

Existence of minimizers for Kohn-Sham within the Local Spin Density Approximation

David GONTIER

Advisor : Eric Cancès
CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech and INRIA

April 8, 2014

Non-magnetic Hamiltonian for N -electrons:

$$H(v) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_i}_{\text{kinetic energy}} + \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1}}_{\text{interaction energy}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N v(\mathbf{r}_i)}_{\text{external potential}} .$$

$H(v)$ is linear and acts on the fermionic space $\bigwedge_{i=1}^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Its domain is $\bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\Psi \in \bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \implies \begin{cases} \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{p(1)}, \mathbf{r}_{p(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{p(N)}) = \varepsilon(p)\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N). \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_i \Psi|^2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 \dots d^3 \mathbf{r}_N < \infty \end{cases}$$

Problem: Ψ lives in \mathbb{R}^{3N} .

"Curse of dimensionality" : impossible for a computer

For $\Psi \in \bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we can define

$$\Gamma_\Psi = |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| \in \mathcal{S}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})) \quad \text{the } N\text{-body density matrix}$$

and we introduce

$$\mathcal{P}_N := \{ \Gamma_\Psi, \quad \Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1 \} \quad \text{the set of pure state } N\text{-body density matrices.}$$

\mathcal{P}_N is not convex. Its convex hull is

$$\mathcal{M}_N := \text{CH}(\mathcal{P}_N) \quad \text{the set of mixed state } N\text{-body density matrices.}$$

Example: for $N=1$,

- \mathcal{P}_1 only contains rank-1 projectors.
- \mathcal{M}_1 is the set of operators Γ such that $0 \leq \Gamma \leq 1$ and $\text{Tr}(\Gamma) = 1$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{versus} \quad \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & n_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad n_i \geq 0, \quad n_1 + n_2 + \dots = 1.$$

One main object of interest is the **ground state energy**,

$$E(v) = \min_{\Psi \in \Lambda, \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1} \langle \Psi | H(v) | \Psi \rangle,$$

or, equivalently,

$$E(v) = \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v)\Gamma).$$

Because $H(v)$ is linear, and because \mathcal{M}_N is the convex hull of \mathcal{P}_N , it holds

$$E(v) = \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v)\Gamma).$$

With some calculations, it holds

$$\text{Tr}(H(v)\Gamma) = \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r})\rho_\Gamma(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r}$$

with the **electronic density**

$$\rho_\Gamma(\mathbf{r}) := N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N; \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \dots d^3\mathbf{r}_N.$$

The **density functional theory** (DFT), such as presented by Levy (1979) and Lieb (1983), comes from the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v)\Gamma) &= \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \left\{ \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r})\rho_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} \right\} \\ &= \min_{\rho \in \mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} + \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N, \Gamma \rightarrow \rho} \{ \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) \} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the set $\mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_N := \{ \rho_{\Gamma}, \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N \} \quad \text{set of } N\text{-representable electronic densities.}$$

Introducing the universal functional

$$F(\rho) := \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{X}, \Gamma \rightarrow \rho} \{ \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) \},$$

The minimization problem for the wave function can be recast into a minimization problem for the electronic density.

Questions:

- What is the functional F ? (approximations: LDA, GGA,...)
- Do we have an explicit form of the set $\mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N)$? **N -representability problem**

We are looking for the explicit form of

$$\mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N) := \{\rho_\Gamma, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N\}.$$

Note that this problem is "**Hamiltonian free**": we do not suppose that Γ is the ground state of some Hamiltonian.

Historically, the DFT has been derived by Hohenberg and Kohn (1964). They considered:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_N(\mathcal{M}_N) := \{\rho_\Gamma, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N, \exists v \text{ such that } \Gamma \text{ is the unique ground state of } H(v)\}.$$

Characterizing this set is the **v -representability problem**.

- it is much more difficult and useless
- when considering the magnetic case, the HK theory does no longer work

Theorem (Harriman '81, Lieb '83)

It holds $\mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{P}_N) = \mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N) := \mathcal{I}_N$, with

$$\mathcal{I}_N = \left\{ \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N, \quad \sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

Remarks:

- The map $\Gamma \rightarrow \rho_\Gamma$ is linear
- \mathcal{M}_N is a convex set (it is the convex hull of \mathcal{P}_N)
- In particular, \mathcal{I}_N is convex

We want to do the same work for the magnetic case

According to the **Schrödinger-Pauli equation**, the Hamiltonian for N -electrons is

$$H(v, \mathbf{A}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_i \cdot \left(-i\nabla_i + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) \right)^2}_{\text{kinetic energy}} + \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1}}_{\text{interaction energy}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N v(\mathbf{r}_i)}_{\text{external potential}}$$

It is linear, and its form domain is $\bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$:

$$\Psi \in \bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \text{ has } 2^N \text{ components: } \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \uparrow, \mathbf{r}_2, \uparrow, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \uparrow) \\ \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \uparrow, \mathbf{r}_2, \uparrow, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \downarrow) \\ \vdots \\ \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \downarrow, \mathbf{r}_2, \downarrow, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \downarrow) \end{pmatrix}$$

and still satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{p(1)}, \alpha_{p(1)}, \mathbf{r}_{p(2)}, \alpha_{p(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{p(N)}, \alpha_{p(N)}) = \varepsilon(p) \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \alpha_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \alpha_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \alpha_N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_i \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \alpha_1, \dots)|^2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 \dots d^3 \mathbf{r}_N < \infty \end{cases}$$

\mathbf{A} is the magnetic **potential vector** (recall that $\text{rot}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field), and σ_i contains the **Pauli-matrices** acting on the i -th spin.

New Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) := \{ \Phi = (\phi^\uparrow, \phi^\downarrow) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty \}$$

with

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\overline{\phi^\uparrow}(x) \psi^\uparrow(x) + \overline{\phi^\downarrow}(x) \psi^\downarrow(x) \right) d^3x.$$

For instance, the set of N -body pure states is now:

$$\mathcal{P}_N := \left\{ \Gamma = |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|, \quad \Psi \in \bigwedge_{i=1}^N H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2), \quad \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \right\},$$

and the set of N -body mixed states \mathcal{M}_N is again the convex hull of \mathcal{P}_N .

We want to minimize expression of the form

$$\min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{A})\Gamma).$$

This time, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{A})\Gamma) &= \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) \\ &+ \int \left(v(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})|^2}{c^2} \right) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_p(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} - \underbrace{\mu_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r}}_{\text{Zeeman energy}} \end{aligned}$$

where new objects have appeared:

- ρ is still the **electronic density**
- \mathbf{j}_p is the **paramagnetic current**
- \mathbf{m} is the **spin density**.

Recall that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} satisfy $\mathbf{B} = \text{rot } \mathbf{A}$. However, as \mathbf{A} acts on the orbitals, whereas \mathbf{B} acts on the spin, we usually study the two effects separately and choose:

- $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for spin effects. **Spin Density Functional Theory** (SDFT).
- $\mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ for orbital effects. **Current Density Functional Theory** (CDFT).

In this presentation, I will present **SDFT** ($\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{0}$):

$$\text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{B})\Gamma) = \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})d^3\mathbf{r} - \mu_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r}.$$

For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N$, we can define the **spin-polarized electronic densities**: for $\alpha, \beta \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}^2$,

$$\rho_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := N \sum_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_N \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \Gamma(\mathbf{r}\alpha, \mathbf{r}_2\alpha_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\alpha_N; \mathbf{r}\beta, \mathbf{r}_2\alpha_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\alpha_N) d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \dots d^3\mathbf{r}_N.$$

We introduce the **matrix of spin-polarized electronic densities**

$$R_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{\uparrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) & \rho^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \rho^{\downarrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) & \rho^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

With those notation,

- the **usual electronic density** is $\rho := \rho^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \rho^{\downarrow\downarrow}$
- the **spin density** is $\mathbf{m} = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\sigma \cdot R_{\Gamma})$.

We can then recast the above equation under the form

$$\text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{B})\Gamma) = \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \left(\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} v - \mu_B \mathbf{B}_z & -\mu_B \mathbf{B}_x + i\mu_B \mathbf{B}_y \\ -\mu_B \mathbf{B}_x - i\mu_B \mathbf{B}_y & v + \mu_B \mathbf{B}_z \end{pmatrix}}_U(\mathbf{r}) R_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}) \right)$$

Similarly to standard DFT, we write:

$$E(v, \mathbf{B}) := \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{B})\Gamma) = \min_{R \in \mathcal{J}_N(\mathcal{M}_N)} \left\{ F(R) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathcal{C}^2} [UR] \right\}$$

with

$$F(R) := \inf_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N, \Gamma \rightarrow R} \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma)$$

and

$\mathcal{J}_N(\mathcal{M}_N) := \{R_\Gamma, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N\}$ set of representable spin-polarized electronic densities.

Problems

- We still do not know the functional F (approximations LSDA, GGA, ...)
- Can we have a characterization of the sets $\mathcal{J}_N(\mathcal{M}_N)$? **N -representability problem**

We only have the answer for **mixed states**:

Theorem (DG)

$$\mathcal{I}_N(\mathcal{M}_N) := \mathcal{I}_N = \left\{ R \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad R \text{ is hermitian positive a.e.}, \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(R) = N, \quad \sqrt{R} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \right\}.$$

- The $\sqrt{}$ is in the hermitian matrix sense
- Extension of the standard result:

Theorem (Harriman '81, Lieb '83)

$$\mathcal{I}_N = \left\{ \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N, \quad \sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

Remark: In particular, \mathcal{I}_N is a convex set.

Local Spin Density Approximation (LSDA)

Recall that, in SDFT, we want to find:

$$E_N := \min_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N} \text{Tr}(H(v, \mathbf{B})\Gamma) = \min_{R \in \mathcal{J}_N} \left\{ F(R) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] \right\}$$

with

$$F(R) := \inf_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{X}, \Gamma \rightarrow R} \text{Tr}(H_0\Gamma).$$

Approximation of F ?

For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N$, introduce the **1-body density matrix**

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow} & \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow} & \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$$

with, for $\alpha, \beta \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}^2$,

$$\gamma_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') := N \sum_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_N \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \Gamma(\mathbf{r}\alpha, \mathbf{r}_2\alpha_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\alpha_N; \mathbf{r}'\beta, \mathbf{r}_2\alpha_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\alpha_N) d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \dots d^3\mathbf{r}_N.$$

Remarks

- It holds $R_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})$.
- The set $\mathcal{A}_N := \{\gamma_{\Gamma}, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_N\}$ is (Coleman 1963)

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\gamma) = N,$$

$$\text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) := \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow}) + \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow}) < \infty\}.$$

Following Kohn and Sham (1965), we split $F(R)$ in three parts:

$$F(R) = T^{\text{KS}}(R) + J(R) + E_{\text{xc}}(R).$$

- $T^{\text{KS}}(R)$ is the **Kohn-Sham kinetic energy**:

$$T^{\text{KS}}(R) := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{N}}, \gamma \rightarrow R} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta \gamma) \right\}$$

- $J(R)$ is the **Hartree energy**:

$$J(R) := \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}'$$

- $E_{\text{xc}}(R)$ is the **exchange correlation term**: $E_{\text{xc}}(R) := F(R) - T^{\text{KS}}(R) - J(R)$.

How to choose $E_{\text{xc}}(R)$?

Local Spin Density Approximation (von Barth and Hedin 1972):

If for an **unpolarized model**, a local density approximation (LDA) functional is used

$$E^{\text{LDA}}(\rho) = \int g(\rho),$$

then the following **ansatz** can be used for a **polarized model**,

$$E_{\text{xc}}(R) \approx E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LSDA}}(R) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right).$$

where ρ^+ and ρ^- are the two eigenvalues of R .

Remarks

- This ansatz is exact for the exchange energy.
- Depends only on the eigenvalues \implies invariance under local spin-rotations.
- We recover the unpolarized case: $\rho^+ = \rho^- = \rho/2$.

Is a well-posed? Do the eigenvalues of R have good properties?

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LSDA}}(R) = \frac{1}{2} \int g(2\rho^+) + g(2\rho^-)$$

Lemma

If R is such that $\sqrt{R} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $\sqrt{\rho^{+/-}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In particular, if g is a good function for the **unpolarized case**, then g is also a good function for the **polarized case**.

Examples

- X_α -functional: $g(\rho) = -C_X \rho^{4/3}$.
- Homogeneous Electron Gas: $g(\rho) = g^{\text{HEG}}(\rho)$.
- ...

Usually, g satisfies the following conditions:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} g(0) = 0 \\ g' \leq 0 \\ \exists 0 < \beta^- \leq \beta^+ < \frac{2}{3}, \quad \sup_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{|g'(\rho)|}{\rho^{\beta^-} + \rho^{\beta^+}} < \infty \\ \exists 1 \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{2}, \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\rho)}{\rho^\alpha} < 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we recast the problem into 1-body density matrices:

$$E_N^{\text{LSDA}} := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_N} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] + J(R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right) \right\},$$

with

$$\int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] = \int V\rho - \mu_B \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) = \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow}) + \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow}).$$

Objects	spin-unpolarized	spin-polarized
Hilbert space	$L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$	$L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$
1-body density matrix	$\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ complex	$\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ hermitian 2×2 matrix
Density	$\rho(\mathbf{r})$ real	$R(\mathbf{r})$ hermitian 2×2 matrix
Condition for the density	$\rho \geq 0, \quad \sqrt{\rho} \in H^1$	$R \geq 0, \quad \sqrt{R} \in H^1$
Magnetic contribution	none	$-\mu_B \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ (Zeeman term)
xc energy in the L(S)DA	$\int g(\rho)$	$\frac{1}{2} \int g(2\rho^+) + g(2\rho^-)$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{LSDA}}(\gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] + J(R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right).$$

Collinear SDFT

$$E_N^{\text{collinear}} = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{A}_N, \quad \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow} = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, $\{\rho^+, \rho^-\} = \{\rho^{\uparrow\uparrow}, \rho^{\downarrow\downarrow}\}$, and

$$\int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] = \int V\rho - \mu_B \int B_z \rho \zeta, \quad \text{where } \zeta = \frac{\rho^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \rho^{\downarrow\downarrow}}{\rho^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \rho^{\downarrow\downarrow}} \text{ is the relative spin polarization.}$$

Unpolarized DFT

$$E_N^{\text{unpolarized}} = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{A}_N, \quad \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow} = 0, \quad \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow} \right\}.$$

Then, $\rho^+ = \rho^- = \rho/2$, and

$$\int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] = \int V\rho.$$

$$E_N^{\text{LSDA}} := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_N} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta \gamma) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] + J(R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right) \right\}.$$

Question:

Does a minimizer exist? (not obvious, this a non-convex problem due to the g term).

For the unpolarized case ($\rho^+ = \rho^-$)

Theorem (Anantharaman, Cancès, 2009)

If the functional g satisfies the conditions (*), and if the electronic potential has the form

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = - \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{z_k}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_k|}, \quad z_k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \sum_{k \in M} z_k = Z,$$

then, for $N \leq Z$, the problem $E_N^{\text{unpolarized}}$ admits a minimizer.

For the polarized case

Theorem (DG)

Under the same conditions, and if $\mathbf{B} \in L^{3/2+\epsilon} + L^\infty$ is a magnetic field that vanishes at infinity, then, for $N \leq Z$, the problem E_N^{LSDA} admits a minimizer.

The proof relies on **concentration-compactness** techniques (Lions 1984).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, introduce

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{S}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\gamma) = \lambda, \quad \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) < \infty \right\},$$

the minimization problem for λ

$$E_\lambda^{\text{LSDA}} := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) + \int \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [UR] + J(R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right) \right\},$$

and the **problem at infinity** for λ

$$E_\lambda^{\text{LSDA}, \infty} = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(-\Delta\gamma) + J(R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int g(2\rho^+) + \int g(2\rho^-) \right) \right\}.$$

Lemma (Binding inequality)

For all $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda$, it holds $E_\lambda^{\text{LSDA}} \leq E_\mu^{\text{LSDA}} + E_{\lambda-\mu}^{\text{LSDA}, \infty}$.

- This lemma tells that electrons are not leaking away (\approx compactness)
- Allows to prove the convergence of the minimizing sequences.

Lemma

For all $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda$, it holds $E_\lambda^{\text{LSDA}} \leq E_\mu^{\text{LSDA}} + E_{\lambda-\mu}^{\text{LSDA},\infty}$.

Proof (for the potential part):

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$, and $\gamma^\infty \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda-\mu}$. Let $\gamma_0 = \gamma + \gamma^\infty \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$. Then,

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma_0] = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma] + \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma^\infty] = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma] + \overbrace{V\rho^\infty}^{<0} - \overbrace{\mu_B \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}^\infty}^{\text{sign?}}.$$

In order to control the sign of the last term, we introduce the **flip transform**.

$$\text{If } \gamma^\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow,\infty} & \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow,\infty} \\ \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow,\infty} & \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow,\infty} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } \widetilde{\gamma}^\infty(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow,\infty} & -\gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow,\infty} \\ -\gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow,\infty} & \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow,\infty} \end{pmatrix}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}).$$

Lemma

$\widetilde{\gamma}^\infty \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda-\mu}$, and it holds $\widetilde{\rho}^\infty = \rho^\infty$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}^\infty = -\mathbf{m}^\infty$.

Introducing $\gamma_0^\sharp = \gamma + \widetilde{\gamma}^\infty \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$, we get

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma_0] + \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma_0^\sharp] = 2\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma] + 2V\rho^\infty < 2\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma].$$

Therefore, one of two real numbers $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma_0]$ or $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma_0^\sharp]$ is strictly less than $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} [U\gamma]$.

We also get the **Euler-Lagrange equation**.

Theorem

If γ_0 is a minimizer for E_λ^{LSDA} , then γ_0 satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\gamma_0 = \mathbb{1}(H_{\gamma_0} < \varepsilon_F) + \delta \quad \text{with} \quad \delta \subset \text{Ker}(H_{\gamma_0} - \varepsilon_F),$$

where ε_F is the Fermi energy, and

$$H_{\gamma_0} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \rho_0 \star |\cdot|^{-1} \right) \mathbb{1}_2 + U +$$

$$+ \frac{g'(\rho_0^+)}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow})^2 + 4|\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow}|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} & 2\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ 2\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow} & \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} - \rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{g'(\rho_0^-)}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow})^2 + 4|\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow}|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} & 2\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ 2\rho_0^{\uparrow\downarrow} & \rho_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} - \rho_0^{\uparrow\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

It holds $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{\gamma_0}) = [0, +\infty[$. Moreover, if $0 < \lambda < Z$, then

- H_{γ_0} has infinitely many negative eigenvalues
- every eigenvector corresponding to such an eigenvalue is exponentially decreasing.

Conclusion

- We extended the representability result in the non-collinear spin-polarized case.
- We proved the existence of minimizers for SDFT within the LSDA.

Future work

- Prove the existence of minimizers with other xc functionals (GGA,...).
- Numerical questions.

Thank you for your attention