

# New Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

Louis Garrigue

Singapore, September 24, 2019

Presentation of : G., *Hohenberg-Kohn theorems for interactions, spin and temperature*, (arxiv:1906.03191), Journal of Statistical Physics (2019)

# Standard Hohenberg-Kohn theorem

$$H^N(v) := \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} w(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^N v(x_i)$$

## Theorem (Standard Hohenberg-Kohn)

Let  $p > \max(2d/3, 2)$ , let  $w, v_1, v_2 \in (L^p + L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $H^N(v_1)$  and  $H^N(v_2)$  have ground states  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ . If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v_1 - v_2)(\rho_{\Psi_1} - \rho_{\Psi_2}) = 0,$$

then  $v_1 = v_2 + (E_1 - E_2)/N$ .

- The above assumption enhances the  $v/\rho$  duality
- Extends to more general  $v$ 's, and any boundary condition
- The mathematically technical step relies on a **unique continuation property** as Lieb realized. It is proved in (G. 19, arXiv:1901.03207) for Coulomb systems.

# Hohenberg-Kohn theorem for interactions

$$H^N(v, w) := \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} w(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^N v(x_i)$$

## Theorem (Hohenberg-Kohn for interactions)

Let  $w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 \in (L^p + L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . If there are two ground states  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  of  $H^N(v_1, w_1)$  and  $H^N(v_2, w_2)$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v_1 - v_2)(\rho_{\Psi_1} - \rho_{\Psi_2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_1 - w_2)(x - y)(\rho_{\Psi_1}^{(2)} - \rho_{\Psi_2}^{(2)})(x, y) dx dy = 0,$$

then  $w_1 = w_2 + c$  and  $v_1 = v_2 + \frac{E_1 - E_2}{N} - \frac{c(N-1)}{2}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- Siedentop and Müller (81') have a similar statement, but with an incomplete proof
- Pair correlations contain the information of the interaction

## Corollary

*Take potentials  $v_1, v_2, w \in (L^p + L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $w$  is even and not constant, such that  $H^N(v_1, w)$  and  $H^N(v_2, 0)$  have ground states  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ . Then  $\rho_{\Psi_1}^{(2)} \neq \rho_{\Psi_2}^{(2)}$ .*

Kohn-Sham effective systems cannot reproduce pair correlations

# Interactions and several types of particles

Take  $N$  particles of type a and  $M$  of type b (bosons or fermions)

$$H^{N,M}(v_a, v_b, w_a, w_b, w_{ab}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta_i + v_a(x_i)) + \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} (-\alpha \Delta_k + v_b(x_k)) \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} w_a(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{N+1 \leq k < l \leq N+M} w_b(x_k - x_l) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ N+1 \leq k \leq N+M}} w_{ab}(x_i - x_k)$$

## Theorem (Hohenberg-Kohn for different particles)

Let  $H^{N,M}(v_{a,i}, v_{b,i}, w_{a,i}, w_{b,i}, w_{ab,i})$  have ground states  $\Psi_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $\rho_{a,\Psi_1}^{(2)} = \rho_{a,\Psi_2}^{(2)}$ ,  $\rho_{b,\Psi_1}^{(2)} = \rho_{b,\Psi_2}^{(2)}$  and  $\rho_{ab,\Psi_1}^{(2)} = \rho_{ab,\Psi_2}^{(2)}$ , then  $v_{\eta,1} - v_{\eta,2}$ ,  $w_{\eta,1} - w_{\eta,2}$ , and  $w_{ab,1} - w_{ab,2}$  are constant (for  $\eta \in \{a, b\}$ ).

Hohenberg-Kohn for interactions is thus very robust

# Hohenberg-Kohn for the Zeeman interaction

$$H^N(v, B) = \sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta_i + \sigma_i \cdot B(x_i) + v(x_i)) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} w(x_i - x_j)$$

Counterexample of the  $(v, B) \mapsto (\rho, m)$  injectivity in Capelle and Vignale (2000). But we have a strong constraint on the external fields.

## Theorem (Partial Hohenberg-Kohn for Spin DFT)

Take  $H^N(v_1, B_1)$  and  $H^N(v_2, B_2)$  having ground states  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ . If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_1 - v_2)(\rho_{\Psi_1} - \rho_{\Psi_2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B_1 - B_2) \cdot (m_{\Psi_1} - m_{\Psi_2}) = 0$ , then

$$|B_1 - B_2| \chi = \frac{E_1 - E_2}{N} + v_2 - v_1,$$

where  $\chi$  is a function taking its values in  $\{-1, -1 + \frac{2}{N}, -1 + \frac{4}{N}, \dots, 1 - \frac{2}{N}, 1\}$ .

If we also assume  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $E_1 = E_2$  and  $N$  odd, then  $B_1 = B_2$ .

# Counterexample for Matrix DFT

- For non local potentials  $G$ 's, we define

$$H^N(G) = \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} w(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^N G_i.$$

- It is hence natural to ask whether  $G \mapsto \gamma$  is injective. But we can find a large class of counterexamples when  $w = 0$ .

## Counterexample

Take  $w = 0$ , let  $G_1$  be such that  $H^N(G_1)$  has a unique ground state  $\Psi_1$ , isolated in the spectrum. We take  $G_2 = G_1 + \epsilon |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$ , where  $\phi$  is  $\perp$  to the  $N$  components of  $\gamma_{\Psi_1}$ . For  $\epsilon \geq 0$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_1$  have the same (unique) ground state.

Open question : prove that it's true or false for  $w = |\cdot|^{-1}$ .

## Theorem (Hohenberg-Kohn at positive temperatures)

$T_1, T_2 > 0$ ,  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  the grand canonical Gibbs states corresponding respectively to  $\mathcal{E}_{v_1, T_1}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{v_2, T_2}$ . If

$$-(T_1 - T_2)(S_{\Gamma_1} - S_{\Gamma_2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v_1 - v_2)(\rho_{\Gamma_1} - \rho_{\Gamma_2}) = 0,$$

then  $T_1 = T_2$  and  $v_1 = v_2$ .

- Extends Mermin's (65')  $v \mapsto \rho$  injectivity at fixed  $T$
- Works also when we only assume that  $T_1, T_2 \geq 0$ .
- Can be extended to  $(T, v, A, w) \mapsto (S, \rho, m, \rho^{(2)})$ , for non local  $G \mapsto \gamma$ , for classical systems, and in the canonical ensemble
- Conjecture :  $\rho$  does not contain the information of both  $T$  and  $v$ .