## Polynomial liftings in $H^1$ and H(div)

Alexandre Ern and Martin Vohralík

**CERMICS** (Ecole des Ponts) and INRIA Paris, France

CAM Colloquium, Penn State, 06/10/2020

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

## Outline

- 1. (Motivation) A posteriori error estimates
- 2. Main results on  $H^1$  and H(div) polynomial liftings
- 3. Main ingredients of proofs
- 4. Numerical results (with V. Dolejší, CU Prague)
- Global H(div) polynomial liftings (with I. Smears, UC London)
- Local/global best-approximations in H(div) (with T. Gudi, IIT Bangalore, and I. Smears, UC London)



## A posteriori error estimates

• Model problem in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with data  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ 

$$u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$$
 s.t.  $(
abla u, 
abla v)_\Omega = (f, v)_\Omega$ ,  $orall v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ 

- ▶  $H_0^1$ -conforming FEM solution  $u_h$  on a simplicial mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h$
- Many ways to obtain a computable global upper bound

$$\|
abla(u-u_h)\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K^2$$

**Local** indicators  $\eta_K$  are **lower bounds**, up to data oscillation

$$\eta_{\mathcal{K}} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff}} \| 
abla (u - u_h) \|_{\omega_{\mathcal{K}}} + \mathrm{osc}(f, \omega_{\mathcal{K}})$$

Pioneered by [Babuška, Rheinbolt 78]; recent textbook [Verfürth 13]

- foundation bricks for adaptivity and optimality of AFEM [Nochetto, Veeser, Stevenson, ...]
- classical technique to compute the  $\eta_{\kappa}$ 's is residual-based
- drawback: upper bound features an undetermined constant

 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusions

 0●000000000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <t

## Equilibrated flux reconstruction

- Exact flux  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} := -\nabla u \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  s.t.  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = f$  (equilibrium)
- What is equilibrated flux reconstruction?

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \qquad (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, 1)_{\mathcal{K}} = (f, 1)_{\mathcal{K}}, \ \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$ 

Note that  $-\nabla u_h \not\in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  and  $(\Delta u_h, 1)_K \neq (f, 1)_K$ 

► Setting  $\eta_{\mathrm{F},K} := \|\nabla u_h + \sigma_h\|_K$  and  $\eta_{\mathrm{osc},K} := \frac{h_K}{\pi} \|f - \nabla \cdot \sigma_h\|_K$ ,

$$\|
abla(u-u_h)\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \left(\underbrace{\eta_{\mathrm{F},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{osc},K}}_{=:\eta_K}
ight)^2$$

The upper bound is guaranteed (no undetermined constant)

- (higher-order) oscillation term also appears in upper bound
- Literature: hypercircle method [Prager, Synge 47]; computational mechanics [Ladevèze et al. 75]; textbooks [Ainsworth, Oden 00; Repin 08]

A posteriori estimates 0000000000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

## A simple proof

• Residual  $\rho(u_h) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  s.t.

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho(u_h), \varphi \rangle_{\Omega} &:= (f, \varphi)_{\Omega} - (\nabla u_h, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}, \ \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \\ \| \nabla (u - u_h) \|_{\Omega} &= \| \rho(u_h) \|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} = \sup_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega); \, \| \nabla \varphi \|_{\Omega} = 1} \langle \rho(u_h), \varphi \rangle_{\Omega} \end{split}$$

• Introduce  $H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ -flux and use Green's formula

$$\langle \rho(u_h), \varphi \rangle_{\Omega} = (f - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, \varphi)_{\Omega} - (\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}$$

Cauchy–Schwarz and Poincaré–Steklov inequalities (equilibration)

$$\begin{aligned} |(f - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}, \varphi)_{\Omega}| &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |(f - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}, \varphi - \overline{\varphi}_{K})_{K}| \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \frac{h_{K}}{\pi} ||f - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}||_{K} ||\nabla \varphi||_{K} \\ |(\nabla u_{h} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}| &\leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} ||\nabla u_{h} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}||_{K} ||\nabla \varphi||_{K} \end{aligned}$$

Poincaré (1894) [eigenvalue pb], Steklov (1897) [d = 1], Payne, Weinberger (60) [d = 2], Bebendorf (03)  $[d \ge 3]$ 



## How to build $\sigma_h$ ?

Global flux equilibration on a global Raviart–Thomas space V<sub>h</sub> ⊂ H(div, Ω)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h := \underset{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h, \, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h = \Pi_{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h)} f}{\arg \min} \| \nabla u_h + \mathbf{v}_h \|_{\Omega} \qquad (\dots \text{ expensive})$$

Cheap local flux equilibration by working on FE stars

- ▶  $T_{a} \subset T_{h}$ : patch of cells sharing vertex  $a \in V_{h}$ ; local domain  $\omega_{a}$
- ▶ build locally  $\sigma_h^a \in V_h^a \subset H(\operatorname{div}, \omega_a)$  (local Raviart–Thomas FE space)
- then set  $\sigma_h := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} \sigma_h^{\mathbf{a}}$
- how to prescribe the divergence and the BC's for  $\sigma_h^a$ ?



# Local flux equilibration on FE stars

- ▶ Local PU by hat basis functions  $\{\psi_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{V}_K} \psi_a|_K = 1$ )
- We focus for simplicity on FE stars around interior vertices
  - on the boundary, equilibration depends on BC's for model problem
- ▶ Raviart–Thomas space  $V_h^a \subset H_0(\text{div}, \omega_a)$  (zero normal comp. on  $\partial \omega_a$ )

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}} &:= \mathop{\arg\min}_{\mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}}, \, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} = \boldsymbol{g}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}}} \|\psi_{\mathbf{a}} \nabla u_{h} + \mathbf{v}_{h}\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} &:= \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_{h}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{split}$$

with data  $g_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \prod_{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}})} (f \psi_{\mathbf{a}}) - \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla \psi_{\mathbf{a}}$ 

- $\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{V}_{K}}g_{h}^{\mathbf{a}}=\Pi_{(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{V}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}})}f$  (PU),  $(g_{h}^{\mathbf{a}},1)_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}=0$  (Galerkin orthogonality)
- Literature: [Babuška, Miller 87, Destuynder, Métivet 99; Braess, Schöberl 08; MV 08; AE, MV 10]

A posteriori estimates



## Is local efficiency *p*-robust?

- Let p be the polynomial degree used to compute u<sub>h</sub>
- Use RT spaces of order p for local flux equilibration

► Key result: Local lower error bound  $(\omega_K = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{V}_K} \omega_a)$ 

 $\eta_{\mathrm{F},\mathcal{K}} = \|\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff}} \|\nabla (u - u_h)\|_{\omega_{\mathcal{K}}} + \mathrm{osc}(f,\omega_{\mathcal{K}})$ 

- C<sub>eff</sub> depends on patch geometry (mesh regularity)
   C<sub>eff</sub> is *p*-robust for d = 2 [Braess, Pillwein, Schöberl 09]
   C<sub>eff</sub> is *p*-robust for d = 3 [AE, MV 19]
- ... in contrast to residual-based estimators where C<sub>eff</sub> depends on p [Melenk, Wohlmuth 01]

A posteriori estimates		Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings	Local/global best	
0000000000				

## A two-step proof

- ▶ Two-step proof using  $\infty$ -dimensional, local problems
  - replaces classical bubble-function argument by Verfürth
  - see [AE, MV 15]
- We have  $C_{\text{eff}} = C_{\text{st}} C_{\text{PS}}$ 
  - $C_{\rm st}$  results from *p*-robust stability properties of RT spaces
  - C<sub>PS</sub> results from Poincaré–Steklov inequalities on FE stars [Carstensen, Funken 00; Veeser, Verfürth 12]

A posteriori estimates 0000000●000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

## Step 1

The local LS minimization we perform is

$$oldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{a}}_h := rgmin_{oldsymbol{v}_h \in oldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{a}}_h, \, 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{v}_h = g^{\mathsf{a}}_h} \| oldsymbol{ au}^{\mathsf{a}}_h + oldsymbol{v}_h \|_{\omega_{\mathsf{a}}}$$

with data  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \psi_{\mathbf{a}} \nabla u_h$  and  $\mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{div}, \omega_{\mathbf{a}}) =: \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{a}}$ 

▶ Auxiliary problem (∞-dimensional, still polynomial data)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{a}} := \arg\min_{\mathsf{v}\in\mathsf{V}^{\mathsf{a}},\,\nabla\cdot\mathsf{v}=\boldsymbol{g}_{b}^{\mathsf{a}}} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{b}^{\mathsf{a}}+\mathsf{v}\|_{\omega_{\mathsf{a}}}$$

• We need to prove the following (nontrivial!) result  $\Rightarrow$  [AE, MV 19]

 $\| oldsymbol{ au}_h^{\mathtt{a}} + oldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathtt{a}} \|_{\omega_{\mathtt{a}}} \leq C_{\mathrm{st}} \| oldsymbol{ au}_h^{\mathtt{a}} + oldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathtt{a}} \|_{\omega_{\mathtt{a}}}$ 

Note the (trivial!) converse bound  $\| au_h^{a} + \sigma^{a} \|_{\omega_{a}} \leq \| au_h^{a} + \sigma_h^{a} \|_{\omega_{a}}$ 

▶ Then, since  $(\nabla u_h + \sigma_h)|_{\kappa} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_{\kappa}} (\tau_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \sigma_h^{\mathbf{a}})|_{\kappa}$  by local PU, we have

$$\|\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{K} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_{K}} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathbf{a}}\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \leq C_{\mathrm{st}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_{K}} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{a}}\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}$$

A posteriori estimates	Main results 0000000		Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000					
Step 2									
► Evaluate $\   au_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \sigma^{\mathbf{a}} \ _{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}$ using equivalent problem in primal form									
•	$r^{a} \in H^1_*(\omega_{a})$	$= \{ v \in$	$H^1(\omega_{a}) \mid (v)$	$(,1)_{\omega_{a}}=0\}$ s.t.					
	$(\nabla r^{a})$	$,\nabla v)_{\omega_{a}}$	$=-(oldsymbol{ au}_h^{\mathbf{a}}, abla oldsymbol{ u})$	$(y)_{\omega_{a}} + (g_{h}^{a}, v)_{\omega_{a}}$	$orall \mathbf{v} \in H^1_*(\omega_{a})$				
Equivalence of primal/dual energies									
	$\  au$	$\sigma_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \sigma^{\mathbf{a}} \ _{\sigma}$	$\omega_{a} = \min_{v \in V^{a},   abla}$	$\sum_{\mathbf{v}=g_h^{\mathbf{a}}} \ oldsymbol{ au}_h^{\mathbf{a}}+oldsymbol{ extbf{v}}\ _{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}=$	$\ \nabla r^{a}\ _{\omega_{a}}$				
► We ł	nave [recall a	$r_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \psi_{\mathbf{a}} \nabla$	$\nabla u_h, g_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \Pi$	$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}})(f\psi_{\mathbf{a}}) - \nabla u_h$	$\nabla \psi_{a}$ ]				
$(\nabla r^{\mathbf{a}}, \nabla v)_{\omega}$	$\psi_{a} = (f, \psi_{a} v)$ = $(\nabla (u - v))$	$(\nabla_{\omega_a} - (\nabla_{\omega_a} - v)))$	$ abla u_h \cdot  abla \psi_a, w_h \cdot  abla \psi_a, w_h \cdot  abla \psi_b$	$(\psi)_{\omega_{a}} - (\nabla u_{h}, \psi_{a} \nabla \psi_{a})$ $\operatorname{osc}(f, \omega_{a})$	$(v_{\omega_a} + \operatorname{osc}(f, f))$	$\omega_{a})$			
Since	$\  abla(\psi_{a}\mathbf{v})\ $	$ _{\omega_{a}} \leq (1$	$+ C_{\mathrm{PS},\omega_{a}}h$	$\ \nabla\psi_{a}\ _{L^{\infty}(\omega_{a})})$	$\  abla v\ _{\omega_{a}}$ , we get	et			
	$\ oldsymbol{ au}_h^{\mathbf{a}}$ -	$+ \sigma^{a} \ _{\omega_{a}}$	$\leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{PS}} \   abla ($	$(u-u_h)\ _{\omega_a}+\cos(u-u_h)\ _{\omega_a}$	$c(f, \omega_{a})$				
with	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{PS}} := max_{a}$	$\in \mathcal{V}_h(1+q)$	$C_{\mathrm{PS},\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}h_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}\  abla$	$\ \psi_{\mathbf{a}}\ _{L^{\infty}(\omega_{\mathbf{a}})})$					

#### 

# Nonconforming case $(u_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega))$

- Error measure w.r.t. broken gradient  $\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}$  of discrete solution
- Additional nonconformity estimator in error upper bound
- $H_0^1$ -potential reconstruction  $s_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

• Setting 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := \|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}(u_h - s_h)\|$$
,

$$\|
abla_{\mathcal{T}}(u-u_h)\|^2 \leq \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\eta_{\mathrm{F},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{osc},K})^2 + \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K})^2$$

• Typically,  $s_h$  is built by prescribing its nodal values as averages

- see [Achdou, Bernardi, Coquel 03; Karakashian, Pascal, 03] for Crouzeix–Raviart and IPDG residual-based estimates
- In not p-robust [Burman, AE 07; Houston, Schötzau, Wihler 07]



## Potential reconstruction

#### ▶ Local FEM solves of order (p + 1) on vertex-based patches

 $\blacktriangleright \ s_h^{\mathbf{a}} \in P^{p+1}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap H^1_0(\omega_{\mathbf{a}})$ 

• set 
$$s_h := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} s_h^{\mathbf{a}}$$

- p-robust local efficiency proved in [AE, MV 15] in 2D
  - assuming  $\langle \llbracket u_h \rrbracket, 1 \rangle_F = 0$ ,

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC}, \mathsf{K}} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{K}}} \| \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} (u - u_h) \|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}, \qquad C_{\mathrm{eff}} = C_{\mathrm{st}} C_{\mathrm{bPS}}$$

- two-step proof as above (no oscillation here)
- 1st step: mixed RT solve of order p for rotated gradient of s<sup>a</sup><sub>h</sub>
- 2nd step: broken PS inequalities, see also [Carstensen, Merdon 13]
- ▶ jump seminorm added to error and estimator if  $\langle \llbracket u_h \rrbracket, 1 \rangle_F \neq 0$
- use discrete gradient for DG (instead of broken gdt); broken PS and jump seminorm can be avoided for symmetric IPDG [AE, MV 15]

• How about 3D? 
$$\implies$$
 [AE, MV 19]

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results ●000000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

#### Main results [AE, MV 19]

▶ 3D, *p*-robust  $H^1$  and H(div) polynomial reconstructions

- **Thm.** 1 *H*<sup>1</sup>-stable lifting for potentials
- Thm. 2 H(div)-stable lifting for fluxes

Our proofs are constructive (as 2D proofs)

- ▶ e.g., build  $\tilde{\sigma}_h^a \in \mathbf{V}_h^a$  s.t.  $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_h^a = g_h^a$ ,  $\|\tau_h^a + \tilde{\sigma}_h^a\|_{\omega_a} \leq C_{\mathrm{st}} \|\tau_h^a + \sigma^a\|_{\omega_a}$
- ► then  $\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathsf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathsf{a}}\|_{\omega_{\mathsf{a}}} \leq \|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathsf{a}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h^{\mathsf{a}}\|_{\omega_{\mathsf{a}}} \leq C_{\mathrm{st}}\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathsf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{a}}\|_{\omega_{\mathsf{a}}}$

#### Main challenges

- how to enumerate tetrahedra in 3D star (triangles in 2D star are enumerated by circling around the vertex)
- need to work with potentials (and not with rotated gradients)
- ▶ We focus for simplicity on FE stars around interior vertices
  - adaptations for BC's discussed in [AE, MV 19]

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0●00000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

## Some notation

▶  $T_a \subset T_h$ : FE star (cells sharing vertex  $a \in V_h$ ); local domain  $\omega_a$ 

▶  $\mathcal{F}_{a} = \mathcal{F}_{a}^{s} \cup \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b}$ : faces of the elements in the star  $\mathcal{T}_{a}$ 

(2D) skeletal faces  $\mathcal{F}_{a}^{s}$ (2D) boundary faces  $\mathcal{F}_{a}^{b}$ 



▶ Broken  $H^1$ - and  $H(\operatorname{div})$ -spaces (broken gradient  $\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}$ )

$$H^{1}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) := \{ v \in L^{2}(\omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \mid v \mid_{\mathcal{K}} \in H^{1}(\mathcal{K}) \; \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \}$$

 $\mathsf{H}(\mathrm{div},\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{a}}) := \{ \mathsf{v} \in \mathsf{L}^{2}(\omega_{\mathsf{a}}) \mid \mathsf{v}|_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathsf{H}(\mathrm{div},\mathcal{K}) \ \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{a}} \}$ 

Broken polynomial subspaces

$$\begin{split} P^{p}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) &:= \{ \mathbf{v} \in L^{2}(\omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \mid \mathbf{v}|_{K} \in \mathbb{P}^{p}(K) \; \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \} \\ RT^{p}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) &:= \{ \mathbf{v} \in L^{2}(\omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \mid \mathbf{v}|_{K} \in \mathbb{RT}^{p}K) \; \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \} \end{split}$$



## Some notation (cont'd)

- ▶ In 3D, a FE star  $\omega_a$  is homeomorphic to a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$
- We can look at the star boundary  $\partial \omega_{\mathbf{a}}$ 
  - ▶ the traces of the tetrahedra in  $\mathcal{T}_{a}$  form a triangulation of  $\partial \omega_{a}$

every triangle is a boundary face  $F \in \mathcal{F}_a^b$ every edge is the trace of a skeletal face  $F \in \mathcal{F}_a^s$ every point is the trace of a skeletal edge  $e \in \mathcal{E}_a$ 



#### Orientation

- every skeletal face is oriented so as to define a jump across it
- every skeletal edge is oriented so as to circle around it
- ▶ incidence coefficients  $\iota_{F,e} = \pm 1$ , for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}_e$  and  $e \in \mathcal{E}_a$

A posteriori estimates Main results Proofs Illustrations Global H(div)-liftings Local/global best Conclusions

## $H^1$ -stable polynomial lifting

• Let  $p \ge 1$ 

▶ Polynomial data  $r_F \in \mathbb{P}^p(F)$ ,  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^s$  and  $r_F \equiv 0$ ,  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^b$ 

Assume the compatibility conditions

$$r_F|_{F\cap\partial\omega_{\mathbf{a}}} = 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathbf{a}}, \qquad \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{e}} \iota_{F,e}r_F|_{e} = 0 \ \forall e\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{a}}$$

Then,

$$\min_{\substack{v_h \in \mathcal{P}^{\rho}(\mathcal{T}_a) \\ v_h = 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^{\mathsf{b}} \\ [v_h] = r_F \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^{\mathsf{b}} } \| \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v_h \|_{\omega_a} \le C_{\mathrm{st}} \min_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{T}_a) \\ v = 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^{\mathsf{b}} \\ [v_h] = r_F \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_a^{\mathsf{b}} } \| \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v \|_{\omega_a}$$

with p-robust constant  $C_{\rm st}$  only depending on mesh regularity

 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusions

 000000000
 00000000
 000
 0000
 0000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <t

**H**(div)-stable polynomial lifting

• Let  $p \ge 0$ 

▶ Polynomial data  $r_{K} \in \mathbb{P}^{p}(K)$ ,  $\forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{a}$  and  $r_{F} \in \mathbb{P}^{p}(F)$ ,  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}$ 

Assume the compatibility condition

$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_a}(r_K,1)_K-\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_a}(r_F,1)_F=0$$

Then,

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{RT}^{P}(\mathcal{T}_{a}) \\ \mathbf{v}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} = r_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b} \\ \llbracket \mathbf{v}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \rrbracket = r_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b} \\ \llbracket \mathbf{v}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \rrbracket = r_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b} \\ \llbracket \mathbf{v}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \rrbracket = r_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b} \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \lvert_{K} = r_{K} \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{a} \\ \hline \end{array} } \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \rrbracket \\ \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \rrbracket = r_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{b} \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \lvert_{K} = r_{K} \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{a} \\ \hline \end{array} \right]$$

with p-robust constant  $C_{\rm st}$  only depending on mesh regularity

 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusions

 0000000000
 0000000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <

## Shifted reformulation: potential

• Let  $\xi_h^a \in P^p(\mathcal{T}_a)$  be any function from the minimization set

$$\xi_h^{\mathbf{a}} = r_F \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}}, \qquad \llbracket \xi_h^{\mathbf{a}} \rrbracket = r_F \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{s}}$$

An equivalent reformulation of Thm. 1 is

$$\min_{\nu_h \in \frac{P^p(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap H_0^1(\omega_{\mathbf{a}})}} \|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi_h^{\mathbf{a}} - \nu_h)\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \le C_{\mathrm{st}} \min_{v \in \frac{H_0^1(\omega_{\mathbf{a}})}} \|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi_h^{\mathbf{a}} - v)\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}$$

• Application to a posteriori error analysis:  $\xi_h^a := \psi_a u_h$  and

$$r_{\mathsf{F}} := 0 \,\,\forall \mathsf{F} \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathbf{a}}, \qquad r_{\mathsf{F}} := \psi_{\mathbf{a}}\llbracket u_{\mathbf{h}} \rrbracket \,\,\forall \mathsf{F} \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathbf{a}}$$

The compatibility conditions  $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_e} \iota_{F,e} r_F|_e = 0$ ,  $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}_a$ , follow from algebraic properties of jump operator

A posteriori estimates Main results Proofs Illustrations Global H(div)-liftings Local/global best Conclusions

# Shifted reformulation: flux

• Let 
$$au_h^{\mathsf{a}} \in \boldsymbol{RT}^p(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{a}})$$
 be any function s.t.

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}^{\mathsf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} = r_{F} \,\,\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{b}}, \qquad \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}^{\mathsf{a}} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} = r_{F} \,\,\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{s}}$$

An equivalent reformulation of Thm. 2 is

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{RT}^p(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{div},\omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h|_{\mathcal{K}} = r_{\mathcal{K}} - \nabla \cdot \tau_h^a|_{\mathcal{K}} \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}} \| \tau_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{v}_h \|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \le C_{\mathrm{st}} \min_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{div},\omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{K}} = r_{\mathcal{K}} - \nabla \cdot \tau_h^a|_{\mathcal{K}} \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}}} \| \tau_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{v} \|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}$$

▶ Application to a posteriori error analysis:  $\tau_h^a := \psi_a \nabla_T u_h$  and

$$\begin{split} r_{F} &:= 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \qquad r_{F} := \psi_{\mathbf{a}} \llbracket \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u_{h} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathrm{s}} \\ r_{K} &:= \psi_{\mathbf{a}} (f + \Delta_{\mathcal{T}} u_{h}) \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \quad (f \text{ pcw. polynomial}) \end{split}$$

The compatibility condition  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_a} (r_K, 1)_K - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_a} (r_F, 1)_F = 0$  is nothing but Galerkin's orthogonality on the hat basis function  $\psi_a$ 



## Main ingredients of proofs

On a **fixed** tetrahedron  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{a}$ , we can

- Lift the prescribed divergence of the flux using [Costabel, McIntosh 10] (valid in any space dimension)
- Lift prescribed polynomials for the flux normal component using [Demkowicz, Gopalakrishnan, Schöberl 12] (proved for d = 3) (a compatibility condition is required if the prescription is on all faces)
- Lift prescribed compatible polynomials for the potential trace using [DGS 09] (proved for d = 3)

We are left with the *p*-robust lifting of the prescribed jumps ... but this requires a careful **enumeration of the tetrahedra in the star** 

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000	Proofs O●O	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

## 2D enumeration

- Circle around the interior vertex a
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $K_1$ : do nothing
  - $K_n$ : fix jump on face touching  $K_{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \{2...4\}$
  - ► K<sub>5</sub>: fix last two jumps (possible owing to compatibility condition)





## 3D enumeration: shellability

- Let  $\mathcal{T}_a$  be a star of tetrahedra around the interior vertex **a**
- Consider the triangulation of the sphere S<sup>(2)</sup> ⊂ ℝ<sup>3</sup> with same connectivity
- Can we enumerate surface triangles s.t. ∪<sub>j≤i</sub> T<sub>j</sub><sup>(2)</sup> remains connected for all *i*?
- The notion of shellability of polytopes shows that this is possible [Ziegler, Lectures on Polytopes, Chap. 8, Springer, 2006]
- Enumerate patch tetrahedra following surface triangle enumeration and, for each  $K_i \in T_a$ , fix jump on the skeletal faces of  $K_i$  touching any tetrahedron  $K_j$  for j < i

	Illustrations	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings	Local/global best	
	0000			

## Numerical results

- Smooth analytical solution in  $\Omega = (0,1)^2$ 
  - $u(x_1, x_2) = \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2)$
- Uniformly refined, non-nested unstructured triangulations
- Discretization by symmetric IPDG method
  - ▶ asymptotic exactness observed for pol. degrees  $p \in \{1...6\}$
  - similar results for incomplete version of IPDG
  - slightly larger effectivity indices for nonsymmetric version and even p

## Errors, estimators, effectivity indices

h / h <sub>0</sub>	р	$\ \nabla e\ $	j(e)	$\ \nabla e\  + j(e)$	$\eta_{\rm F}$	$\eta_{ m osc}$	$\eta_{\rm NC}$	η	$\eta + j(u_h)$	1 <sup>eff</sup>	$I_j^{\text{eff}}$
1	1	1.07E-00	1.92E-01	1.09E-00	1.12E-00	5.55E-02	4.16E-01	1.25E-00	1.26E-00	1.17	1.16
1/2	1	5.56E-01	7.28E-02	5.61E-01	5.71E-01	7.42E-03	1.82E-01	6.07E-01	6.11E-01	1.09	1.09
1/4	1	2.92E-01	2.82E-02	2.93E-01	2.96E-01	1.04E-03	8.77E-02	3.10E-01	3.11E-01	1.06	1.06
1/8	1	1.39E-01	9.19E-03	1.39E-01	1.40E-01	1.10E-04	3.85E-02	1.45E-01	1.45E-01	1.04	1.04
1	2	1.54E-01	1.76E-02	1.55E-01	1.55E-01	5.10E-03	3.05E-02	1.63E-01	1.64E-01	1.06	1.06
1/2	2	4.07E-02	4.66E-03	4.09E-02	4.13E-02	3.53E-04	7.55E-03	4.23E-02	4.26E-02	1.04	1.04
1/4	2	1.10E-02	1.26E-03	1.11E-02	1.12E-02	2.51E-05	1.97E-03	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.03	1.03
1/8	2	2.50E-03	2.90E-04	2.52E-03	2.54E-03	1.30E-06	4.21E-04	2.57E-03	2.59E-03	1.03	1.03
1	3	1.37E-02	3.96E-04	1.37E-02	1.37E-02	3.58E-04	1.74E-03	1.41E-02	1.41E-02	1.03	1.03
1/2	3	1.85E-03	4.53E-05	1.85E-03	1.85E-03	1.26E-05	2.10E-04	1.88E-03	1.88E-03	1.01	1.01
1/4	3	2.60E-04	4.79E-06	2.60E-04	2.60E-04	4.73E-07	2.54E-05	2.62E-04	2.62E-04	1.01	1.01
1/8	3	2.75E-05	3.75E-07	2.75E-05	2.75E-05	1.15E-08	2.55E-06	2.76E-05	2.76E-05	1.01	1.01
1	4	9.87E-04	2.95E-05	9.87E-04	9.84E-04	2.12E-05	1.11E-04	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	1.02	1.02
1/2	4	6.92E-05	2.06E-06	6.93E-05	6.92E-05	3.96E-07	7.44E-06	7.00E-05	7.00E-05	1.01	1.01
1/4	4	5.04E-06	1.42E-07	5.04E-06	5.04E-06	7.58E-09	4.98E-07	5.07E-06	5.07E-06	1.01	1.01
1/8	4	2.58E-07	7.61E-09	2.59E-07	2.58E-07	8.96E-11	2.47E-08	2.60E-07	2.60E-07	1.01	1.01
1	5	5.64E-05	6.76E-07	5.64E-05	5.63E-05	1.06E-06	4.50E-06	5.75E-05	5.75E-05	1.02	1.02
1/2	5	2.01E-06	2.18E-08	2.01E-06	2.01E-06	9.88E-09	1.46E-07	2.03E-06	2.03E-06	1.01	1.01
1/4	5	7.74E-08	6.04E-10	7.74E-08	7.73E-08	1.01E-10	4.35E-09	7.76E-08	7.76E-08	1.00	1.00
1/8	5	1.86E-09	1.18E-11	1.86E-09	1.86E-09	1.70E-12	1.00E-10	1.86E-09	1.86E-09	1.00	1.00
1	6	2.85E-06	3.70E-08	2.85E-06	2.85E-06	4.70E-08	2.18E-07	2.90E-06	2.90E-06	1.02	1.02
1/2	6	5.42E-08	6.78E-10	5.42E-08	5.42E-08	2.40E-10	4.02E-09	5.46E-08	5.46E-08	1.01	1.01
1/4	6	1.07E-09	1.20E-11	1.07E-09	1.07E-09	1.03E-11	6.90E-11	1.08E-09	1.08E-09	1.01	1.01

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 00●0	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 00000	

# hp-adaptivity

- Nested simplicial meshes allowing for hanging nodes
- Extension of reconstruction procedures to hanging nodes
   only matching refinement of individual patches is needed
- Bulk chasing criterion based on local p-robust estimators
- hp-refinement decision criteria inspired from [Mitchell, McClain 14]
- Algebraic convergence w.r.t. dof's observed on several 2D benchmark problems from [Mitchell 13]

	Illustrations	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings	Local/global best	
	0000			

#### Numerical examples

• Re-entrant corner singularity  $(u \in H^{1+t}(\Omega), t < \frac{2}{3})$ 



Multiple difficulties (re-entrant corner, point sing, circular wave)





Alexandre Ern

Polynomial liftings in  $H^1$  and H(div)

		Global $H(div)$ -liftings	
		•oooo	

# Global **H**(div)-liftings

- So far, we devised **local** liftings of polynomial data on **FE stars**
- ▶ We now consider global H(div)-liftings of polynomial data
- One important application is to devise liftings on patches of mesh cells that are (much) larger than a star
- This allows us to remove some theoretical barriers on
  - number of hanging nodes for elliptic problems
  - level of mesh coarsening between time-steps in parabolic problems





A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 0000	Global <b>H</b> (div)-liftings 0●000	

## Main result

- ▶ Lipschitz domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ; boundary partition  $\Gamma = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$
- Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a simplicial mesh of  $\Omega$ , possibly locally refined
- ► **Thm.** Let  $p \ge 1$ ,  $f \in P^{p-1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ ,  $\xi \in RT^{p-1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  (broken spaces) (with  $(f, 1)_{\Omega} = 0$  if  $\Gamma_N = \Gamma$ ). Then,

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{RT}^p(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N}} \| \boldsymbol{\xi} + \mathbf{v}_h \|_{\Omega} \le C_{\mathrm{st}} \min_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N}} \| \boldsymbol{\xi} + \mathbf{v} \|_{\Omega}$$

with *p*-robust constant  $C_{st}$  only depending on mesh regularity (Note that the discrete minimizer is one polynomial order higher than the data)

See [Ainsworth, Guzman, Sayas 16] for zero interior source terms, nonzero boundary traces, and fixed polynomial degree

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000	Illustrations 0000	Global H(div)-liftings 00●00	

#### Main idea in proof

▶ Primal problem with  $H^{-1}$  data

 $u \in H^1_*(\Omega)$  s.t.  $(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega} = (f, v)_{\Omega} - (\xi, \nabla v)_{\Omega}, \forall v \in H^1_*(\Omega)$ 

$$\begin{split} & H^1_*(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid (v,1)_{\Omega} = 0 \} \text{ if } \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}} = \Gamma \\ & H^1_*(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v_{|\Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}} = 0 \} \text{ otherwise} \end{split}$$

Equivalence of primal/dual energies

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)\\\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{v}=f\ \operatorname{in}\ \Omega\\\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}=0\ \operatorname{on}\ \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}}}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}+\mathbf{v}\|_{\Omega} = \max_{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathcal{H}^{1}_{*}(\Omega)} \frac{(f,\boldsymbol{v})_{\Omega}-(\boldsymbol{\xi},\nabla\boldsymbol{v})_{\Omega}}{\|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\Omega}} = \|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\Omega}$$

▶ Need to construct  $\sigma_h \in RT^p(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  with  $\nabla \cdot \sigma_h = f$  in Ω and  $\sigma_h \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_N$  s.t.

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\Omega} \leq C_{\mathrm{st}} \|\nabla u\|_{\Omega}$$

 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusions

 0000000000
 0000000
 000
 0000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <t

## A posteriori error estimate with $H^{-1}$ data

▶ Consider general data  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}^2(\Omega)$ 

$$u \in H^1_*(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega} = (f, v)_{\Omega} - (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla v)_{\Omega}, \ \forall v \in H^1_*(\Omega)$$

- ►  $H^1_*$ -conforming approximation  $u_h \in P^{p'}(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H^1_*(\Omega), p' \ge 1$
- ▶ Equilibrated flux reconstruction  $\sigma_h \in \boldsymbol{RT}^p(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap \boldsymbol{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega), \ p \ge p'$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(u-u_h)\|_{\Omega}^2 &\leq \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{K} + \operatorname{osc}(f, K)\right)^2 \\ \|\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{K} &\leq C_{\operatorname{eff}} \|\nabla(u-u_h)\|_{\omega_{K}} + \operatorname{osc}(f, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \omega_{K}) \end{aligned}$$

•  $\sigma_h$  constructed locally from local **shifted** flux equilibration in  $\mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{RT}^p(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  (+ Neumann BC's)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \argmin_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}}, \, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h = \boldsymbol{g}_h^{\mathbf{a}}} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\omega_{\mathbf{a}}}$$

with data  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(\boldsymbol{\xi} + \nabla u_h), \ g_h^{\mathbf{a}} := \Pi_{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathbf{a}})}(f\psi_{\mathbf{a}} - (\boldsymbol{\xi} + \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \psi_{\mathbf{a}})$ 



## Conclusion of proof

- ▶ Consider polynomial data  $f \in P^{p-1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in RT^{p-1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ ,  $p \ge 1$
- ▶ Consider  $H^1_*$ -conforming FEM approximation with  $1 = p' \leq p$
- The data oscillation term  $osc(f, \xi, \omega_K)$  vanishes so that

$$\|
abla u_h + \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\Omega} \leq C_{ ext{eff}} \|
abla (u - u_h)\|_{\Omega}$$

• Combined with the basic bound  $\|\nabla u_h\|_{\Omega} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{\Omega}$ , we conclude that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\Omega} \leq \|\nabla u_h + \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\Omega} + \|\nabla u_h\|_{\Omega} \leq (2C_{\text{eff}} + 1)\|\nabla u\|_{\Omega}$$

Local/global best-approximation in H(div)

• Let  $p \ge 0$  and set for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{0,\Gamma_N}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ ,

$$E_{\mathcal{T}_{h},p}(\mathbf{v})]^{2} := \min_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathcal{RTN}_{p}(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{0,\Gamma_{N}}(\operatorname{div};\Omega) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} = \Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})}} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left[\frac{h_{K}}{p+1} \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})\|_{K}\right]^{2}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{e}_{K,p}(\boldsymbol{v})]^2 := \min_{\boldsymbol{v}_K \in \boldsymbol{RTN}_p(K)} \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}_K\|_K^2 + \left\lfloor \frac{h_K}{p+1} \|\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} - \Pi_{\mathcal{T}_h}^p(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v})\|_K \right\rfloor^2 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

► [AE, Gudi, Smears, MV 19] There is *C*, depending on mesh regularity and *p*, s.t. for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{0,\Gamma_N}(\text{div}; \Omega)$ ,

$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \left[e_{\mathcal{K},
ho}(\mathbf{v})
ight]^2 \leq \left[E_{\mathcal{T}_h,
ho}(\mathbf{v})
ight]^2 \leq C\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \left[e_{\mathcal{K},
ho}(\mathbf{v})
ight]^2$$

Remarks

- prescription on the divergence can be included locally
- C becomes p-robust in  $[E_{\mathcal{T}_{h,p}}(\mathbf{v})]^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} [e_{K,p-1}(\mathbf{v})]^2$
- generalizes result for local/global best-approx. in  $H^1$  [Veeser 16]

Local/global best ●00 
 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusions

 0000000000
 0000000000
 0000
 00000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 00000
 0000
 0000

## Optimal *hp*-approximation

► [AE, Gudi, Smears, MV 19] Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,\Gamma_N}(\text{div}; \Omega)$  be pcw. in  $\mathbf{H}^s$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ . There is *C*, only depending on mesh regularity (*C* is *p*-robust), s.t.

$$[E_{\mathcal{T}_{h,P}}(\mathbf{v})]^2 \leq C \bigg\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \Big[ \frac{h_K^{\min(s,p+1)}}{(p+1)^s} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s(K)} \Big]^2 + \Big[ \frac{h_K}{p+1} \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\|_K \Big]^2 \bigg\}$$

Remarks

- ▶ also valid for  $s \ge 1$ , see also [Melenk, Rojik 19]
- improves on [Demkowicz, Buffa 05] by removing logarithmic factor in p and reducing regularity requirments
- application to a priori error analysis for mixed FEM
- see also [AE, Guermond 17] for averaging operators (not p-robust)

 A posteriori estimates
 Main results
 Proofs
 Illustrations
 Global H(div)-liftings
 Local/global best
 Conclusion

 0000000000
 0000000000
 0000
 0000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

## Stable, local, commuting projector

► There is  $P_{\mathcal{T}_h}^p$ :  $H_{0,\Gamma_N}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) \to RTN_p(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H_{0,\Gamma_N}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$  s.t. (constructed locally from local minimizations on stars)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\mathbf{v}) &= \Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{v} \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in \boldsymbol{RTN}_{p}(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \cap \boldsymbol{H}_{0,\Gamma_{N}}(\text{div};\Omega) \\ \|\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\mathbf{v})\|_{K}^{2} + \left[\frac{h_{K}}{p+1} \|\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}_{h}}^{p}(\mathbf{v}))\|_{K}\right]^{2} \leq C \sum_{K' \in \mathcal{T}_{K}} [e_{K',p}(\mathbf{v})]^{2} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \end{aligned}$$

where C depends on mesh regularity and on p

#### Remarks

- non-local, stable, commuting projectors in [Schöberl 01; Christiansen, Winther 08; AE, Guermond 16; Licht 19]
- local construction in [Falk, Winther 14], stability only in graph-norm, no approximation discussed



## Conclusions

- Equilibrated-flux estimates offer several benefits
  - guaranteed (fully computable) upper bounds
  - *p*-robust local efficiency
  - adaptive inexact Newton solvers [AE, MV 13]
- **•** Unified analysis for *p*-robust  $H^1$  and **H**(div)-polynomial liftings
- New local efficiency proofs for arbitrary level of hanging nodes (elliptic PDEs) and no coarsening restriction (parabolic PDEs)
- New stable commuting projectors and a priori error estimates for mixed FEM
- Extensions to H(curl) in progress, see in particular [Chaumont, AE, MV, 2019] hal-02644173

A posteriori estimates 00000000000	Main results 0000000		Illustrations 0000	Global $H(\mathrm{div})$ -liftings 00000		Conclusions O •		
References for this talk								

- 1. AE, MV, Math. Comp. (2020) [submitted 12/2016...]
- 2. AE, MV, SINUM (2015), 53, 1058-1081
- 3. V. Dolejší, AE, MV, SISC (2016), 38 A3220-A3246
- 4. AE, I. Smears, MV, Calcolo (2017), 54 1009-1035
- 5. AE, T. Gudi, I. Smears, MV (2019) hal-02268960

New Finite Element book(s) [AE, Guermond], 3 volumes, Fall 2020 10 chapters of 50 pages → 83 chapters of 14/16 pages, 500 exercises



## Thank you for your attention