

Fluctuations of the entropy production in nonequilibrium steady states

Luc Rey-Bellet

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Supported in part by NSF

Workshop

Metastability and Stochastic Processes

Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Sept 21–23 2011

Collaborators:

Jean-Pierre Eckmann (University of Geneva)

Martin Hairer (Warwick University)

Vojkan Jaksic (Mc Gill University)

Claude-Alain Pillet (Toulon & CPT-Marseille)

Lawrence E. Thomas (University of Virginia)

Lai-Sang Young (Courant Institute)

Review paper with Jaksic and Pillet, *Nonlin.* 24 (2011) 699763

Contribution from many, many others:

T. Bodineau, E.G.D. Cohen, D. Evans, G. Gallavotti, J. Kurchan, J. Lebowitz, R. Lefevere, C. Maes and collaborators, D. Searle, H. Spohn, D. Ruelle, Schnakenberg, and

Basic questions

1. What is a **nonequilibrium steady state**?
2. How to define **entropy production**?
3. **Fluctuations properties** of the entropy production:

→ **Gallavotti-Cohen** fluctuation theorem

→ **Kubo** formula, **Onsager** relations

Main goals of the lectures: Expose the **general theory** (this is the soft part) plus provide **realistic examples + proofs** (some hard problems in ergodic theory/probability)

Two complementary point of view

- **Dynamical system theory** (review paper by JPR, Ruelle, Gallavotti, Cohen, etc..)

→ Popular among people who like **Gaussian thermostats** or other

→ Models are **easy to simulate** and **hard to analyze**

- **Markovian dynamics** (Maes, Spohn-Lebowitz,...)

→ **Traditional approach in physics to nonequilibrium** using Markov process (Onsager, Lebowitz, etc...)

→ Models are **harder to simulate** and **easier to analyze**

The **two approaches** are deeply linked at the mathematical levels via **Markov partition** (probabilistic representation of dynamical systems...) and "**dilation**" of Markov processes but also by the use of **same tools** (spectral analysis, Perron-Frobenius, etc...).

2 basic examples from molecular dynamics (more later)

- Hamiltonian $H(p, q) = p^2 + V(q)$ with a confining potential V .
- External non-Hamiltonian force $F(q)$.
- A thermostat or heat bath

Ex 1 (Langevin equation): stochastic and canonical heat bath

$$\begin{aligned}dq &= p dt \\ dp &= (-\nabla V(q) + F(q) - \lambda p) dt + \sqrt{2\lambda T} dB\end{aligned}$$

T = reservoir temperature, λ =coupling, B =Brownian motion.

Ex 2 (Gaussian thermostat): deterministic and micocanonical heat bath (by construction the energy E is conserved)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dq}{dt} &= p \\ \frac{dp}{dt} &= -\nabla V(q) + F(q) - \frac{F \cdot p}{p \cdot p} p\end{aligned}$$

Part I: Entropy production in Markov chain

→ Schnakenberg, Qian & Qian, Kurchan, Spohn-Lebowitz, Maes et al., etc..

Goal of the section: Explain the ideas and concepts for the simplest possible example. Rich in concepts but no technical difficulties.

Assume: Irreducible Markov chain X_n with finite state space S , transition matrix $P(x, y)$ and stationary distribution $\pi(x)$.

Main ideas:

Non-equilibrium \equiv lack of detailed balance (or time-reversibility)

Entropy production \equiv measure of the irreversibility

Detailed balance

Detailed balance means $\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x)$ for all x, y

This implies stationarity

$$\sum_x \pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y) = \sum_x \pi(y)P(y, x)$$

and is equivalent to the time-reversibility of the stationary Markov chain X_n .

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_\pi(X_0 = x_n, \dots, X_n = x_0)}{\mathbf{P}_\pi(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n)} = \frac{\pi(x_n)P(x_n, x_{n-1}) \cdots P(x_1, x_0)}{\pi(x_0)P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n)} = 1$$

or

$$\mathbf{P}_\pi = \mathbf{P}_\pi \circ \Theta, \quad \Theta(X_0, \dots, X_n) = (X_n, \dots, X_0).$$

Any path and the time reversed path have the same probability.

Entropy production

If **no detailed balance** we assume the weak reversibility assumption

$$P(x, y) > 0 \Leftrightarrow P(y, x) > 0.$$

We interpret

$$\mu(x)P(x, y)$$

as the **probability current from state x to state y** if X_n is in state μ and define

$$F(x, y) = \log \frac{\pi(x)P(x, y)}{\pi(y)P(y, x)} \quad (= \text{entropy production observable})$$

and $F \equiv 0$ iff detailed balance holds.

Path space interpretation

By construction we have

$$\frac{P_\pi(X_0 = x_n, \dots, X_n = x_0)}{P_\pi(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n)} = \exp \left(- \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(x_k, x_{k+1}) \right)$$

Let us define the ergodic average

$$S_n(F) = S_n(F)(X_0, \dots, X_n) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(X_k, X_{k+1})}_{= \text{Total entropy production along a path}}$$

In other words the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{dP \circ \Theta}{dP} \Big|_{[0,n]} = \exp(-S_n(F)).$$

Relation with relative entropy I

By the law of large numbers for the Markov chain $Z_n = (X_n, X_{n+1})$ with stationary distribution $\pi(x)P(x, y)$ and obtain that with probability 1

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(X_k, X_{k+1}) &= \sum_{x,y} \pi(x)P(x, y) \log \frac{\pi(x)P(x, y)}{\pi(y)P(y, x)} \\ &\equiv \text{EP}(\pi, P) \text{ (Steady state entropy production)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mu|\nu)$ denote the relative entropy of μ with respect to ν .
Then

$$\text{EP}(\pi, P) = \mathcal{H}(Z_n | Z_n \circ \Theta) \quad \text{for } Z_n \text{ stationary}$$

In particular we have $\text{EP}(\pi, P) \geq 0$ (relative entropy is nonnegative) and

$$\text{EP}(\pi, P) > 0 \text{ iff no detailed balance}$$

Relation with relative entropy II

- For Markov chains the relative entropy $\mathcal{H}(\mu|\pi)$ is always **monotone decreasing** under the evolution:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu P|\pi) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mu|\pi).$$

- This is **NOT** useful (to my knowledge) in nonequilibrium since in general π is **unknown**.
- For an arbitrary state μ of the MC X_n consider

$$EP(\mu, P) = \sum_{x,y} \mu(x)P(x,y) \log \frac{\mu(x)P(x,y)}{\mu(y)P(y,x)}$$

Fact: If **detailed balance** holds then

$$EP(\mu, P) = H(\mu P|\pi) - H(\mu|\pi)$$

Dependence on initial states

- For non-equilibrium steady states $\pi(x)$ is in general not explicitly known.
- Pick instead $\tilde{F}(x, y) = \log \frac{P(x, y)}{P(y, x)}$ which does not involve π .
- $F(x, y)$ and $\tilde{F}(x, y)$ have the same ergodic averages

$$S_n(F) = S_n(\tilde{F}) + \log \pi(X_0) - \log \pi(X_n)$$

- For any initial distribution μ with $\mu(x) > 0$ we have

$$\frac{P_\mu(X_0 = i_n, \dots, X_n = i_0)}{P_\mu(X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_n = i_n)} \equiv \exp \left(-S_n(\tilde{F}) + R(i_n) - R(i_0) \right)$$

The boundary terms $R(x)$ involving μ and π are negligible for large n .

Helmholtz decomposition of a Markov chain

We write

$$\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y, x)} = e^{H(y) - H(x) + \Delta(x, y)} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta(x, y) = -\Delta(y, x)$$

There is a **unique such decomposition** if we think of it as an Helmholtz decomposition

Associate a **graph** $G = (V, E)$ to the Markov chain in the usual way:

$$V = S \quad E = \{(x, y) \in S \times S; P(x, y) > 0\}$$

A **flow on the graph** G is a function $F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(x, y) = -F(y, x)$$

.

Theorem: There exists a unique decomposition

$$F(x, y) = F_p(x, y) + F_c(x, y)$$

where

(a) $F_p(x, y)$ is a potential difference, i.e., there exists a function $H : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F_p(x, y) = H(y) - H(x)$$

which we can write as $F_p = \nabla H$.

(b) $F_c(x, y)$ is a circulation, i.e., for any $x \in V$ we have

$$\sum_{y:(x,y) \in V} F_c(x, y) = 0$$

which we write as $\text{div} F_c = 0$.

Physical Interpretation

Revisit once more $EP(\mu, P)$.

Use the decomposition $\log \frac{P(y,x)}{P(x,y)} = \beta [H(x) - H(y) + \Delta(x, y)]$

Use now the entropy $\mathcal{H}(\mu) = - \sum_x \mu(x) \log \mu(x)$

Find

$$EP(\mu, P) =$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{x,y} \mu(x) P(x, y) [H(x) - H(y) + \Delta(x, y)]}_{\text{Physical entropy production}} + \underbrace{[\mathcal{H}(\mu P) - \mathcal{H}(\mu)]}_{\text{Increase in configurational entropy}}$$

Physical entropy production
= $\beta \times$ dissipated heat

Increase in
configurational entropy

Large deviations : reminder

Theorem (Gärtner-Ellis Theorem)

- $\{\Gamma_n\}$ sequence of random variables taking values in \mathbb{R}^d .
- For all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the logarithmic moment generating function

$$e(\gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{E} [\exp(-\gamma \cdot \Gamma_n)]$$

exists and is smooth (at least \mathcal{C}^1).

Then $\frac{\Gamma_n}{n}$ satisfy a large deviation principle with rate function

$$I(z) = - \inf_{\gamma} (z \cdot \gamma + e(\gamma)) \quad \text{Legendre transform.}$$

i.e. we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_n}{n} \in B_\epsilon(z) \right\} = -I(z).$$

Symbolically $P_\pi \left(\frac{\Gamma_n}{n} \approx z \right) \asymp \exp [-nI(z)]$

Large deviations for the entropy production

For an irreducible Markov chain Y_n with initial state $\mu(x)$ and transition matrix $Q(x, y)$ and an observable $f(x)$ the moment generating function can be written as

$$\mathbf{E}_\mu [\exp(-\alpha S_n(f))] = \langle \mu, Q_\alpha^n \mathbf{1} \rangle$$

with $Q_\alpha(x, y) \equiv Q(x, y)e^{-\alpha f(y)}$ and $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$.

Therefore the **logarithmic moment generating function** for the $S_n(F)$

$$e(\alpha) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E_\mu [\exp(-\alpha S_n(f))]$$

exists and is smooth by **Perron-Frobenius theorem** and **analytic perturbation theory for eigenvalues**.

So we have

$$P_\pi \left(\frac{S_n(f)}{n} \approx z \right) \asymp \exp[-nI(z)]$$

and the **rate function** $I(z)$ is nonnegative, (strictly convex), with a minimum at $z_0 = \mathbf{E}_\pi[P]$.

Gallavotti-Cohen fluctuation theorem I

Apply to the entropy production (use the Markov chain $Z_n = (X_n, X_{n+1})$)

Theorem: $e(\alpha) = e(1 - \alpha)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_\pi \left[e^{-\alpha S_N(F)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_N} \frac{[(\pi(x_0)P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{N-1}, x_N))]^{1-\alpha}}{[\pi(x_N)P(x_N, x_{N-1}) \cdots P(x_1, x_0)]^\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_N} \frac{[(\pi(x_N)P(x_N, x_{N-1}) \cdots P(x_1, x_0))]^{1-\alpha}}{[\pi(x_0)P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{N-1}, x_N)]^\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_N} \pi(x_0)P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{N-1}, x_N) \times \\ &= \times \left(\frac{\pi(x_0)P(x_0, x_1)}{\pi(x_1)P(x_1, x_0)} \right)^{-(1-\alpha)} \cdots \left(\frac{\pi(x_{N-1})P(x_{N-1}, x_N)}{\pi(x_N)P(x_N, x_{N-1})} \right)^{-(1-\alpha)} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_\pi \left[e^{-(1-\alpha)S_N(F)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Gallavotti-Cohen fluctuation theorem II

Consequence 1: Since $e'(0) = -EP(\pi, P)$ the symmetry implies that

$$EP(\pi, P) > 0 \quad \text{Positivity of entropy production}$$

Consequence 2: The symmetry implies the Gallavotti-Cohen fluctuation theorem

$$I(z) - I(-z) = -z$$

i.e., the odd part of I is linear with slope $-1/2$.

Or

$$\frac{P_\pi \left(\frac{S_n(F)}{n} \approx +z \right)}{P_\pi \left(\frac{S_n(F)}{n} \approx -z \right)} \asymp e^{nz}$$

The probability to observe a value of the entropy production and its negative value has a universal ratio.

- It is **universal**, no free parameters, etc...
- **experimentally observable**....

Green-Kubo formula and Onsager relations

If $\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y, x)} = e^{H(y) - H(x) + \Delta(x, y)}$ then assume that

$$\Delta(x, y) = \sum_l \alpha_l J_l(x, y) = \alpha \cdot J$$

$\alpha_l =$ thermodynamic forces: external forces, temperature differences

$J_l(x, y) =$ thermodynamic fluxes of energy, momenta, etc...

The transition probabilities $P(x, y) = P^{(\alpha)}(x, y)$ and the steady state $\pi = \pi^{(\alpha)}$ depends on the parameters α .

For $\alpha = 0$, π_0 corresponds thermodynamical equilibrium (detailed balance) with

$$\pi_0(x) \propto \exp(-H(x))$$

Logarithmic joint moment generating function for the fluxes $J_l(x, y)$

$$e(\alpha, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)} [\exp(-\gamma \cdot J(x, y))]$$

By the same argument we now have the symmetry

$$e(\alpha, \gamma) = e(\alpha, \alpha - \gamma)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial \gamma_l}(\alpha, 0) = -\mathbf{E}_{\pi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}[J_l]$$

and thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \alpha_k \partial \gamma_l}(0, 0) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}[J_l] \Big|_{\alpha=0} = -L_{kl}$$

i.e., L_{kl} = are the linear response coefficients

$$\mathbf{E}_{\pi_\alpha}[J_l] = \sum_i L_{kl} \alpha_k + O(\alpha^2)$$

Since e is a moment generating function, we have using stationarity

$$\frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \alpha_k \partial \alpha_l}(0, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{\pi_0} [J_k(X_0, X_1) J_l(X_k, X_{k+1})]$$

Integrated flux-flux correlation matrix

The symmetry $e(\alpha, \gamma) = e(\alpha, \alpha - \gamma)$ implies upon differentiation, that

$$\frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \gamma_j}(0, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j}(0, 0)$$

and thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \mathbf{E}_{\pi_\alpha}(F_l) |_{\alpha=0} = L_{kl} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{\pi_0} [J_k(X_0, X_1) J_l(X_k, X_{k+1})]$$

Kubo formula and Onsager relations $L_{kl} = L_{lk}$.

Further ideas

- Nice (older) results from Schnakenberg and newer from Gaspard on the representation of $EP(\pi, P)$ using **cycles**. This leads to a slightly different version of

→ Kubo formula

→ Fluctuation theorem

- Study the structure of the of the **Donsker-Varadhan large deviation functional** for the empirical measure.

→ **Maes and Netockny, Bodineau and Lefevere. See the diffusion case in next chapter..**

→ Explicit computations for the GC functional, **Derrida et al.**

- Go macroscopic (**Jona-Lasino & al.**)

Part II: Stochastic differential equation of molecular dynamics

Extending the previous theory to more general Markov processes is possible but this requires to **prove strong ergodic properties**.

In general for models of physical interests,

- Existence and to a less extent uniqueness of steady states
- Ergodicity and large deviations

are **hard problems**.

→ **Consider concrete physically relevant problems**

References:

- **P. Cattiaux** lecture here.
- **M. Hairer**: Various lectures notes (www.hairer.org)
- Rey-Bellet: Grenoble Summer School. Quantum Open Systems II. Springer LNM 1881 pp. 1–39. and pp. 41–78.

Notations

Stochastic differential equation: $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (or a manifold)

$$dx_t = b(x_t)dt + \sigma(x_t)dB_t$$

where

$b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the **drift**

$\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the **diffusion matrix**

B_t is a **k -dimensional Brownian motion**

We shall always **assume** that b and σ are sufficiently smooth, say C^∞ .

However singular vector field, think Lenard-Jones or Coulomb, are interesting: many open problems!!

Generators and semigroup

If x_t is a solution of the SDE with initial condition $x_0 = x$ is and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a (nice) function then

$$T_t f(x) = \mathbf{E}_x [f(x_t)]$$

is a semigroup (Markov property) with generator

$$L = \sum_{l=1}^d b_l(x) \partial_{x_l} + \sum_{k,l=1}^d \sigma(x) \left(\sigma(x)^T \partial_{x_k} \right)_{kl} \partial_{x_l}$$

The adjoint (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$) of L is denoted by L^T (Fokker-Planck operator)

$$L^T = - \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} b_l(x) + \sum_{k,l=1}^d \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_l} \left(\sigma(x) \sigma(x)^T \right)_{kl}$$

Analytical tool I : Hypoellipticity

In many physically relevant examples, noise does not act on all variables: the noise is **degenerate**.

$$\text{rank } \sigma \sigma^T(x) < d,$$

$$\text{Write } L = X_0 + \sum_{i=1} X_i^T X_i$$

Theorem (Hörmander Hypoellipticity): If the Lie algebra generated by

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}, \mathcal{A}_1 = \{[X_i, Y]\}_{i \geq 0, Y \in \mathcal{A}_0}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k = \{[X_i, Y]\}_{i \geq 0, Y \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}, \dots$$

has **full rank** at every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then

$$T^t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad p_t(x, y) \text{ is } C^\infty \text{ in } (t, x, y)$$

In particular the semigroup is **strong-Feller**: (i.e. smoothing)

$$\text{If } f \in B(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ then } T_f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Analytical tool II : Irreducibility and controllability

If T_t is strong-Feller we say that the Markov process x_t is irreducible if for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any open set A there exists t such that

$$P_t(x, A) > 0$$

- In general hypoellipticity (= local) does not imply irreducibility (= global)

Example: $X_0 = \sin(x)\partial_x$, $X_1 = \cos(x)\partial_x$

- Hypoellipticity + irreducibility implies at most one invariant measure π which has then a smooth density.

Associated ODE control system

$$\frac{dx_t}{dt} = b(x_t) + \sigma(x_t)u_t$$

where u_t is a (piecewise) smooth control (smoother than $\frac{dB_t}{dt}$!).

We say that y is accessible from x in time t if there exists a control u_s $0 \leq s \leq t$ such that $x_u(0) = x$ and $x_u(t) = y$.

Denote

$$A_{x,t} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \text{ accessible from } x \text{ in time } t\}$$

Theorem (Stroock-Varadhan) We have

$$\text{supp}P_t(x, \cdot) = \overline{A_{x,t}}$$

Simple example

Take a generic smooth $F(p, q)$ and consider

$$dq = p dt, \quad dp = F(q, p)dt + dB$$

- Strong-Feller since

$$X_0 = F(p, q)\partial_p + p\partial_q, \quad X_1 = \partial_p, \quad [X_0, X_1] = \partial_q + \partial_p F(p, q)\partial_p$$

- Irreducible: Given initial p_0, q_0 and final p_1, q_1 pick an (arbitrary) time t and any smooth function $q(t)$ such that

$$q(0) = q_0, \quad q(t) = q_1, \quad \frac{dq}{dt}(0) = p_1, \quad \frac{dq}{dt}(t) = p_1$$

and set

$$u(t) = \frac{dq}{dt}(t) - F\left(q(t), \frac{dq}{dt}(t)\right)$$

\Rightarrow For any $t \geq 0$, $\text{supp } P_t(x, \cdot) = \mathbf{R}^n$ and some extra work shows that in fact $p_t(x, y) > 0$

Analytical tool III : Lyapunov function

A Lyapunov function is a function $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- V is smooth
- $V \geq 1$ V is bounded below.
- $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = +\infty$ i.e. $\{V \leq \alpha\}$ is compact for any α .

Inequalities involving LV translate into ergodic properties for x_t

Standing assumptions: T_t is strong-Feller and irreducible

Notation: a, b are positive constants

K denote a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d .

- (1) Existence of the dynamics

If there exists V such that

$$LV \leq aV + b$$

then the SDE has (pathwise) solutions for all $t > 0$ almost surely.

- (2) Existence of invariant measure and convergence

If there exists V such that

$$LV \leq -a + b\mathbf{1}_K$$

then the process x_t has a unique invariant measure π and $P_t(x, \cdot)$ converge to π in total variation for any initial x .

- (3) Exponential convergence to equilibrium

If there exists V such that

$$LV \leq -aV + b\mathbf{1}_K$$

then there exists constants $c > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\left| T_t f(x) - \int f d\pi \right| \leq CV(x)e^{-\gamma t}$$

or equivalently the **semigroup** T_t has a **spectral gap** (i.e., quasi-compact) on the Banach space

$$B_V(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \|f\|_V \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f|}{V} < \infty \right\}$$

Remark: Proof via **coupling argument** (Meyn-Tweedie or much simpler Hairer-Mattingly).

Proof via **spectral argument** (R-B., Grenoble lecture notes) via Nussbaum formula for the **essential spectral radius** ($= e^{-at}$)

- (4) Large deviations (cf Donsker-Varadhan, Wu, Kontoyannis-Meyn)

Idea: For large deviations one needs some "super-exponential" convergence. (or hyper contractivity...)

If there exists $V = \exp(v)$ and a_n, b_n, K_n with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$

$$LV \leq -a_n V + b_n \mathbf{1}_{K_n}$$

then the semigroup T_t is compact on the Banach space B_V .

⇒ Large deviation principle for the ergodic average

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x_s) ds$$

for nice function f .

We say that f is dominated by v at infinity, write $f \ll v$

$$f \ll v \text{ if } \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} \frac{|f|}{v} = 0.$$

"Proof of LDP":

- Use Feynmann-Kac to write the moment generating function

$$\mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(-\alpha \int_0^t f(x_s) ds \right) \right] = T_t^{(\alpha)} \mathbf{1}(x)$$

- Use compactness + assumption on f to show that $T_t^{(\alpha)}$ is compact too!
- Use perturbation theory and Perron-Frobenius and Gärtner-Ellis
- Use the Lyapunov bound to integrate x wrt to π ($\mathbf{E}_x \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\pi$)

Remark: In applications one need unbounded f !

A simple example: Overdamped Langevin equation

U is a smooth potential with compact level sets

$$dx = (-\nabla U(x) + F(x))dt + \sqrt{2T}dB$$

If $F = 0$ then $e^{-\frac{1}{T}U}dx$ is a stationary distribution. Liapunov function, **try first** U :

$$LU = T\Delta U - |\nabla U|^2 + F\nabla U$$

Exponential convergence if

$$\Delta U \ll |\nabla U|^2 \text{ and } F \ll |\nabla U|$$

for example if $k \geq 2$ and $U \sim q^k, \nabla U \sim q|q|^{k-2}, \text{etc...}$

Better try the Liapunov function $\exp(\theta U)$

$$Le^{\theta U} = \theta e^{\theta U} [T\Delta U + (T\theta - 1)|\nabla U|^2 + F\nabla U]$$

So for $\theta < \frac{1}{T}$ we have a **super exponential Lyapunov function** and thus a **large deviation principle** for any $f \ll U$ as long as $|F| \ll |\nabla U|$ and $\Delta U \ll |\nabla U|^2$.

For more examples with **stretched exponential, polynomial convergence** etc...

- Bakry, Cattiaux, Guillin, and collaborators.
- Many cute examples in M. Hairer "How hot can a heat bath get?"

Entropy production for molecular dynamics

- Identify the entropy production: compute the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the Markov processes with respect to the time reversed process.

→ Use Feynmann-Kac & Girsanov formulas

→ Compare to a "nearby" reversible process, i.e. thermal equilibrium.

This is the "easy" part.

- Prove the fluctuation theorem

→ Prove a large deviation principle.

→ Technical difficulty: the flux and entropy production are unbounded and so are the boundary terms.

This is the hard part: construct a Liapunov function

Time reversal

- Markov process x_t with generator L and stationary distribution π , (i.e. we have $L^T \pi = 0$)

- The time-reversed process is the process with generator

$$L^* = \pi^{-1} L^T \pi$$

i.e. L^* is the adjoint of L on $L^2(\pi)$

Time-reversibility $\iff L^* = L$

- For system with velocities generalize: consider an involution $J : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, that is, J is invertible and $J^2 = 1$

In all our examples $J(p, q) = (-p, q)$.

Time-reversibility $\iff JL^*J = L$

Langevin equation and non-Hamiltonian forcing

- **Hamiltonian system** with $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and Hamiltonian $H(p, q) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$ with a smooth confining $V(q)$
- **Heat reservoir** at temperature T via a Langevin equation
- **External force** $F(q)$ which is not a gradient force

$$\begin{aligned}dq &= p dt \\ dp &= (-\nabla U(q) + F(q) - \lambda p) dt + \sqrt{2T} dB\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Generator } L_F = (T\Delta_p - p\nabla_p) + (p\nabla_q - \nabla_q U(q)\nabla_p) + F(q)\nabla_p$$

$$\text{Fokker - Planck } L_F^T = (T\Delta_p + \nabla_p p) - (p\nabla_q - (\nabla U(q)\nabla_p) - F(q)\nabla_p)$$

Entropy production for the Langevin equation

- If $F = 0$ then $\pi_0(x)dx = Z^{-1}e^{-\frac{1}{T}H(p,q)}dx$ is a stationary distribution and we have detailed balance

$$JL_0^*J = L_0$$

where $Jf(p, q) = f(-p, q)$.

- If $F \neq 0$, a simple computation shows that

$$J\pi_0^{-1}L_F^T\pi_0J = L_F - \sigma \quad (*)$$

with

$$\sigma = \frac{F(q) \cdot p}{T} = \frac{\text{power exerted by } F}{\text{Temperature}}$$

σ is the physical entropy production.

- Time-reversal on path

$$\Theta x_s = Jx_{t-s} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

- Using Feynmann-Kac formula we obtain the path space interpretation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}|_{[0,t]} \circ \Theta}{d\mathbf{P}|_{[0,t]}} = \exp \left(- \int_0^t \sigma(x_s) ds + \frac{1}{T}(H(x_t) - H(x_0)) \right)$$

(Note here $P = P_{\pi_0}$ otherwise an extra boundary term...)

- Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry. From (\star) it follows immediately that since $J\sigma J = -\sigma$

$$J\pi_0^{-1} (L_F - \alpha\sigma)^T \pi_0 J = L_F - (1 - \alpha)\sigma$$

This implies (formally) $e(\alpha) = e(1 - \alpha)$ via a Perron Frobenius argument.

Entropy balance equation

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mu) = - \int \rho \log \rho dpdq$ denote the **entropy** of the measure $d\mu = \rho dpdq$.

If μ_t is the distribution of (p_t, q_t) then we have

$$\int \sigma(p, q) d\mu_t - \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{1}{T} H(x) d\mu_t + \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(\mu_t) = \Sigma(\mu_t)$$

Physical entropy production
Increase in
entropy

$= \beta \times$ dissipated heat
entropy

where

$$\Sigma(\mu_t) = \int \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{T} \frac{1}{\rho_t}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{T} \nabla_v + v \right) \rho_t \right]^2 dpdq \geq 0$$

From this we get

Theorem: If a stationary measure π_F exists then $\int \sigma d\pi_F \geq 0$ and

$$\int \sigma d\pi > 0 \text{ iff } F \neq \nabla W$$

Proof: • If $\mu_t = \pi$ we obtain

$$\int \sigma d\pi = \Sigma(\pi) \geq 0$$

• If $\Sigma(\pi) = 0$ then $\pi = \exp(-\frac{1}{T}\frac{p^2}{2})G(q)$. Use then Fokker-Planck.

Remark: The entropy balance equation is old...

Remark: In older literature what we call entropy production is the entropy flow. The entropy production is $\Sigma(\mu)$

Entropy balance and Donsker-Varadhan

Very nice recent work from [Maes & Netockny](#) and [Bodineau & Lefevre](#)

Large deviations for the **empirical measure**: if x_t is a Markov process let

$$\nu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{x_s} ds$$

Note that $\int f(x) d\nu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x_s) ds$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu_t = \pi$ almost surely by ergodicity

By a slight improvement of Donsker-Varadhan one has a LDP (work in the natural topology induced by the Banach space \mathcal{B}_V !)

$$P_\pi \{v_t \approx \mu\} \asymp \exp(-tI(\mu))$$

- Fact: one has from Donsker-Varadhan $I(\mu) = \sup_{g \geq 1} \left(- \int \frac{Lg}{g} \right)$
- Relation: $J\pi_0^{-1} (L_F - \alpha\sigma)^T \pi_0 J = L_F - (1 - \alpha)\sigma$

Theorem(Bodineau and Lefevre) The **rate function** $I(\mu)$ has the form

$$I(\mu) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \Sigma(\mu)}_{\text{Entropy production}} + \underbrace{K(\mu)}_{\text{activity}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int \sigma d\mu}_{\text{Entropy flow}}$$

- The first two terms are **even** under J while the entropy flow is odd under J
- The rate function for the large deviations for σ is given by the **contraction principle** $I(z) = \inf \{ I(\mu) ; \int \sigma d\mu = z \}$.

Consequence: The symmetry under time-reversal for $I(\mu)$ implies the symmetry of $I(z)$: $I(z) - I(-z) = -z$.

Ergodic properties of the Langevin equation

See papers by Mattingly & Stuart, L. Wu and R.B.-Thomas and P. Carmona. See also the book by Kashminskii

- Assumption on U : U is smooth C^∞ and for large q

$$U(q) \sim |q|^k, \nabla U(q) \sim q|q|^{k-2}, \quad \text{with } k \geq 2$$

- Assumption on $F(q)$: $|F(q)| \ll |\nabla U|$ and $|F| \ll U^{1/2}$

→ Note the two assumptions are only for large q

Theorem: Pick a time $\tau > 0$ and $\theta < \frac{1}{\tau}$ then $W = e^{\theta H}$ is a super exponential Liapunov function:

$$T_\tau e^{\theta H} \leq \alpha_E e^{\theta H} + \beta_E \mathbf{1}_{\{H \leq E\}}$$

and $\lim_{E \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_E = 0$.

Remark: We do not use L but $e^{\tau L}$...

Some ideas of one possible proof

- Uniqueness and smoothness of the measure: already done!
- Try first the **energy** as a Lyapunov function:

$$LH = T - p^2 + p \cdot F(q)$$

. This does not have a sign but not as bad as it looks....

- Main idea: prove that if the system starts at energy $H(p(0), q(0)) = E$ then

$$\int_0^1 p^2(s) ds \geq cE$$

uniformly in $E \geq E_0$ (with very high probability).

- If we such bound then we have $H(x) = E \geq E_0$

$$T_1 H(x) - H(x) \leq cE$$

since the term $p \cdot F(q)$ is negligible compared to $p^2 \simeq E$.

- To close the deal use that $Le^{\theta H} = \theta e^{\theta H} [T - (1 - \theta T)p^2 + F(q)p]$ and the same estimate plus exponential martingale + Hölder inequality to construct the Lyapunov function.

We "morally" have $LH \leq -aH + b$

which we lift to $Le^{\theta H} \leq -aHe^{\theta H} + b$

- Rescaling argument: Natural time scale at energy E if $U \sim q^k$ is $E^{1/k-1/2}$. This is the time for "one period".

Rescale $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv E^{-1/2}q(E^{1/k-1/2}t)$ $\tilde{q}(t) \equiv E^{-1/k}q(E^{1/k-1/2}t)$

which gives

$$\frac{d\tilde{q}}{dt} = \tilde{p} \quad \frac{d\tilde{p}}{dt} = -\tilde{q}|\tilde{q}|^{k-2} + O(E^{-\alpha})$$

→ Control errors + noise...

Another (harder) example : Anharmonic chains

Hamiltonian system $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_N)$

$$H(p, q) = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{p_l^2}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^n V(q_l) + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} U(q_l - q_{l-1}).$$

Assume $V(q) \sim q^{k_1}$ $U(q) \sim q^{k_2}$ and $k_2 \geq k_1$

$$dq_1 = p_1 dt$$

$$dp_1 = (-\nabla_{q_1} H(q) - \lambda p_1) dt + \lambda \sqrt{2T_L} dB_L$$

$$dq_j = p_j dt$$

$$dp_j = -\nabla_{q_j} H(q) \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$dq_n = p_n dt$$

$$dp_n = (-\nabla_{q_n} H(q) - \lambda p_n) dt + \lambda \sqrt{2T_R} dB_R$$

Entropy production for heat conduction

Choose a good reference measure (there are several options because you can measure energy flows in several ways!).

Define the energy of the particle i by

$$H_i = \frac{p_i^2}{2} + V(q_i) + \frac{1}{2}U(q_{i-1} - q_i) + \frac{1}{2}U(q_i - q_{i+1})$$

so that

$$H = \sum_i H_i$$

Writing a balance equation $\frac{d}{dt}H_i = \Phi_{i-1} - \Phi_i$ we have

$$\Phi_i = \frac{p_i + p_{i+1}}{2} \nabla V(q_i - q_{i+1}) \quad \text{heat flow from } i-1 \text{ to } i$$

We pick the reference state $\pi_0 \sim e^{-R_i}$ where

$$R_i = \frac{1}{T_L} \sum_{k \leq i} T_k + \frac{1}{T_R} \sum_{k > i} T_k$$

and after computing we find

$$J\pi_0^{-1}L^T\pi_0 = L - \sigma_i$$

where

$$\sigma_i = \left(\frac{1}{T_r} - \frac{1}{T_l} \right) \Phi_i$$

and again

$$J\pi_0^{-1}(L - \alpha\sigma_i)^T\pi_0 = L - (1 - \alpha)\sigma_i$$

Ergodic properties

- Assume the coupling U is nice in the sense that U does not have a "flat piece" nor there are infinitely degenerate critical points for ∇U . This means the oscillators are really coupled.

→ Hypoellipticity and controllability: "by induction over the chain"

- Dissipation

$$LH = (T_L - p_1^2) + (T_R - p_n^2)$$

→ Lyapunov function $W = e^{\theta H}$ if $\theta < \min\{\frac{1}{T_L}, \frac{1}{T_R}\}$.

$$T_\tau e^{\theta H} \leq \alpha_E e^{\theta H} + \beta_E \mathbf{1}_{\{H \leq E\}}$$

- Existence and uniqueness of the steady state.
- Spectral gap and compactness of the semigroup on $\mathcal{B}(W)$.
- Gallavotti-Cohen fluctuation Theorem

"Technical" issue σ is not relatively bounded by H !

→ Write $\sigma_i = \sigma^* + LR_i$ where $\sigma^* \leq CH$ and $R_i \leq CH$

→ Show the existence of $e(\alpha)$ only in a neighborhood of $[0, 1]$

→ Control the boundary terms and show a LDP restricted to a neighborhood of the origin.

More examples

- More general heat conduction networks
- Molecular motors...
- Models of heat conduction by Lefevre (cf. Bodineau & Lefevre, Carmona)
- Models of heat conduction by Olla, Stolz & al. (microcanonical thermostats).
- Shear flows (Joubaud & Stolz)
- Other thermostats.....

Loose ends

- Villani (Hypocoercivity) and Helffer-Nier have better estimates on the dynamics but (?) it works only at equilibrium. Does it?
- Hairer and Mattingly construct Lyapunov functions via "averaging".
- Bodineau and Lefevere (and Maes and Netockny) have a variational principle for the steady state based on Donsker-Vardahan large deviation functional.
- Large systems, Hydrodynamic limits and fluctuations, Jona-Lasino & al. (Macroscopic theory)

PART III: Deterministic dynamics

Basic questions

- What is **nonequilibrium** in this context?
 - **Time reversibility symmetry breaking** again but with a slightly different twist.
- A deterministic dynamics almost always has **very many invariant measures**. Which one to pick?
 - Pick a **reference measure** (encode the physics) and define a NESS as an *SRB*-measure
- **Relations** between stochastic and deterministic systems?
 - Extract stochastic process out of a deterministic one via **Markov partition**.
 - Stochastic process as **reduced description** of a (large deterministic) system.

Abstract definition of a NESS

Dynamical system with reference measure

- **State space** M (maybe a Polish space)
- **Dynamics**: a (invertible) map $F : M \rightarrow M$ (discrete-time) or a flow $\Phi_t : M \rightarrow M$ (continuous time).
- **Reference measure** μ_0 . In general **not invariant** under the dynamics.

In applications μ_0 will encode thermodynamical parameters (Energy, temperature, ...).

NESS = SRB measures

Definition: A SRB measure μ_+ is an invariant measure for the dynamics, i.e. $\mu_+(F^{-1}A) = \mu_+(A)$ such that for $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$

1. μ_+ is ergodic: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ F^k(x) \rightarrow \mu_+(f)$, μ_+ almost surely.

2. SRB measure: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ F^k(x) \rightarrow \mu_+(f)$, μ_0 almost surely.

Think of nontrivial nonequilibrium occurs when $\mu_+ \perp \mu_0$.

The SRB measure μ_+ is also called the physical measure: it describe the statistics of μ_0 almost every point and is selected among all the (typically very many) invariant measures.

In addition we will often require that the dynamics is time-reversal invariant

Time reversal: Involution of phase space $J : M \rightarrow M$ so that

$$J^2 = J$$

Typical: $x = (q, p) \in M$ then $i(x) = (q, -p)$, i.e., i change signs of the velocities.

The dynamics is **time-reversal invariant** if

$$J \circ F = F^{-1} \circ J \quad \text{or} \quad J \circ \Phi_t = \Phi_{-t} \circ J$$

It is convenient to assume that the reference measure is time reversal invariant (but not really necessary...)

Example 1 : "Micro-canonical" NESS: Gaussian thermostats

- **Hamiltonian:** $H(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$
- **External force:** $F(q)$ non Hamiltonian external force (driving the system out of equilibrium).
- **Reference measure:** $\mu_0 = \mu_{0,E}$ Lebesgue (microcanonical) measure on the energy surface $\{H = E\}$
- **Gaussian thermostat:** $\alpha(p, q)$ thermostating force (non-holonomic constraint) which constrains the system to stay on the energy surface $\{H = E\}$
- **Time-reversal:** $J(p, q) = (-p, q)$, $H \circ J = H$, and $\mu_0 \circ J = \mu_0$.

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q} &= p \\ \dot{p} &= -\nabla V(q) + F(q) - \alpha(p, q) \\ \alpha(p, q) &= \frac{F(q) \cdot p}{p \cdot p} p\end{aligned}$$

Dynamics is **dissipative, yet energy conserving, and time-reversible.**

Example 2 : "Canonical" NESS: Heat reservoirs

- **Small system:** (finitely many degrees of freedom) Hamiltonian

$$H_S(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$$

- **K heat reservoirs:** Hamiltonian systems, extended systems, ∞ many degrees of freedom. For example for $k = 1, \dots, K$

$$H_k(\varphi_k, \pi_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla \varphi_k|^2 + |\pi_k|^2 dx \quad (\text{Linear wave equation})$$

with Gibbs measure

$$d\nu_{\beta_k}(\varphi_k, \pi_k) = Z^{-1} \exp(-\beta_k H_k(\varphi_k, \pi_k)) \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} d\varphi_x d\pi_k(x)$$

This is a **Wiener measure** (φ_k) times a **white noise measure** (π_k)

- **Total Hamiltonian:** Coupling V_k between the small system and reservoir k . Total Hamiltonian

$$H = H_S(p, q) + \sum_{k=1}^K H_k(\pi_k, \varphi_k) + \sum_{k=1}^K V_k(p, q, \pi_k, \varphi_k)$$

- **Reference measure:** Choose inverse temperatures β_1, \dots, β_K and (arbitrary) measure ν_S on small system

$$\mu_0 = \nu_S \times \mu_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{\beta_K}$$

- **Time-reversal:** $J(p, q, \pi, \varphi) = (-p, q, -\pi, \varphi)$ and $H \circ J = H$ and $\mu_0 \circ J = \mu_0$.

Dynamics:

Hamiltonian flow on infinite dimensional phase space

Definition of entropy production

Reference measure evolved under the dynamics $\mu_t \equiv \mu_0 \circ \Phi_{-t}$

Radon-Nikodym derivative (Jacobian) $J_t = \frac{d\mu_t}{d\mu_0}$

Chain rule $J_{t+s} = J_t J_s \circ \Phi_{-t}$ implies that

$$J_t = \exp \left[\int_0^t \sigma \circ \Phi_{-s} ds \right],$$

where

$$\sigma = \left. \frac{dJ_t}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \equiv \text{Entropy production observable}$$

Examples

Example 1: Assume M is a compact manifold and $\mu_0 =$ is Lebesgue measure on M . Then

$\sigma =$ phase space contraction rate

If the dynamics is $\dot{x} = G(x)$ then $\sigma(x) = \text{div}(G)(x)$

Example 2: Small system coupled to heat reservoirs. Then a computation shows that

$$\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k J_k = \text{"Physical entropy production"}$$

where

$J_k =$ Energy flow from small system into reservoir k

Relative entropy and positivity of entropy production

Relative entropy: $\mathcal{H}(\mu|\nu) = \int \log\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right) d\mu$. Then

$$H(\mu_t|\mu_0) = \int_0^t \mu_s(\sigma) ds$$

Since $H(\mu|\nu) \geq 0$ and if $t^{-1} \int_0^t \mu_s \rightarrow \mu$ (by the SRB-property) then

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(\mu_t|\mu_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_s(\sigma) ds = \mu_+(\sigma)$$

The entropy production in the steady state is non-negative

Under mild conditions one can prove

$$\mu_+(\sigma) > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_+ \perp \mu_0$$

i.e. the entropy production is positive iff the NESS μ_+ is singular with respect to the reference measure μ_0 .

Time reversal, Evans-Searle, Gallavotti-Cohen

Assume now **TIME REVERSAL INVARIANCE**

- We have $\sigma \circ J = -\sigma$ (i.e. the entropy production is odd under time-reversal).
- Time reversal on paths

$$\Theta : \{\Phi_t(x)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mapsto \{\Phi_{t-s} \circ i(x)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$$

- **Path space measure:** The reference measure μ_0 on M induces a probability distribution P_{μ_0} on the path space $M^{[0,t]}$.

We have

$$\frac{dP_{\mu_0} \circ \Theta}{dP_{\mu_0}}|_{[0,t]} = \exp \left[- \int_0^t \sigma \circ \Phi_s(x) ds \right] (\star)$$

(Looks familiar?)

Evans-Searle Symmetry

Moment generating function

$$g_t(\alpha) = \mu_0 \left(\exp \left[-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma \circ \Phi_s ds \right] \right)$$

Then (\star) is equivalent that $g_t(\alpha) = g_t(1 - \alpha)$.

Let $Q_{\mu_0, t}$ denote the probability distribution of $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma(x_s) ds$ with initial distribution μ_0 and let $\tau(z) = -z$.

We obtain

$$\frac{dQ_{\mu_0, t} \circ \tau}{dQ_{\mu_0, t}}(z) = \exp(-tz). \quad \text{Evans - Searle}$$

→ True for all times t but it depends crucially on picking the reference measure μ_0 .

→ True even without any good ergodic properties!

From Evans-Searle to Gallavotti-Cohen

Assume that

$$e(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log g_t(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu_0 \left(\exp \left[-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma \circ \Phi_s ds \right] \right)$$

exists and is C^1 then $e(\alpha) = e(1 - \alpha)$ and we obtain a large deviation principle

$$\mu_0 \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma \circ \Phi_s ds \approx z \right) \sim e^{-nI(z)}$$

where

$$I(z) - I(-z) = -z \quad \text{Gallavotti - Cohen symmetry}$$

Remark: The large deviations is with respect to **the initial measure** μ_0 not the NESS μ_+ which is singular to μ_0 . Large deviations with respect to μ_+ is extra work (highly nontrivial exchange of limit!).

Gibbsian approach to non equilibrium

Another road to the fluctuation theorem (advocated by Maes) use the **thermodynamic formalism** of Ruelle.

It works best for a **compact metric space** M , and a continuous map $F : M \rightarrow M$.

Variational principle: For $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$P(\varphi) = \sup_{\nu \text{ invariant}} \left\{ h_{\nu}(F) + \int \varphi d\nu \right\} .$$

where $h_{\nu}(F)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy and $P(\varphi)$ is the topological pressure.

If the dynamical system is "sufficiently chaotic" and φ is Hölder continuous then we the supremum is attained and is **attained at a unique $\nu = \nu_{\varphi}$** which is called the **equilibrium measure for the potential φ**

Large deviations for ergodic averages $S_n(\psi)$

Large deviations: (Kiefer) If $\nu = \nu_\phi$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous then we have for "sufficiently chaotic map"

$$\epsilon_\psi(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \nu(e^{\alpha S_n(\psi)}) = P(\varphi + \alpha\psi)$$

and $e(\alpha)$ is smooth.

Examples: Anosov maps, Markov subshifts, one-dimensional Gibbs measure (space=time).

Assume now that there is time reversal $J : M \rightarrow M$ and assume that the potential φ is **not time reversal invariant**

$$\sigma = \sigma_\varphi = \varphi - \varphi \circ J$$

Canonical example and connection with SRB: M smooth manifold, F smooth uniformly hyperbolic map.

$$\varphi = -\log JDF^u, \quad \sigma = -\log DF$$

Theorem: We have $e(\alpha) = e(1 - \alpha)$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\varphi - \alpha\sigma_\varphi) &= \sup_\nu \left\{ h_\nu(F) + \int [\varphi - \alpha\sigma_\varphi] d\nu \right\} \\ &= \sup_\nu \left\{ h_\nu \circ J(F) + \int [(1 - \alpha)\varphi - \alpha\varphi \circ J] d\nu \circ J \right\} \\ &= \sup_\nu \left\{ h_\nu(F^{-1}) + \int [(1 - \alpha)\varphi - \alpha\sigma \circ J] d\nu \circ J \right\} \\ &= \sup_\nu \left\{ h_\nu(F) + \int [(1 - \alpha)\varphi \circ J - \alpha\sigma] d\nu \right\} \\ &= P(\varphi - (1 - \alpha)\sigma_\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Examples

Two examples:

1) Anharmonic chain coupled to two heat reservoirs

Joint work with J.-P. Eckmann, C.-A. Pillet and L.E. Thomas.

2) Hyperbolic billiard under external electric field and Gaussian thermostat:

Joint work with L.-S. Young.

Based on results of

- Sinai, Bunimovich, and Chernov 1980's
- Chernov, Eyink, Lebowitz, and Sinai 1995
- Chernov 2002
- L.-S. Young 1995
- Wojtkowski

New results: Large deviation principle for billards!

Example 1 : Anharmonic chains

Small system $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_N)$

$$H_S(p, q) = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{p_l^2}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^n V(q_l) + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} U(q_l - q_{l-1}).$$

Assume $V(q) \sim q^{k_1}$ $U(q) \sim q^{k_2}$ and $k_2 \geq k_1$

Two reservoirs: Linear wave equations at inverse temperature β_L and β_R . Initial configurations of the reservoir distributed according to Gibbs measures μ_{β_L} and μ_{β_R} .

Coupling: $q_1 \cdot \int \phi_L \rho_L dx + q_N \cdot \int \phi_R \rho_R dx$

Special couplings: $|\hat{\rho}_i(k)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{P_i(k^2)}$, P =polynomial

Extract a stochastic process

Integrate the (linear) equation for the bath yields generalized Langevin equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 q_1}{dt^2} &= -\nabla_{q_1} H(p, q) - \int_0^t D(t-s)p(s) ds + \xi(t) \\ \frac{d^2 q_2}{dt^2} &= -\nabla_{q_1} H(p, q) \\ \dots & \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a Gaussian random process with covariance $TC(t)$ and $D(t) = \frac{d}{dt}C(t)$ (fluctuation-dissipation Thm).

If $|\hat{\rho}_i(k)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{k^2 + \gamma^2}$ then one finds a SDE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 q_1}{dt^2} &= -\nabla_{q_1} H(p, q) + r \\ (1) \quad dr &= -\gamma r + \lambda p + \sqrt{2T\lambda} dB \end{aligned}$$

and we are in business again.

Example 2: Hyperbolic billiards

Single particle moving freely and colliding elastically on a periodic array of strictly convex smooth obstacles in \mathbf{R}^2 . Periodicity reduces to a system on with phase space $(\mathbf{T}^2 \setminus \cup_i \Gamma_i) \times \mathbf{R}^2$.

Assume: Finite horizon: every trajectory meets an obstacle.

Equations of motions: equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q} &= p \\ \dot{p} &= 0 \quad + \text{ elastic reflections}\end{aligned}$$

Energy is conserved \rightarrow the phase space reduces to $(\mathbf{T}^2 \setminus \cup_i \Gamma_i) \times \mathbf{S}^1$

The Lebesgue measure μ_0 on each energy surface is invariant, ergodic, and mixing (Sinai, Bunimovich, Chernov).

Equations of motions: non-equilibrium.

We add an External electric field E and Gaussian thermostat

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q} &= p \\ \dot{p} &= E - \frac{E \cdot p}{p \cdot p} p + \text{elastic reflections}\end{aligned}$$

The system is time reversible, $i(p, q) = (-p, q)$.

If E is small enough there exists a SRB measure $\mu_+^{(E)}$ on each energy surface which is invariant, ergodic, and mixing (Chernov, Eyink, Lebowitz, Sinai; Chernov; Wojtkowski).

Linear response is treated in Chernov, Eyink, Lebowitz, Sinai;

We analyze the system in terms of the **collision map**:

$$F_E : (\theta, x) \mapsto (\theta', x')$$

where (θ, x) is the position of a collision on the boundary of the obstacles and θ is the angle of the incoming velocity with respect to the normal.

Discrete-dynamical system on the 2-dimensional phase space

$$M = \cup_i \partial\Gamma_i \times \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

If $E = 0$ (**equilibrium**) F_0 preserves the measure

$$\mu_0 = \text{const} \cos(\theta) d\theta dr$$

If $E \neq 0$ (**non-equilibrium**) F_E has an SRB measure (μ_0 =reference measure)

$$\mu_+^{(E)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_+^{(E)} \perp \mu_0$$

.

Large deviations for billiards

Suppose g is Hölder continuous on M (or piecewise Hölder continuous; singularities). For convenience assume $\mu_+^{(E)}(g) = 0$.

Ergodic sum $S_n(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g \circ F^{(E)k}$

Autocorrelation function

$$\sigma^2(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(S_n(g)^2) = \mu_+^{(E)}(g^2) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_+^{(E)}(g(g \circ F_E^n))$$

Fact:

$$0 < \sigma^2 < \infty, \quad \sigma^2(g) = 0 \text{ iff } g = C + h \circ F_E - h$$

Theorem (L.-S. Young, L. R.-B.) Assume $\sigma^2(g) > 0$.

- **Large deviations:** There exists an interval (z_-, z_+) which contains $\mu_+(g) = 0$ such that for $a \in (z_-, z_+)$ we have

$$\mu_+ \left\{ \frac{S_n(g)}{n} \approx a \right\} \sim \exp[-nI(a)].$$

Moreover $I(z)$ **strictly convex** and **real-analytic** with $I''(0) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$

- **Moderate deviations:** Let $1/2 < \beta < 1$. Then

$$\nu \left\{ \frac{S_n(g)}{n^\beta} \approx a \right\} \sim \exp \left[-n^{2\beta-1} \frac{a^2}{2\sigma^2} \right].$$

- **Central Limit Theorem:** Already known: Sinai & al, Liverani, Young...

$$\nu \left\{ a \leq \frac{S_n(g)}{n^{1/2}} \leq b \right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_a^b \exp \left[-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] dz.$$

Young towers

Our theorem is proved using **Young towers** introduced by Lai-Sang Young in 1995. The towers are a **symbolic representation** of **non-uniformly hyperbolic** dynamical systems.

Special type of Markov partition with countably many states, based on ideas of **renewal theory**: choose a set $\Lambda \subset M$ and construct a partition of $\Lambda \approx \cup_i \Lambda_i$ where Λ_i is a stable subset which "returns" (\equiv full intersection) after time R_i . This gives a **Markov extension**. Finally quotient out the stable manifolds.

Consequence: our **large deviation results** apply to

- **Billiards**
- **Quadratic maps**
- **Piecewise hyperbolic maps**
- **Hénon-type maps**
- **Rank-one chaos** (Qiudong Wang and L.S. Young) Some periodically kicked limit cycles and certain periodically forced non-linear oscillators with friction.

Tower Ingredients

- Measure space (Δ_0, m) and a map $f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$ (noninvertible)
- Return time $R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$.
 Assume exponential tail: $m\{R \geq n\} \leq De^{-\gamma n}$
 Assume aperiodicity: $\text{g.c.d.}\{R(x)\} = 1$
- Tower = suspension of f under the return time R

$$\underbrace{\Delta_l \equiv \{x \in \Delta_0; R(x) \geq l + 1\}}_{l\text{-th floor}} \text{ and } \underbrace{\Delta \equiv \sqcup_{l \geq 0} \Delta_l}_{\text{tower}} \text{ (disjoint union)}$$

$$\text{Dynamics } F : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \quad F(x, l) = \begin{cases} (x, l + 1) & R(x) > l + 1 \\ (f(x), 0) & R(x) = l + 1 \end{cases}$$

- Markov partition $\Delta_l = \Delta_{l,1} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{l,j_l}$ with $j_l < \infty$.

F maps $\Delta_{l,j}$ onto a collection of $\Delta_{l+1,k}$'s plus possibly Δ_0 .

The Markov partition is generating (i.e. each point has a unique coding).

- Dynamical distance:

$$s(x, y) = \inf\{n, F^i(x) \text{ and } F^i(y) \text{ belong to the same } \Delta_{l,k}, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$$

For $\beta < 1$ let $d_\beta(x, y) = \beta^{s(x,y)}$

- Distortion estimates: Let JF the Jacobian of F with respect to m .

$$\left| \frac{JF(x)}{JF(y)} - 1 \right| \leq C d_\beta(x, y)$$

Remark: If $JF = \text{const}$ on each $\Delta_{l,j}$ then we have a Markov chain on a countable state space

Transfer operators and large deviations

Think of m as the (image of) Lebesgue measure on unstable manifolds. The (image of the) SRB measure has then the form

$$\nu = h dm, \quad h \in L^1(m).$$

The transfer operator \mathcal{L}_0 is the adjoint of $U\psi = \psi \circ F$

$$\int \varphi \psi \circ F dm = \int \mathcal{L}_0(\varphi) \psi dm$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0\varphi(x) = \sum_{y: F(y)=x} \frac{1}{JF(y)} \varphi(y)$$

$$\nu = h dm \text{ } F\text{-invariant iff } \mathcal{L}_0 h = h$$

Moment generating function and large deviations

Consider the moment generating function

$$\mu_+(\exp[\theta S_n(g)])$$

for the random variable $S_n(g) = g + g \circ F + \cdots + g \circ F^{n-1}$.

If

$$e(\theta) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_+(\exp[\theta S_n(g)])$$

exists and is smooth (at least C^1) then we have large deviations with

$$I(z) = \sup_{\theta} (\theta z - e(\theta)), \quad \text{Legendre Transform.}$$

(Gartner-Ellis Theorem)

Moment generating functions and transfer operators

To study the large deviations for $S_n(g)$ consider the generalized transfer operator

$$\mathcal{L}_g \varphi(x) = \sum_{y: F(y)=x} \frac{e^{g(y)}}{JF(y)} \varphi(y)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_+(\exp[\theta S_n(g)]) &= m(\exp[\theta S_n(g)] h) \\ &= m(\mathcal{L}_0^n[\exp[\theta S_n(g)] h]) \\ &= m(\mathcal{L}_{\theta g}^n(h)) \end{aligned}$$

⇒ Large deviations follow from **spectral properties** of $\mathcal{L}_{\theta g}$

Spectral properties of transfer operators

Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{\theta g}$ is **quasi-compact** on some Banach space $X \ni h$, i.e. the essential spectral radius strictly smaller than the spectral radius.

By a **Perron-Frobenius** argument $\mathcal{L}_{\theta g}$ a maximal eigenvalue $\exp[e(\theta)]$ and a spectral gap (aperiodicity) and thus

$$e(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \nu(\exp[\theta S_n(g)])$$

By **analytic perturbation theory** $e(\theta)$ is **real-analytic** and then standard probabilistic techniques implies

$$\mu_+ \left\{ x; \frac{S_n(g)}{n} \approx z \right\} \sim e^{-nI(z)}$$

$I(z)$ = Legendre transform of $e(\theta)$

as well as **moderate deviations**, **central limit theorem**, and so on...

Choice of Banach space

Recall $m\{R \geq n\} \leq De^{-\gamma n}$. Choose $\gamma_1 < \gamma$ and set

$$v(x) = e^{\gamma_1 l} \quad x \in \Delta_l$$

Banach space

$$X = \{\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}; \|\varphi\|_v \equiv \|\varphi\|_{v,\text{sup}} + \|\varphi\|_{v,\text{Lip}} < \infty\}$$

with

$$\varphi_{v,\text{sup}} = \sup_{l,j} \sup_{x \in \Delta_{l,j}} |\varphi(x)| e^{\gamma_1 l}$$

$$\varphi_{v,\text{Lip}} = \sup_{l,j} \sup_{x,y \in \Delta_{l,j}} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{d_\beta(x,y)} e^{\gamma_1 l}$$

Banach space of weighed Lipschitz functions

Spectral analysis

Lasota York estimate: For g bounded Lipschitz

$$\|\mathcal{L}_g^n(\varphi)\|_v \leq \|\mathcal{L}_g^n(1)\|_{v,\text{sup}} (\beta^n \|\varphi\|_v + C \|\varphi\|_{v,\text{sup}})$$

Pressure

$$P(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{L}_g^n(1)\|_{v,\text{sup}}.$$

Pressure at infinity: Control on the high floors of the towers!

$$P_*(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\| \inf_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_g^n(1)^{>k} \right\|_{v,\text{sup}}.$$

($\varphi^{>k} = \varphi$ for $x \in \Delta_l$ with $l > k$ and 0 otherwise)

Theorem:

The **spectral radius** of \mathcal{L}_g is $e^{P(g)}$.

The **essential spectral radius** of \mathcal{L}_g is $\max\{e^{P_*(g)}, \beta e^{P(g)}\}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_g$ is quasicompact if $P_*(g) < P(g)$.

Theorem: $P_*(g) < P(g)$ if $(\max g - \min g) < \gamma$.

Theorem: If $P_*(g) < P(g)$ then $\exp(P(g))$ is a (simple) eigenvalue and no other eigenvalue on the circle $\{|z| = \exp(P(g))\}$.

Conclusion: The moment generating function

$$e(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \nu(\exp[\theta S_n(g)])$$

exists and is analytic if $|\theta| \leq \gamma / (\max g - \min g)$.

□

Fluctuation theorem

Combine

- Time-reversal i , $i(p, q) = (-p, q)$
- Entropy production = phase space contraction

$$\Sigma = -\log JF^s - \log JF^u$$

- The SRB measure is "the equilibrium state" for the potential $-\log JF^u$ (use the Markov extension).
- The large deviation principle.