

Exercises: Surjectivity lemma and envy-free divisions

M2 Informatique Fondamentale : Topological Combinatorics

1. ALTERNATE PROOF OF THE SURJECTIVITY LEMMA FOR THE STANDARD SIMPLEX

Consider a continuous self-map f of the standard simplex such that $f(F) \subseteq F$ for every face F . According to the surjectivity lemma, such a map is then necessarily surjective.

Question. *Show how this fact can be proved from Sperner's lemma.*

2. EVEN MORE GENERAL?

Question. *Is it true that any continuous self-map f of B^d mapping its boundary to itself is surjective?*

3. CAKE-CUTTING WITH A VANISHING PLAYER

We consider the cake-cutting setting, as in the course. We saw that when there are $n - 1$ players, there always exists a division of the cake into n connected pieces so that whatever the piece is taken by an extra player, there is an envy-free assignment of the remaining pieces to the $n - 1$ players.

Actually, a dual statement holds: when there are n players, there always exists a division of the cake into $n - 1$ connected pieces so that whoever the player vanishes, there is still an envy-free assignment of the $n - 1$ pieces to the remaining players.

Question. *Prove this dual statement.*

4. RENTAL HARMONY

Roommates, numbered from 1 to n , want to share an apartment with n rooms, also numbered from 1 to n . They have to decide how to assign each of them to a room and how to divide the rent between them. The total rent R is fixed and thus the question is about the proportion, encoded as a vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$: the rent of room 1 is $x_1 R$, the rent of room 2 is $x_2 R$, and so on.

Each player i has a *choice function* $c_i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$: given a rent division $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^{n-1}$, the rooms with which player i will be happy are those in $c_i(\mathbf{x})$. Two natural assumptions are made:

- if room j belongs to $c_i(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ for a converging sequence of $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$'s, then j belongs to $c_i(\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)})$, where $\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$.
- if room j is such that $x_j = 0$, then $j \in c_i(\mathbf{x})$. (If a room is free, any player is happy to be assigned this room.)

A rent division \mathbf{x} is *envy-free* if there is a bijective assignment π of the players to the rooms (player i gets room $\pi(i)$) such that $\pi(i) \in c_i(\mathbf{x})$ for every player i . This exercise aims at proving that when the above two assumptions are satisfied, then there always exists an envy-free rent division.

We start as for the cake-cutting with a triangulation T of Δ^{n-1} of arbitrary small mesh size. We also assume that there is an owner labeling $o: V(\mathsf{T}) \rightarrow [n]$ such that every $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex of T is fully-labeled with respect to o .

Question. Show how to define a labeling $\lambda: V(\mathsf{T}) \rightarrow 2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset, [n]\}$ such that $\lambda(v) \subseteq c_{o(v)}(v)$.

Question. Show how to define a map $g: 2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset, [n]\} \rightarrow [n]$ such that $g(X) \notin X$ and $g(X) - 1 \in X$ for all $X \in 2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset, [n]\}$. (Here $1 - 1 = n$.)

The map $f: v \in V(\mathsf{T}) \rightarrow \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ defined by $f(v) = e_{g(\lambda(v))}$ maps each vertex of T to a vertex of Δ^{n-1} . Consider the affine extension of f on every simplex of T , which we still denote by f . Observe that f is a self-map of Δ^{n-1} .

Question. Use this observation to finish the proof of the existence of the envy-free rental division.

5. 1-INTERVALS

We have claimed during the course that for any family \mathcal{F} of closed intervals of \mathbb{R} , we have $\tau(\mathcal{F}) = \nu(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Provide an elementary proof of this statement. □