(\mathcal{W}^p, \mathfrak{W}^p, \ell^p)$ attached to player p by

$$\mathcal{W}^{p} = \prod_{a \in A^{p}} \mathcal{W}_{a} , \ \mathfrak{W}^{p} = \bigotimes_{a \in A^{p}} \mathfrak{W}_{a} , \ \ell^{p} = \bigotimes_{a \in A^{p}} \ell_{a}$$

and we also set

$$\mathcal{W} = \prod_{p \in P} \mathcal{W}^p \ , \ \mathfrak{W} = \bigotimes_{p \in P} \mathfrak{W}^p \ , \ \ell = \bigotimes_{p \in P} \ell^p$$

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

Mixed, behavioral and pure strategies "à la Aumann": definition

For the player $p \in P$,

An A-mixed strategy is a family m^p = {m_a}_{a∈A^p} of measurable mappings

$$m_{a}: \big(\prod_{b\in A^{p}}\mathcal{W}_{b}\times\mathcal{H},\bigotimes_{b\in A^{p}}\mathfrak{W}_{b}\otimes\mathfrak{I}_{a}\big)\to (\mathcal{U}_{a},\mathfrak{U}_{a})\;,\;\;\forall a\in A^{p}$$

► an A-behavioral strategy is an A-mixed strategy m^p = {m_a}_{a∈A^p} with the property that

$$m_a^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_a) \subset \left(\mathfrak{W}_a \otimes \bigotimes_{b \in \mathcal{A}^p \setminus \{a\}} \{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}_b\} \otimes \mathfrak{I}_a\right), \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A}^p$$

An A-pure strategy is an A-mixed strategy m^p = {m_a}_{a∈A^p} with the property that

$$m_a^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_a) \subset \bigotimes_{b \in \mathcal{A}^p} \{ \emptyset, \mathcal{W}_b \} \otimes \mathfrak{I}_a \;, \; \forall a \in \mathcal{A}^p$$

Mixed, behavioral and pure strategies "à la Aumann": interpretation

For the player $p \in P$,

▶ an A-mixed strategy is a family $m^p = \{m_a\}_{a \in A^p}$ such that, for any configuration $h \in \mathcal{H}$,

 $m_{a}(\cdot,h): \left(\prod_{b\in A^{p}}\mathcal{W}_{b},\bigotimes_{b\in A^{p}}\mathfrak{W}_{b},\bigotimes_{a\in A^{p}}\ell_{a}\right)\to (\mathcal{U}_{a},\mathfrak{U}_{a}), \ \forall a\in A^{p}$

is a random variable

An A-behavioral strategy is an A-mixed strategy m^p = {m_a}_{a∈A^p} with the property that, for any configuration h ∈ H, the random variables {m_a(·, h)}_{a∈A^p} are independent

An A-pure strategy is an A-mixed strategy m^p = {m_a}_{a∈A^p} with the property that, for any configuration h ∈ H, the random variables {m_a(·, h)}_{a∈A^p} are constant

Outline of the presentation

Witsenhausen intrinsic model (W-model) [15 min]

Games in product form (W-game) [10 min]

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @
Potential of W-models and W-games

W-models and W-games cover

- deterministic games (with finite or measurable action sets)
- deterministic dynamic games (countable time span)
- Bayesian games
- stochastic dynamic games (countable time span)
- games in Kuhn extensive form (countable time span)

For games with continuous time span,

the W-model has to be adapted (configuration-orderings)

Conclusion

- ▶ a rich language
- ▶ a lot of open questions, and a lot of things not yet properly defined
- we are looking for feedback

Thank you :-)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- P. Carpentier, J.-P. Chancelier, G. Cohen, and M. De Lara. Stochastic Multi-Stage Optimization. At the Crossroads between Discrete Time Stochastic Control and Stochastic Programming. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2015.
- Benjamin Heymann, Michel De Lara, and Jean-Philippe Chancelier. Kuhn's equivalence theorem for games in product form. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 135:220–240, 2022. ISSN 0899-8256. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2022.06.006.
- H. S. Witsenhausen. On information structures, feedback and causality. *SIAM J. Control*, 9(2): 149–160, May 1971.
- H. S. Witsenhausen. The intrinsic model for discrete stochastic control: Some open problems. In A. Bensoussan and J. L. Lions, editors, *Control Theory, Numerical Methods and Computer Systems Modelling*, volume 107 of *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*, pages 322–335. Springer-Verlag, 1975.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Example: Don Juan wants to get married

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨー うへの

Don Juan wants to get married

Player Don Juan p is considering giving a phone call to his ex-lovers q, r (players), asking them if they want to marry him

▶ Don Juan selects one of his ex-lovers in the set $\{q, r\}$ and phones her

- If the answer to the first phone call is "yes", Don Juan marries the first called ex-lover (and decides not to give a second phone call)
- If the answer to the first phone call is "no", Don Juan makes a second phone call to the remaining ex-lover
- In that case, the remaining ex-lover answers "yes" or "no"

Agents, decisions, players

Four agents partitioned in three players

$$A = \{ \overbrace{p_1, p_2}^{\text{Don Juan } p \text{ ex-lover } q}, \overbrace{q}^{\text{ex-lover } r} \}$$

because player Don Juan p makes decisions at possibly two occasions, hence has two executive agents p₁, p₂
No Nature, but finite decisions sets

$$\mathcal{U}_{p_1} = \{q,r\} \ , \ \ \mathcal{U}_{p_2} = \{q,r,\partial\} \ , \ \ \mathcal{U}_q = \{Y,N\} \ , \ \ \mathcal{U}_r = \{Y,N\}$$

- Agent p_1 selects an ex-lover in the set $U_{p_1} = \{q, r\}$ and phones her
- Agent p_2 either stops (decision ∂) or selects an ex-lover in $\{q, r\}$
- Agents q, r either say "yes" or "no", hence select a decision in the set {Y, N}
- ► The finite decisions sets $U_{p_1}, U_{p_2}, U_q, U_r$ are equipped with the complete finite σ -fields $\mathfrak{U}_{p_1} = 2^{\mathcal{U}_{p_1}}, \mathfrak{U}_{p_2} = 2^{\mathcal{U}_{p_2}}, \mathfrak{U}_q = 2^{\mathcal{U}_q}, \mathfrak{U}_r = 2^{\mathcal{U}_r}$

Information structure: Don Juan

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{U}_{p_1} imes \mathcal{U}_{p_2} imes \mathcal{U}_{q} imes \mathcal{U}_{r}$$

When agent Don Juan p₁ makes the first phone call, he knows nothing, represented by his trivial information field

 $\mathfrak{I}_{p_1} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{p_1}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{p_2}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_q\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_r\}$

The agent Don Juan p₂ remembers who Don Juan p₁ called first, and knows the answer, which is represented by his information field



Information structure: ex-lovers

If ex-lover q receives a phone call from Don Juan, she does not know if she was called first or second, hence she cannot distinguish the elements in the set



so that her information field is

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I}_{q} &= \{\emptyset, \underbrace{\{(q,q), (q,r), (q,\partial), (r,q)\}}_{\text{called}}, \underbrace{\{(r,r), (r,\partial)\}}_{\text{not called}}, \mathcal{U}_{p_{1}} \times \mathcal{U}_{p_{2}}\} \\ &\otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{q}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_{r}\} \end{split}$$

• Conversely, ex-lover r is equipped with the σ -field $\Im_r = \{\emptyset, \{(r, r), (r, q), (r, \partial), (q, r)\}, \{(q, q), (q, \partial)\}, \mathcal{U}_{p_1} \times \mathcal{U}_{p_2}\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_q\} \otimes \{\emptyset, \mathcal{U}_r\}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

A causal but nonsequential system

If Don Juan p_1 calls ex-lover q first, the agents play in the following order

$$p_1
ightarrow q
ightarrow p_2
ightarrow r$$

and conversely

Configuration space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{U}_{p_1} imes \mathcal{U}_{p_2} imes \mathcal{U}_{q} imes \mathcal{U}_{r}$$

Configuration space partition

$$\mathcal{H}_{q} = \{q\} imes \mathcal{U}_{p_{2}} imes \mathcal{U}_{q} imes \mathcal{U}_{r} , \ \mathcal{H}_{r} = \{r\} imes \mathcal{U}_{p_{2}} imes \mathcal{U}_{q} imes \mathcal{U}_{r}$$

A non constant history-ordering mapping is

$$\varphi: \mathcal{H} \to \{(p_1, q, p_2, r), (p_1, r, p_2, q)\}$$

such that

$$\varphi_{|\mathcal{H}_q} \equiv (p_1, q, p_2, r), \ \varphi_{|\mathcal{H}_r} \equiv (p_1, r, p_2, q)$$