

A Simplified One-Dimensional Shock and Detonation Wa

Gabriel STOLTZ^{1,2}

¹ CEA/DAM (Bruyères-le-Châtel, France)

² CERMICS, ENPC (Marne-la-Vallée, France)

<http://cermics.enpc.fr/~stoltz/>

References

G. Stoltz, *Shock waves in an augmented one-dimension*
Nonlinearity **18** (2005) 1967-1985

Presentation and preprints available at the URL
<http://cermics.enpc.fr/~stoltz/>

Why looking for a simplified model

Shock/detonation waves are multiscale phenomena

Different descriptions (fluid dynamics, molecular dynamics)

Usually, MD is used to **calibrate** parameters

A direct **micro/macro** limit (at least in some asymptotic regimes)
very interesting

Hence **simplified** 1D model since mathematical results often
exist?

Outline of the talk

Shock waves in one dimensional chains

Introducing some mean higher dimensional perturbations

- some heuristical forcing term

- a bath of linear oscillators and its stochastic limit

- a nonlinear model

Extension to detonation waves

- a simplified model of detonation in 1D chains

- some numerical results

I. Shock waves in one-dimensional atom chains

The model

Consider the Hamiltonian (nearest-neighbor interactions)

$$H_S(\{q_n, p_n\}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V(q_{n+1} - q_n) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{p}_n$$

with $(q_n, p_n) = (x_n, \dot{x}_n)$ ($x_n =$ **displacement**, not position!)

Newton's equations of motion:

$$\ddot{x}_n = V'(x_{n+1} - x_n) - V'(x_n - x_{n-1}).$$

Usually, Lennard-Jones like potential (possibly Morse or

Normalization conditions $V(0) = 0$, $V'(0) = 0$, $V''(0) =$

$b = -V'''(0)$ measures at the first order the anharmonicity

Shocks in the 1D chain

Shock obtained by compression by an infinitely massive piston (with initial velocity u_p)^a

Classification of the shock regimes according to $a = b u_p$

$a < 2$ = harmonic like behavior

$a > 2$ = hard rod like behavior

Rigorous mathematical proof in the Toda case^b

Robustness of the profiles with respect to thermal initial conditions
averaging over several realizations

^aDuvall *et al.* (1969); Holian *et al.* (1978, 1979, 1981)

^bVenakides *et al.* (1991)

Weak shock profiles ($a < 2$)

Weak shock profiles ($a = 0.45$) for a Lennard-Jones like potential initially at rest. Left: Relative displacement profile ($x_{n+1} - x_n$) velocity. The sizes of the different regions **grow linearly in time**

Strong shock profiles ($a > 2$)

Strong shock profiles ($a = 9$) for a Lennard-Jones like potential initially at rest. Left: Relative displacement profile ($x_{n+1} - x_n$) velocity. The sizes of the different regions **grow linearly in time**

Thermalized strong shock profiles

Strong shock profiles ($a = 9$) for a Lennard-Jones like potential initially at rest. Left: Relative displacement profile ($x_{n+1} - x_n$) velocity. The initial temperature is $\beta^{-1} = 0.01$.

II. Introducing some mean dimensional perturbatio

3D is not 1D

1D shocks behave badly because there is no room for reformation
(formation of the most energetic waves = binary waves)

3D shocks are 1D like only at $T = 0$ and when the compression is
along a principal axis^a

Otherwise, local equilibrium is quickly restored after the shock is
passed

Idea: the transverse degrees of freedom are necessary for reformation
= **thermostat** like degrees of freedom!

^aHolian, *Shock waves* (1995)

The form of the transverse perturbation

$$\begin{matrix} y \\ d_n \\ x_n \end{matrix} \quad \theta$$

Assumption: constrained d.o.f in the transverse and longitudinal directions (d.o.f. reduction)

Linearization around equilibrium geometry (FCC <100> structure)

$$\ddot{x}_n = \frac{9}{8}(x_{n+1} - 2x_n + x_{n-1}) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(y_n - y_{n-1}), \quad \ddot{y}_n = -\frac{3}{2}y_n$$

General case: sum of potentials with different spring constants

The augmented 1D model

System (S) and a **heat bath** (B) described by bath variables $(n \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, N)$.

The full Hamiltonian reads:

$$H(\{q_n, p_n, \tilde{q}_n^j, \tilde{p}_n^j\}) = H_S(\{q_n, p_n\}) + H_{SB}(\{q_n, p_n, \tilde{q}_n^j, \tilde{p}_n^j\})$$

where $(q_n, p_n, \tilde{q}_n^j, \tilde{p}_n^j) = (x_n, \dot{x}_n, y_n^j, m_j \dot{y}_n^j)$, H_S is given by

$$H_{SB} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2m_j} (\tilde{p}_n^j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_j [\gamma_j (x_{n+1} - x_n)^2]$$

Interpretation: each **longitudinal spring length** is thermodynamically

Spectrum $\omega_j^2 = k_j$, coupling constants γ_j

Choice of the spectrum parameters

Compute the solutions for y , and insert it into the equation

$$\ddot{x}_n(t) = -V'(x_{n+1} - x_n) - V'(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \int_0^t K_N(t-s)(\dot{x}_{n+1} - 2\dot{x}_n + \dot{x}_{n-1})(s) ds$$

σ random forcing term

memory kernel $K_N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j^2 \omega_j^2 \cos(\omega_j t)$ ("generalized equation")

Exponentially decreasing in time ($e^{-\alpha t}$) in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\omega_j = \Omega \left(\frac{j}{N} \right)^k, \quad \gamma_j^2 \omega_j^2 = \lambda^2 f^2(\omega_j) (\Delta\omega)$$

with $f^2(\omega) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + \omega^2}$, $(\Delta\omega)_j = \omega_{j+1} - \omega_j$, $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ and

Some numerical results

Strong shock ($a = 3$) with $N = 200$, $k = 1$, $\Omega = 5$, $\alpha = 2$ and λ
Relative displacement profile. Right: Velocity profile.

Some numerical results (2)

Same parameters, but results averaged over 10 realizations.
remain oscillations at the shock front (similar results exist for 3

^aZybin *et al.* (1999)

A nonlinear bath model

Thermostating with **less tranverse variables** and for **strong**

Model

$$H(\{q_n, p_n, \tilde{q}_n^j, \tilde{p}_n^j\}) = H_S(\{q_n, p_n\}) + H_{\text{NLB}}(\{q_n, p_n, \tilde{q}_n^j, \tilde{p}_n^j\})$$

with

$$H_{\text{NLB}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{p}_n^j)^2 + k_j U[\gamma_j (q_{n+1} - q_n)]$$

Typically, Lennard-Jones like interaction $U(x) = V_{\text{LJ}}(1 + \dots)$

Some numerical results

Strong shock ($u_p = 1$) with $N = 8$ NL oscillators, $k = 1$, $\Omega = 1$,
 $\lambda = 0.2$. Left: Relative displacement profile. Right: Velocity profile

Some numerical results (2)

Same parameters, but results averaged over 100 realizations.

III. Extension to detonation v

Modeling of detonation in 1D ch

Important features of detonation (ZND theory^a):

exothermicity (energy release) sustains and enhances

activation barrier: the speed of the shock wave has to be high enough for ignition to begin

chemical kinetics of the reactions

Modeling the **reaction rate** at site n : introduction of an exponential function r_n ($0 \leq r_n \leq 1$)

For example, m -th order kinetics (while $r_n \leq 1$)

$$\dot{r}_n = D(1 - r_n)^m$$

^aFickett and Davis, *Detonation*

Rate-dependent potential

Hardening of the potential + continuity point d_c where chemical reaction is initiated

$$V(d) \rightarrow (1 + Mr) V(d) - MV(d_c)$$

with r reaction rate, $M > 0$ hardening constant^a

^aSornette *et al.* (2003)

Some numerical results

Scaling of parameters: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\sqrt{1 + Kr_n}$ (memory), $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda\sqrt{1 + Kr_n}$ (constant)

Reactive shock ($K = 1$, first order kinetics $D = 0.025$, $d_c = 0.7$)
stochastic limit of the harmonic model. Left: Relative displacement
Right: Velocity profile.

Some prospects

Some prospects

Quantitative agreement with real 3D experiments

interaction potentials

spectrum parameters

diatomic chain with next nearest neighbor interaction

Continuum limit of the model (of the limiting stochastic differential equation)

Models with **reduced degrees of freedom** (Holian *et al*) → strategy?