

Probabilistic Numerical Methods 2024–2025

Lecture 4: The Stochastic Integral

julien.reygner@enpc.fr

The motivation of this lecture and the next one is to construct a differential calculus aimed at describing the (infinitesimal) evolution of quantities of the form $\Phi(B_t)$ where $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion and $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Since the trajectories of the Brownian motion are not differentiable, the usual chain rule does not apply. Today we construct the first part of this differential calculus: the stochastic integral. It is partially inspired by the construction of the Stieltjes integral for functions of bounded variation.

Introductory exercise: let $T > 0$ and $\sigma = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$. We write $\|\sigma\| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} t_{i+1} - t_i$. Show that $\lim_{\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 = T$ in \mathbf{L}^2 .

1 Functions of bounded variation and the Stieltjes integral

For $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(0) = 0$, we define $\text{TV}(g) = \sup_{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)|$, and say that g is of bounded variation (BV) if $\text{TV}(g) < \infty$. It turns out that g is BV if and only if $g = g_+ - g_-$ with nondecreasing functions $g_{\pm} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In this case, g has left- and right limits everywhere, and the right-continuous, left-limited version of g is the cumulative distribution function of some bounded signed measure μ on $[0, T]$.

A typical example is when g is differentiable, then μ is the measure with density $g'(t)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$. In particular, $\text{TV}(g) = \int_0^T |g'(t)| dt$ and one can take $g_{\pm}(t) = \int_0^t [g'(s)]_{\pm} ds$.

Now let g be BV and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. For any piecewise constant function h^n , of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t)$ on some subdivision σ , which is such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |h^n(t) - h(t)| \rightarrow 0$, the quantity $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_i (g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i))$ converges, when $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$, to a limit which does not depend on the choice of h^n . This is the Stieltjes integral of f with respect to g , it is denoted by $\int_0^T h(t) dg(t)$.

It is related with:

- the Riemann integral because in the case where g is C^1 then $\int_0^T h(t) dg(t) = \int_0^T h(t) g'(t) dt$;
- the Lebesgue integral because $\int_0^T h(t) dg(t) = \int_0^T h(t) \mu(dt)$.

2 Construction of the stochastic integral

We mostly follow Section 10.1 of the 2023/2024 notes.

We now fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, equipped with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that \mathcal{F}_0 contains all negligible sets, and let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -Brownian motion. The goal is to construct the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^T H_t dB_t$$

for a large enough class of processes $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

2.1 Construction for piecewise constant integrands

Construction for processes of the form $H_t^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t)$.

Problem of limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for two approximations of $H_t = B_t$.

2.2 The Itô convention

Progressively measurable processes. Notation $\Lambda^2([0, T])$ and $\Lambda_0^2([0, T])$. Characterisation of elements of $\Lambda_0^2([0, T])$.

Construction of the stochastic integral by extension of the isometry from $\Lambda_0^2([0, T])$ to $\Lambda^2([0, T])$.

Application: computation of $\int_0^T B_t dB_t$.

Properties: Chasles relation, linearity, identities for mean and variance.

Proposition 2.1 (Stochastic integral as a process). *For any $(H_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \Lambda^2([0, T])$, the process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined by*

$$X_t = \int_0^t H_s dB_s$$

is adapted and has an almost surely continuous modification, which is therefore progressively measurable.

See the proof in Appendix A.

Exercise: compute $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T H_t dB_t \int_0^T H'_t dB_t]$.

2.3 Extension and localisation

The goal is now to remove the $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ condition on $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Definition of Λ_{loc} . Introduction of the stopping time τ_M and extension of the stochastic integral by localisation.

2.4 Exercises

Probability of exit from a strip.

The Wiener integral.

A Proof of Proposition 2.1

It is important to keep in mind that the stochastic integral on $[0, T]$ is constructed by a limiting procedure in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, and therefore it is only defined up to a negligible subset, which depends on T . So the first step is to realise that for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$X_t := \int_0^t H_s dB_s = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s dB_s, \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

where the first stochastic integral is constructed on $[0, t]$ by approximation of the integrand $(H_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$, while the second stochastic integral is constructed on $[0, T]$ by approximation of the integrand $(\mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$. But it is clear that if $(H_s^n)_{s \in [0, t]}$ is a sequence of elements of $\Lambda_0^2([0, t])$ such that $\|H^n - H\|_{\Lambda^2([0, t])} \rightarrow 0$, then $(\mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s^n)_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a sequence of elements of $\Lambda_0^2([0, T])$ such that $\|\mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H^n - \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H\|_{\Lambda^2([0, T])} \rightarrow 0$, and moreover

$$\int_0^t H_s^n dB_s = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s^n dB_s.$$

Since the left- and right-hand sides respectively converge to $\int_0^t H_s dB_s$ and $\int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s dB_s$, in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, we deduce that these quantities coincide almost surely (but on an almost sure event which depends on t). In other words, the processes $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s dB_s)_{t \in [0, T]}$ are modification of each other.

To check that $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is adapted, we fix t and notice that by construction, X_t is the limit, in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$, of a sequence of \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variables X_t^n , therefore X_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable (in fact, since $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_t$ contains all negligible sets, any random variable \tilde{X}_t which coincides almost surely with X_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable).

We now construct an almost surely continuous modification of $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. To proceed, we let $(H_s^n)_{s \in [0, T]}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_0^2([0, T])$ which converges to $(H_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ in $\mathbf{\Lambda}^2([0, T])$. Since we are working with an almost surely continuous modification of the Brownian motion $(B_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$, the process $(X_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined by

$$X_t^n = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s^n dB_s$$

is almost surely continuous (recall that since $(\mathbb{1}_{\{s < t\}} H_s^n)_{s \in [0, T]} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_0^2([0, T])$, this construction is elementary). As a consequence, it may be viewed as a random variable in the space $C([0, T])$ of continuous trajectories, endowed with the Borel σ -field associated with the sup norm.

Moreover, each process $(X_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is easily seen to be a martingale. As a consequence, for $n, m \geq 1$, Doob's maximal inequality (Karatzas and Shreve, Section 1.3) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^n - X_t^m|^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [|X_T^n - X_T^m|^2] = \|H^n - H^m\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^2([0, T])}^2.$$

We deduce that if $(H^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{\Lambda}^2([0, T])$, then $(X^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]))$. Since this space is complete, there exists $(\tilde{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]))$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^n - \tilde{X}_t|^2] \rightarrow 0$. By construction, $(\tilde{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is almost surely continuous, but on the other hand, for any $t \in [0, T]$ it is such that $X_t = \tilde{X}_t$, almost surely. This completes the proof.

Remark A.1. A generalisation of the Doob maximal inequality for the (almost surely continuous modification of the) stochastic integral writes as follows: for any $p \geq 1$, there exist absolute constants $0 < c_p \leq C_p < \infty$ such that, for any $T > 0$, for any $(H_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^2([0, T])$,

$$c_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T H_t^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t H_s dB_s \right|^p \right] \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T H_t^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right]$$

This statement is called the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality (Karatzas and Shreve, Section 3.3).

Remark A.2. Now that we know that $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ has a progressively measurable modification, given a stopping time τ and $T > 0$ we may define the random variable $(X_{T \wedge \tau})(\omega) := X_{T \wedge \tau}(\omega)$. Then by similar arguments as in the proof above, we may check that

$$X_{T \wedge \tau} = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{\{s < \tau\}} H_s dB_s, \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

which is useful in localisation procedures.