A Hybrid High-Order method for incremental associative plasticity with small deformations

Mickaël Abbas, Alexandre Ern, Nicolas Pignet

EDF R&D - IMSIA CERMICS - INRIA

Current Trends and Open Problems in Computational Solid Mechanics, Hannover, 09.10.18



Context

- Associative plasticity with small deformations
 - non-linear stress-strain constitutive relation (material nonlinearity)
 - history of the deformations (irreversible phenomena)
- Presence of volumetric-locking with primal *H*¹-conforming formulation due to plastic incompressibility
- An alternative : using mixed methods but more unknowns, more expensive to build, saddle-point problem to solve ...



Figure 1 – Trace of the stress tensor for (a) P1 (b) P2 (c) P2/P1/P1

- Primal formulation
 - \Rightarrow More advantageous than mixed methods
- Abscence of volumetric-locking
 - \Rightarrow More advantageous than primal methods
- Integration of the behavior law only at cell-based quadrature nodes
 - \Rightarrow More advantageous than discontinuous Galerkin (dG) methods
- Implementation in the open-source libraries *disk++* and code_aster
 - <u>code</u> : https ://github.com/wareHHOuse/diskpp
 - <u>code</u> : https ://www.code-aster.org

Some references on primal formulations without volumetric-locking

- discontinuous Galerkin (dG)
 - [Noels, Radovitzsky 06]
 - [ten Eyck, Lew 06]
- Hybrid Methods
 - [Wulfinghoff, Bayat, Alipour, Reese 17]
 - [Krämer, Wieners, Wohlmuth, Wunderlich 16]
- Virtual Element Method (VEM)
 - [Chi, Beirão da Veiga, Paulino 17]
 - [Wriggers, Reddy, Rust, Hudobivnik 17]

Key ideas of Hybrid High-Order (HHO) methods

- Primal formulation with cells and faces unknowns
- Local reconstruction and stabilization
 - Symmetric gradient tensor field reconstructed in $\mathbb{P}_d^k(T; \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{d \times d})$
 - Stabilization connecting cell and faces unknowns
- References
 - diffusion problem [Di Pietro, Ern, Lemaire, CMAM 14]
 - quasi-incompressible linear elasticity [Di Pietro, Ern, CMAME 15]
 - nonlinear elasticity with small def. [Botti, Di Pietro, Sochala, SINUM 17]
 - hyperelasticity with finite deformations [Abbas, Ern, Pignet, CM 18]



Figure 2 - Face (green) and Cell (blue) unknowns

Features of HHO methods

- Support of polytopal meshes (with possibly nonconforming interfaces)
- Arbitrary approximation order $k \ge 1$
 - h^{k+1} convergence in energy-norm
 - h^{k+2} convergence in L^2 -norm with elliptic regularity
- Dimension-independent construction
- Attractive computational costs
 - Compact stencil (only neighbourhood faces)
 - Cell unknowns are eliminated locally by static condensation
 - Reduced size $N_{dofs}^{hho} \approx k^2 \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{F}^h)$ vs. $N_{dofs}^{dG} \approx k^3 \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{T}^h)$
- Local principle of virtual work (equilibrated tractions)
- HHO methods are bridged to HDG and ncVEM
 - [Cockburn, Di Pietro, Ern 16]

Plasticity problem

- Let $\Omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (d=2,3), be a bounded connected polytopal domain
- Let \underline{f} and \underline{t} be given volumetric and surface (on Γ_n) loads
- Let $\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_D$ be a given imposed displacement on Γ_d
- $\bullet\,$ History of the deformations : \to we introduce the internal state variables χ
- For all $1 \le n \le N$, find $\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}^n \in V_{\mathrm{D}} := \{ \underline{\boldsymbol{v}} \in H^1(\Omega_0; \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \underline{\boldsymbol{v}} = \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_D \text{ on } \Gamma_d \}$ s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}} \underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\underline{u}}^n) : \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}(\underline{\underline{v}}) \, d\Omega_0 = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}} \underline{\underline{f}}^n \cdot \underline{\underline{v}} \, d\Omega_0 + \int_{\Gamma_n} \underline{\underline{t}}^n \cdot \underline{\underline{v}} \, d\Gamma \text{ for all } \underline{\underline{v}} \in V_0,$$

and

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\underline{u}}^n) = \text{PLASTICITY}(\underline{\chi}, \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}(\underline{\underline{u}}^{n-1}), \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}(\underline{\underline{u}}^n)).$$

where $\operatorname{PLASTICITY}$ is a generic behavior integrator

Local DOFs space

- Let $M^h := (\mathcal{T}^h, \mathcal{F}^h)$ be a mesh of Ω_0 with \mathcal{T}^h the set of cells and \mathcal{F}^h the set of (planar) faces
- Let a polynomial degree $k \geq 1$, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}^h$



Figure 3 – Local DOFs for k = 1, 2. Cell unknowns are eliminated by static condensation

$$\underline{\underline{E}}_{T}^{k}: \mathbb{P}_{d}^{k}(T; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \times \mathbb{P}_{d-1}^{k}(\mathcal{F}_{\partial T}; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_{d}^{k}(T; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})}_{\text{local strain space}}$$

• The reconstructed strain $\underline{\underline{E}}_{T}^{k}(\underline{v}_{T}, \underline{v}_{\partial T})$ solves, $\forall \underline{\underline{\tau}} \in \mathbb{P}_{d}^{k}(T; \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{d \times d})$

$$(\underline{\underline{E}}_{T}^{k}(\underline{\underline{v}}_{T},\underline{\underline{v}}_{\partial T}),\underline{\underline{\tau}})_{\underline{\underline{\mu}}^{2}(T)} = (\underline{\underline{\nabla}}^{\mathrm{sym}}\underline{\underline{v}}_{T},\underline{\underline{\tau}})_{\underline{\underline{L}}^{2}(T)} + (\underline{\underline{v}}_{\partial T} - \underline{\underline{v}}_{T},\underline{\underline{\tau}},\underline{\underline{\tau}})_{\underline{\underline{L}}^{2}(\partial T)}$$

- well-posed local Neumann problem
- local scalar mass-matrix of size $\binom{k+d}{k}$ to invert (ex : k = 2, d = 3, size = 10)
- $\underline{\underline{E}}_{T}^{k}$ depends only on the geometry of T (for k fixed)

Stabilization operator

• Problem :
$$\underline{\underline{E}}_{T}^{k}(\underline{v}_{T}, \underline{v}_{\partial T}) = \underline{\underline{0}} \Rightarrow \underline{v}_{T} = \underline{v}_{\partial T} = \text{cste}$$

 \Rightarrow We have to "connect" the cell and faces unknowns

Hence, we penalize the difference between the faces unknowns and the trace of the cell unknowns : <u>S</u>^k_{∂T}(<u>v</u>_{∂T} - <u>v</u>_{T|∂T}) ∈ P^k_{d-1}(F_{∂T}; ℝ^d),

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\partial T}^{k}(\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\partial T}-\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{T}|\partial T)=\underline{\Pi}_{\partial T}^{k}(\underbrace{\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\partial T}}_{\text{HDG term}}-\underbrace{(\underline{\boldsymbol{l}}_{d}-\underline{\Pi}_{T}^{k})\underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{T}^{k+1}(\underline{\boldsymbol{0}},\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\partial T}-\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{T}|\partial T})_{\text{high-order correction}})$$

where $\underline{\Pi}_{\partial T}^{k}$ is the L^2 -projector on ∂T , $\underline{\Pi}_{T}^{k}$ the L^2 -projector on T, and \underline{D}_{T}^{k+1} is a reconstructed displacement field

- Different to the HDG-stabilization operator
- The high-order correction is a distinctive feature of HHO methods

Global discrete problem

For all
$$1 \le n \le N$$
, find $(\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mathcal{T}^{h}}^{n}, \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mathcal{F}^{h}}^{n}) \in \left\{ \bigotimes_{T \in \mathcal{T}^{h}} \mathbb{P}_{d}^{k}(T; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \right\} \times \left\{ \bigotimes_{F \in \mathcal{F}^{h}} \mathbb{P}_{d-1}^{k}(F; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \right\}$
$$= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}^{h}} (\underline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{T}^{n}, \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\partial T}^{n}), \underline{\underline{\boldsymbol{E}}}_{T}^{k}(\delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{T}, \delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\partial T}))_{\underline{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2}(T)}$$
$$+ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}^{h}} \beta h_{T}^{-1} (\underline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\partial T}^{k}(\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\partial T}^{n} - \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{T}^{n}|_{\partial T}), \underline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\partial T}^{k}(\delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\partial T} - \delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{T}|_{\partial T}))_{\underline{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2}(\partial T)}$$
$$= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}^{h}} (\underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{T})_{\underline{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2}(T)} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{b,n}^{h}} (\underline{\boldsymbol{t}}, \delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{F})_{\underline{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2}(F)}, \quad \forall (\delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathcal{T}^{h}}, \delta \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathcal{F}^{h}}).$$

and for all quadrature points

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\underline{u}}_{T}^{n},\underline{\underline{u}}_{\partial T}^{n}) = \text{PLASTICITY}(\underline{\underline{\chi}}_{T},\underline{\underline{\underline{E}}}_{T}^{k}(\underline{\underline{u}}_{T}^{n-1},\underline{\underline{u}}_{\partial T}^{n-1}),\underline{\underline{\underline{E}}}_{T}^{k}(\underline{\underline{u}}_{T}^{n},\underline{\underline{u}}_{\partial T}^{n}))$$

with $\beta \simeq 2\mu$ an user-dependent stabilization parameter

- Nonlinear problem to solve (material nonlinearity)
- Iterative resolution with a Newton method
- Static condensation performed at each Newton's iteration
- Offline computations (gradient and stabilization operators precomputed)
- Implementation in the open-source libraries *disk++* and code_aster
- Verification on analytical solution :
 - Absence of volumetric-locking due to plastic incompressibility
- Comparison to P^2 and $P^2/P^1/P^1$ (UPG) methods

Numerical example : sphere under internal pressure I

- Perfect *J*₂-plasticity
- Increase the internal pressure until the limit load



Numerical example : sphere under internal pressure II



Figure 4 – Trace of the stress tensor at the quadrature points at the limit load

• Absence of volumetric-locking for HHO and mixed (UPG) methods

Numerical example : quasi-incompressible Cook's membrane

• Linear isotropic hardening with J_2 -plasticity ($\nu = 0.4999$)



 HHO(2) out-performs compare to mixed (UPG) methods (same displacement order)

Perforated strip under uniaxial extension

• Combined linear kinematic and isotropic hardening with J_2 -plasticity



• Support of polyhedral meshes

Unstabilized HHO method on simplicial meshes

- How to choose the stabilization parameter β :
 - $\beta = 2\mu$ is the value for the linear elasticity
 - $\bullet~$ No general theory on the choice of β
 - If β is too small \Rightarrow Difficulties to converge
 - If β is too large \Rightarrow The system is ill-conditioned
- Original idea for dG : [John, Neilan, Smears 16]
 - Based on the properties of the Raviart-Thomas space
- Gradient reconstruction in $\mathbb{P}_d^{k+1}(T; \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{d \times d})$ (larger space)
 - ex : k = 2, d = 3, size = 20 for $\mathbb{P}_d^{k+1}(T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ vs 10 for $\mathbb{P}_d^k(T; v^{d \times d})$
- No additional stabilization is needed ($\beta \equiv 0$)
- Lower convergence rates (h^k in energy-norm and h^{k+1} in L^2 -norm)
- Comparable numerical cost vs. stabilized HHO methods

- Conclusion :
 - Adaptation of HHO methods to associative plasticity with small deformations
 - Primal formulation
 - Absence of volumetric-locking
- Perspectives of this work :
 - Extension to finite plasticity
 - Introduction of contact and friction using Nitsche's method (with F. Chouly)

Thank you for your attention

email : nicolas.pignet@enpc.fr

<u>Reference</u> : M. Abbas, A. Ern and NP, "A Hybrid High-Order method for incremental associative plasticity with small deformations", Comput. Methods. Appl. Mech. Engrg. (2018)

18 / 18