

UPMC–M2R Parcours ANEDP
 Discontinuous Galerkin Methods and Applications
 Exam – 13 April 2015

The exam lasts three hours. The only authorized document is, as announced in class, one A4 sheet of paper with hand-written annotations (recto and verso).

Important. Write on **separate sheets** your answers for Part A and Part B.

1 Part A

1.1 Error analysis (3 pts)

a) Consider the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ a(u, w) = \ell(w), \quad \forall w \in W, \end{cases}$$

where V and W are Hilbert spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_V$ and $\|\cdot\|_W$, a a bounded bilinear form on $V \times W$ and ℓ a bounded linear form on W . Specify the necessary and sufficient conditions for this problem to be well-posed.

b) Consider a finite-dimensional space V_h and the discrete problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_h \in V_h \text{ such that} \\ a_h(u_h, w_h) = \ell(w_h), \quad \forall w_h \in V_h. \end{cases}$$

What does it mean that the approximation is consistent ?

c) Assume there is $C_{\text{sta}} > 0$ such that

$$\forall v_h \in V_h, \quad C_{\text{sta}} \|v_h\| \leq \sup_{w_h \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{a_h(v_h, w_h)}{\|w_h\|},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm defined on $V_{(h)} := V + V_h$, and there is C_{bnd} such that

$$\forall (v, w_h) \in V_{(h)} \times V_h, \quad |a_h(v, w_h)| \leq C_{\text{bnd}} \|v\| \|w_h\|.$$

Assuming consistency, state and prove an error estimate.

1.2 Advection-reaction (3 pts)

Consider the steady advection-reaction equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u + \beta \cdot \nabla u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega^-, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)^d$ with $\mu - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \beta \geq \mu_0 > 0$ and $\partial\Omega^- = \{x \in \partial\Omega; \beta \cdot n(x) < 0\}$.

- a) Consider the discontinuous Galerkin approximation using upwind fluxes and the broken polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Specify the discrete bilinear form a_h , the right-hand side of the discrete problem, and formulate the discrete problem in local form using fluxes (no proof is required).
- b) Prove that the bilinear form a_h is consistent and coercive for a norm to be specified (assuming the exact solution is smooth enough so that $(\beta \cdot n_F) \llbracket u \rrbracket_F = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^i$).
- c) Give (without proof) a norm (stronger than the coercivity norm) for which the discrete bilinear form a_h is inf-sup stable.

1.3 Diffusion : Symmetric Interior Penalty (4 pts)

- a) Consider the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w = \int_{\Omega} f w, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Write the Symmetric Interior Penalty bilinear form to be used to approximate this problem on the broken polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h)$, $k \geq 1$.

- b) In which norm do we have coercivity and what is the condition on the penalty coefficient (a proof is expected) ?
- c) Devise the local formulation using fluxes (introduce the discrete gradient $G_h^l(u_h)$ with $l \in \{k-1, k\}$).
- d) Consider the discrete flux $\sigma_h = G_h^l(u_h)$. Prove that the pair (σ_h, u_h) solves the following local formulation, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \sigma_h \cdot \zeta - \int_T u_h \nabla \cdot \zeta + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \epsilon_{T,F} \int_F \hat{u}_F (\zeta \cdot n_F) &= 0, \\ - \int_T \sigma_h \cdot \nabla \xi + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \epsilon_{T,F} \int_F (\hat{\sigma}_F \cdot n_F) \xi &= \int_T f \xi, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\zeta \in [\mathbb{P}_d^k(T)]^d$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{P}_d^k(T)$, where the fluxes \hat{u}_F and $\hat{\sigma}_F$ have to be specified.

2 Part B

2.1 Linear transport (2 pts)

We consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}d_t u_h(t) + A_h^{\text{up}} u_h(t) &= 0, \\ u_h(0) &= u_{0,h},\end{aligned}$$

where A_h^{up} denotes the operator associated to the DG-upwind linear form and $u_{0,h}$ is a given function. Find a set of coefficients

$$A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}, \quad b = (b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

such that the Runge–Kutta method

$$\begin{aligned}k_i &= -A_h^{\text{up}} \left(u_h^n + \delta t \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij} k_j \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ u_h^{n+1} &= u_h^n + \delta t \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i k_i,\end{aligned}$$

is explicit and of order 3. Justify your answer.

2.2 Nonlinear conservation laws (2 pts)

a) Recall the definition of a monotone numerical flux and show that the Rusanov flux

$$\Phi_{\text{Rusanov}}(n, u^-, u^+) = \frac{f_n(u^-) + f_n(u^+)}{2} + \sup_{v \in \mathcal{U}} |f'_n(v)| \frac{(u^- - u^+)}{2}$$

is monotone. Give its precise form in the particular case of the linear equation with $f(u) = \beta u$.

b) Recall the definition of the Roe numerical flux and show that it can be written in an equivalent way as

$$\Phi_{\text{Roe}}(n, u^-, u^+) = \begin{cases} f_n(u^+) & \text{if } \frac{f_n(u^+) - f_n(u^-)}{u^+ - u^-} \geq 0, \\ f_n(u^-) & \text{if } \frac{f_n(u^+) - f_n(u^-)}{u^+ - u^-} < 0. \end{cases}$$

2.3 Implementation (2 pts)

a) Explain the advantages and disadvantages of a high-order method with respect to a low-order method.

b) What are the consequences of the choice of the basis functions in the approximation space for an unsteady and a steady problem?

2.4 Stokes equation (4 pts)

a) Let

$$b_h(v_h, q_h) := - \int_{\Omega} q_h \nabla_h \cdot v_h + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h} \int_F \llbracket v_h \rrbracket \cdot n_F \{q_h\}$$

Show the following equality

$$b_h(v_h, q_h) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h q_h \cdot v_h - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^i} \int_F \{v_h\} \cdot n_F \llbracket q_h \rrbracket,$$

for all $v_h \in [\mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h)]^d$ and $q_h \in \mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

b) Let $q_h \in P_h$. We know that there exists $v_{q_h} \in U$ such that $\nabla \cdot v_{q_h} = q_h$ and $\beta_{\Omega} \|v_{q_h}\|_U \leq \|q_h\|_P$ with $\beta_{\Omega} > 0$. Show that

$$\|q_h\|_P^2 = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h q_h \cdot v_{q_h} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^i} \int_F \llbracket q_h \rrbracket v_{q_h} \cdot n_F.$$

c) Then, show that

$$\|q_h\|_P^2 = -b_h(\Pi_h v_{q_h}, q_h) + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^i} \int_F \llbracket q_h \rrbracket \{v_{q_h} - \Pi_h v_{q_h}\} \cdot n_F,$$

where $\Pi_h : [L^2(\Omega)]^d \rightarrow U_h$ is the component-wise $L^2(\Omega)$ -projection such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_h v\|_{\text{vel}} &\leq C_{\Pi} \|v\|_U, \quad \forall v \in U, \\ \|v - \Pi_h v\|_{[L^2(F)]^d} &\leq Ch_T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{[L^2(T)]^{d \times d}}, \quad \forall v \in U, T \in \mathcal{T}_h, F \in \mathcal{F}_T. \end{aligned}$$

d) Finally, show that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\forall q_h \in P_h, \quad \beta \|q_h\|_P \leq \sup_{w_h \in U_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{b_h(w_h, q_h)}{\|w_h\|_{\text{vel}}} + |q_h|_P.$$

Reminder on the notation :

$$\begin{aligned} U &= [H_0^1(\Omega)]^d, \quad P = \{q \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} q = 0\}, \quad U_h = [\mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h)]^d, \quad P_h = \mathbb{P}_d^k(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap P, \\ \|v\|_U^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^d \|v_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \quad \|q\|_P = \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ \|v_h\|_{\text{vel}}^2 &= \|\nabla_h v_h\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^{d \times d}}^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h} h_F^{-1} \|\llbracket v_h \rrbracket\|_{[L^2(F)]^d}^2, \quad |q_h|_P^2 = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^i} h_F \|\llbracket v_h \rrbracket\|_{L^2(F)}^2 \end{aligned}$$